

# Burlington

Community Data Snapshot Municipality Series July 2023 Release





### **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

### **User Notes**

### Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

#### **Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties**

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

#### **Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region**

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Burlington, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

### **Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots**

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



## **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Burlington.

### **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

	Burlington	Kane County	CMAP Region
Total Population	535	516,522	8,577,735
Total Households	218	180,374	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.5	2.8	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-13.4	0.2	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	18.4	27.8	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	453	91.5	291,838	56.3	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	32	6.5	167,111	32.2	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0	0.0	26,582	5.1	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0	0.0	20,273	3.9	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	10	2.0	12,844	2.5	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

		Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	35	7.1	31,939	6.2	502,928	5.9	
5 to 19	80	16.2	113,311	21.8	1,662,052	19.4	
20 to 34	109	22.0	94,012	18.1	1,774,853	20.7	
35 to 49	72	14.5	105,360	20.3	1,724,098	20.1	
50 to 64	109	22.0	102,183	19.7	1,659,323	19.4	
65 to 74	42	8.5	44,171	8.5	746,030	8.7	
75 to 84	32	6.5	20,400	3.9	347,665	4.1	
85 and Over	16	3.2	7,272	1.4	153,584	1.8	
Median Age	38.3		38.0		37.9		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



### Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	18	5.5	47,621	14.0	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	84	25.5	76,989	22.6	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	77	23.4	68,770	20.2	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	50	15.2	24,885	7.3	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	71	21.6	78,592	23.1	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	29	8.8	44,002	12.9	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual. Universe: Population 25 years and older

### Nativity, 2017-2021

	Bu	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	476	96.2	430,016	82.9	6,938,399	81.0	
Foreign Born	19	3.8	88,632	17.1	1,632,134	19.0	
Courses 2017 2021 American Commun	it. Company fine many antimates				Linivana, Tat	ممتلمانيمممام	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Universe: Population 5 years and older

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Bu	rlington	Kane	County	CMAP R	legion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	433	94.1	334,568	68.7	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	14	3.0	125,228	25.7	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	5,485	1.1	289,350	3.6
Chinese	0	0.0	955	0.2	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	2,765	0.6	73,710	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	1,226	0.3	63,720	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	701	0.1	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	4,849	1.0	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	13	2.8	9,785	2.0	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	1,147	0.2	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	27	5.9	152,141	31.3	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4	0.9	55,656	11.4	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 $^{\star}$  For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



### Household Size, 2017-2021

Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
58	29.6	40,200	22.2	948,087	29.4
64	32.7	55,946	30.9	993,509	30.8
27	13.8	29,530	16.3	503,236	15.6
47	24.0	55,587	30.7	775,919	24.1
	Count 58 64 27	Count Percent   58 29.6   64 32.7   27 13.8	Count Percent Count   58 29.6 40,200   64 32.7 55,946   27 13.8 29,530	Count Percent Count Percent   58 29.6 40,200 22.2   64 32.7 55,946 30.9   27 13.8 29,530 16.3	CountPercentCountPercentCount5829.640,20022.2948,0876432.755,94630.9993,5092713.829,53016.3503,236

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, 2017-2021

	Bu	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	127	64.8	131,546	72.6	2,062,968	64.1	
Single Parent with Child	28	14.3	15,237	8.4	257,853	8.0	
Non-Family	69	35.2	49,717	27.4	1,157,783	35.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Income, 2017-2021

	Bur	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	32	16.3	19,072	10.5	486,172	15.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	21	10.7	28,715	15.8	532,670	16.5	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	45	23.0	28,459	15.7	491,960	15.3	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	28	14.3	24,570	13.6	407,959	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	31	15.8	37,711	20.8	575,992	17.9	
\$150,000 and Over	39	19.9	42,736	23.6	725,998	22.5	
Median Income	\$76,250		\$88,935		\$81,102		
Per Capita Income*	\$47,527		\$40,661		\$43,128		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

### Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane C	Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
One or More Computing Devices	168	85.7	172,937	95.4	3,019,317	93.7	
Smartphone(s) Only	26	13.3	11,675	6.4	240,075	7.5	
No Computing Devices	28	14.3	8,326	4.6	201,434	6.3	
Internet Access	167	85.2	167,683	92.5	2,935,545	91.1	
Broadband Subscription	162	82.7	164,410	90.7	2,855,152	88.6	
No Internet Access	29	14.8	13,580	7.5	285,206	8.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

	Bu	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	196	92.5	181,263	96.5	3,220,751	92.3	
Owner-Occupied*	128	65.3	136,135	75.1	2,075,416	64.4	
Renter-Occupied*	68	34.7	45,128	24.9	1,145,335	35.6	
Vacant Housing Units	16	7.5	6,589	3.5	267,011	7.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

	Bu	Irlington	Kane	County	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	18	9.5	12,373	6.9	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	314	0.2	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	0	0.0	780	0.4	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	18	9.5	11,279	6.3	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	30	15.9	32,914	18.5	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	3,660	2.1	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	9	4.8	6,877	3.9	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	21	11.1	22,377	12.6	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	45	23.8	28,324	15.9	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	6	3.2	8,600	4.8	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	23	12.2	9,684	5.4	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	16	8.5	10,040	5.6	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	96	50.8	104,629	58.7	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	70	37.0	69,389	38.9	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	26	13.8	27,258	15.3	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	0	0.0	7,982	4.5	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	36	44
Transportation Costs	26	29
TOTAL H+T COSTS	62	73

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Location Affordability Index (2012-2016).

