



# Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

233 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 800, Sears Tower  
Chicago, IL 60606

312-454-0400 (voice)  
312-454-0411 (fax)  
[www.chicagoareaplanning.org](http://www.chicagoareaplanning.org)

## Unified Work Program Committee Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, December 02, 2008  
10:00 AM

Offices of the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning  
Cook County Room  
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 800, Sears Tower  
Chicago, IL 60606

### Committee Members Present:

\*Alternate Member

Matt Maloney (CMAP), Dan Podgorski (CoM Executive Committee), David Seglin (City of Chicago), Holly Smith\* (Kane County), Beth McCluskey (Metra), David Simmons (CTA), Kyle Shinnick (CTA)\*, Sid Weseman\* (RTA), John Donovan\* (FWHA), Chris DiPalmam (FWHA), David Werner (FTA)

### Staff Present:

Dolores Dowdle, Annie Byrne

### Others Present:

Chalen Hunter (McHenry County), Len Cannata (WCMC), Kama Dobbs (DMMC), David Raso (Metra)

### 1.0 Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 10:07 AM by Dan Podgorski, in Les Nunes' absence.

### 2.0 Agenda Changes and Announcements

Mr. Maloney introduced committee newcomer David Werner from FTA. There were no agenda changes.

### 3.0 Approval of the Minutes-October 30, 2008

The October 30th meeting minutes were unanimously approved by the

Committee.

#### **4.0 UWP Expenditures**

##### **4.1 FY 2009 Expenditures through September 2008**

Mr. Maloney provided the committee with a handout showing the UWP expenditures for each agency by project as reported by the agencies. The data reflects FY 2008 and FY 2009 expenditures reported as of September 2008.

##### **4.2 Historical UWP Expenditures from FY 2005 to present—IDOT analysis.**

The committee was reminded the purpose of this historical data is to help in selecting future projects. A comment was made on the significant balance for the SSMMA and it was asked if unexpended historical funds can still be spent. Mr. Donovan said we need more information on which projects the funds were allocated to and other additional information before answering that question. He will get this information and at the next meeting and we will discuss the potential usage of these unexpended funds.

Major differences in the expended amounts for FY 2008 and FY 2009 exist between IDOT and CMAP's data. This is because CMAP's data is based on the reports submitted to CMAP by UWP agencies, which is much more quickly processed than the invoices sent to IDOT.

#### **5.0 UWP Fiscal Year 2010**

##### **5.1 Upgraded Calendar and Call for Projects**

Mr. Maloney announced the call for core proposals will be on January 5 and they will be due on January 27<sup>th</sup>.

##### **5.2 Agency Priorities for FY 2010**

The committee members were presented each of the submitted priorities for UWP FY2010 by several agencies. It was explained that the purpose of this is to check for duplication or opportunities for collaboration.

Ms. McCluskey explained Metra's priorities are all continuations of previous projects. A Pace representative was not at the meeting, so Mr. Maloney briefly described their submitted proposals. It was noted that the rideshare program which is a priority this year has unexpended funds for the last two years—as Pace was not at the meeting, discussion on this will

wait. The Council of Mayors will continue the PL program, which will likely have a greater role in tracking federal funds, namely CMAQ funds. The CoM expects additional time to be allocated to supporting the GO TO 2040 plan. CMAP's priorities were described; the main discussion on this was on the data inventory. Metra and RTA confirmed their interest in participating; the City of Chicago and CTA are also likely to collaborate. This will be a discretionary program, so there is time for more discussion at a later time. The RTA, CTA, and City of Chicago's priorities were described.

Chris DiPalma suggested the agencies consider a UWP priority to include the implementation of a method for each TIP proposal to include its project readiness, and to be made available in a central location. Some of the agencies already include the project readiness in their internal processes, but there should be an explicit agreement that this information be passed along to CMAP so the region as a whole has a better idea of the dollar amount that could be spent at any given time on the TIP. It was suggested this be added to the existing TIP database, managed by CMAP. The committee agreed. This would likely be a sub-task in each agency's UWP TIP support proposal.

**6.0 Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

**7.0 Next Meeting**

It was decided the committee does not need to meet December 16. The next meeting will be February 3<sup>rd</sup> and the core project proposals will be discussed.

**8.0 Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 10:55 AM.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matt Maloney". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Matt Maloney  
CMAP

12/2/08