



# Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

## Agenda Item No. 3.0

233 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 800  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400  
www.cmap.illinois.gov

### Land Use Working Committee

#### Minutes

Wednesday, June 19, 2013

9:00 a.m.

Offices of the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning  
DupPage County Conference Room  
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 800  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

**Members Present:** Ed Paesel (Chair), Dave Galowich (Vice-Chair), Kristi DeLaurentiis, Lisa DiChiera, Kimberly Flom, Sheena Frève, David Husemoller (for Eric Waggoner), Ellen Johnson (for Mark VanKerkhoff), Anthony Manno (for Heather Tabbert), Todd Vanadilok, Nathaniel Werner, Norm West.

**Members Absent:** Judy Beck, Robert Cole, Roger Dahlstrom, Curt Paddock, Arnold Randall, Dennis Sandquist, Heather Smith, Nancy Williamson.

**Staff Present:** Stephen Ostrander (committee liaison), Elizabeth Schuh, Dawn Thompson.

**Others Present:** Brett Hanlon (Kane County), Joe Levie (Will County), Elaine Romas (Cook County Bureau of Economic Development), Allison Toonen (Village of Lansing), Mike Walczak (NWMC), Ruth Wuorenma (Neighborhood Capital Institute).

#### 1.0 Call to Order

Ed Paesel called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

#### 2.0 Agenda Changes and Announcements

There were no agenda changes or announcements.

#### 3.0 Approval of the Meeting Notes – May 15, 2013

A motion to approve the minutes of May 15, 2013, was made by Kristi DeLaurentiis and seconded by Kimberly Flom. All in favor, the motion carried.

#### 4.0 Facility Planning Area Revised Process and Procedures Manual

Under federal and state law, CMAP is charged with reviewing proposed investments in wastewater infrastructure (through the Facility Planning Area review process) to ensure that they meet regional

goals. In order to align the process with GO TO 2040 and streamline the review process to avoid duplication of efforts between CMAP, Illinois EPA, and other agencies, CMAP has drafted a revised [Process and Procedures Manual](#).

Dawn Thompson (CMAP) discussed this effort, and sought the committee's feedback, particularly in regard to the following questions:

- a) What partners should staff engage for review of the revised manual?
- b) How will local governments respond to the manual's request that applicants whose ordinances are inconsistent with CMAP's model nonpoint source ordinances pass a village board or city council resolution indicating their intent to adopt such ordinances?
- c) How may GO TO 2040's goal to prioritize funding to communities engaging in intergovernmental planning be used within the FPA review process?
- d) How may the GO To 2040's goal to achieve greater livability through land use and housing, with an emphasis on development patterns that support the use of public transit be used in the FPA process?

Norm West: IEPA is [underfunded]. Would this proposed change slow down the process in the future?

Dawn: [Most of the complaints in the past have been directed at CMAP (i.e. extra layers of review, etc.)].

Dave Galowich: [Yes, CMAP's review has essentially added an additional layer of review, since CMAP is reviewing some of the same criteria as IEPA.]

Ed Paesel: CMAP's [proposed] role is still as advisor, correct?

Dawn: Correct.

Ed: [Question about CMAP advisory committee.]

Dawn: [ Detailed answer about the structure of this committee.]

Dave: I would like to see the Land Use Committee weigh in on major Facility Planning Area cases. [But wouldn't want that to delay the process.]

Ed: [Stated that he thinks it could be a good idea to have Land Use Committee and, perhaps, the Environmental Committee appoint members to weigh in.]

Kristi DeLaurentiis: [Suggested looking at historical documentation of what has happened with past FPA cases, what impact decisions etc. have had on sprawl, etc., because there is a need to assess whether any changes to the process are needed.]

**Concerning question a) What partners should staff engage for review**

**of the revised manual?**

Ed: Councils of Government, county planning departments.

Dave: In the private sector, engineers who worked on past FPA cases. There probably are not too many [so it wouldn't be hard to locate them].

**Concerning question b) How will local governments respond to the manual's request that applicants whose ordinances are inconsistent with CMAP's model nonpoint source ordinances pass a village board or city council resolution indicating their intent to adopt such ordinances?**

Kimberly Flom: [Some communities might resist. Small things such as sprinkler hours can be the sticking point. The key will be for there to be some flexibility, able to fine-tune, etc. Have you look at how many communities are consistent with model ordinances?]

Dawn: We did evaluate this, and we found that most communities are consistent with model ordinances.

**Concerning question c) How may GO TO 2040's goal to prioritize funding to communities engaging in intergovernmental planning be used within the FPA review process?**

Kristi: [(Not related to water), but related to CDBG funding, they seem to have a check off list for multijurisdictional projects – you might want to check how they do this.]

**Concerning question d) How may the GO To 2040's goal to achieve greater livability through land use and housing, with an emphasis on development patterns that support the use of public transit be used in the FPA process?**

Dave: [Ideally, yes, but practically no.]

## **5.0 Model Plans, Ordinances and Codes: *Form-Based Codes - A Step-by-Step Guide for Communities***

Stephen Ostrander (CMAP) provided an overview of CMAP's new form-based codes handbook, detailing its content as well as discussing CMAP's goals for its future use, seeking committee feedback on the regional outreach strategy for the coming year. Stephen will also discuss recent conversations with the Form-Based Codes Institute and others who are interested in exploring whether the content of the handbook could be distributed nationwide, perhaps by other regional planning agencies.

[Since Stephen was presenting this agenda item to the committee, minutes were not taken.]

## **6.0 Other Business**

The committee discussed the idea of having a “field trip” to Orland Park’s Ninety7Fifty on the Park development. Kimberly Flom confirmed that Orland Park would be glad to host the committee for such a visit, but September or October would work much better.

Ed Paesel mentioned that for future Land Use Committee meetings, he would be interested in any of the following topics: 53 North, the Illiana, South Suburban Airport. Kristi DeLaurentiis said that the Village of Lansing would like a presentation on its LTA project. Ed also mentioned that the committee will need to discuss the upcoming update to GO TO 2040 in the near future (this committee presentation is planned for September).

Anthony Manno announced the RTA’s Regional Transit Strategic Plan and their associated public outreach campaign.

**7.0 Public Comment**

There was no public comment

**8.0 Next Meeting**

The next regular meeting of the CMAP Land Use Committee is scheduled for Wednesday, July 17, 2013.

**9.0 Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 10:35 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Committee Liaison

July 11, 2013