\*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

\*\*"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

\*\*\*"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.



### **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Burlington.

### Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	158	74.5	129,947	69.2	1,745,022	50.0
Single Family, Attached	15	7.1	22,359	11.9	259,184	7.4
2 Units	13	6.1	6,660	3.5	239,727	6.9
3 or 4 Units	20	9.4	6,370	3.4	274,341	7.9
5 to 9 Units	6	2.8	5,849	3.1	270,594	7.8
10 to 19 Units	0	0.0	5,216	2.8	155,969	4.5
20 or More Units	0	0.0	9,910	5.3	513,327	14.7
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	1,541	0.8	29,598	0.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 $\ast " Other"$  includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane	Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	9	4.2	15,025	8.0	566,228	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	54	25.5	45,960	24.5	973,190	27.9	
3 Bedrooms	85	40.1	65,388	34.8	1,156,700	33.2	
4 Bedrooms	50	23.6	49,163	26.2	612,171	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	14	6.6	12,316	6.6	179,473	5.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4		6.3		6.0		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane	Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	64	30.2	49,717	26.5	509,505	14.6	
Built 1970 to 1999	35	16.5	73,946	39.4	1,189,334	34.1	
Built 1940 to 1969	38	17.9	38,737	20.6	1,048,502	30.1	
Built Before 1940	75	35.4	25,452	13.5	740,421	21.2	
Median Year Built	1965		1983		1969		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Universe: Housing units



## **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Burlington.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	9	4.6	8,095	4.5	405,467	12.6
1 Vehicle Available	53	27.0	46,775	25.8	1,152,274	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	72	36.7	75,910	41.9	1,119,802	34.8
3 or More Vehicles Available	62	31.6	50,483	27.9	543,208	16.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Burlington		Kane	Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	33	14.9	28,662	11.0	486,188	11.5	
Drive Alone	173	77.9	194,225	74.6	2,743,345	64.9	
Carpool	2	0.9	24,224	9.3	321,231	7.6	
Transit	0	0.0	5,298	2.0	465,784	11.0	
Walk or Bike	7	3.2	3,682	1.4	151,257	3.6	
Other	7	3.2	4,199	1.6	62,008	1.5	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	189	85.1	231,628	89.0	3,743,625	88.5	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	30.6		28.7		31.7		
Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey	five-vear estimates			11	niverse: Workers 16 ve	are and older	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time. Universe: Workers 16 years and older

## Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	Burlington	Kane County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	N/A	20,240	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

\*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Burlington	Kane County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	0.0%	19.7%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	32.2%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	100.0%	48.0%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

\*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

### **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Burlington.

### Employment Status, 2017-2021

	Bu	Burlington		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	235	58.0	280,718	69.5	4,614,158	67.3	
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	231	98.3	266,143	94.8	4,306,443	93.3	
Unemployed*	4	1.7	14,473	5.2	295,199	6.4	
Not in Labor Force	170	42.0	123,108	30.5	2,237,246	32.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  $^\dagger\textsc{Does}$  not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

### Private Sector Employment\*, 2022

	Burlington		Kane	County	6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	N/A	N/A	176,378	N/A	3,497,215	N/A
Job Change, 2012-22	N/A	N/A	15,423	9.6	235,962	7.2
Job Change, 2002-22	N/A	N/A	12,039	7.3	138,855	4.1
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	N/A		0.97		1.09	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2022).

\*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

\*\*Data is not available for Kendall County.

\*\*\*Based on households from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### **Employment of Burlington Residents\*, 2019**

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	49	13.6
2. Retail Trade	40	11.1
3. Health Care	40	11.1
4. Construction	32	8.9
5. Education	28	7.8
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Elgin	33	9.1
2. Chicago	30	8.3
3. Aurora	14	3.9
4. St. Charles	11	3.0
5. Huntley	8	2.2

#### **Employment in Burlington\*, 2019**

46.6 15.5 8.8
8.8
8.8
7.2
11.2
4.0
3.2
2.8
2.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

\*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



### Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Burlington.

### **General Land Use, 2018**

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	167.3	3.7
Multi-Family Residential	0.9	0.0
Commercial	4.2	0.1
Industrial	12.6	0.3
Institutional	7.1	0.2
Mixed Use	0.9	0.0
Transportation and Other	182.5	4.0
Agricultural	3,874.3	85.0
Open Space	247.9	5.4
Vacant	58.0	1.3
TOTAL	4,555.6	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

### Park Access, 2015

	Burlington	Kane County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	21.78	9.87	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

\*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Burlington	Kane County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	17.9%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	28.4%	24.8%
Low Walkability	100.0%	53.7%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

\*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance;

population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



### **Tax Base**

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Burlington.

### **General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2022**

	Burlington	Kane County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$7,093,780	\$8,057,476,229	\$137,918,287,566
Total Retail Sales	\$7,206,066	\$9,785,732,786	\$168,382,810,939
Total Sales per Capita*	\$14,558	\$18,868	\$19,647

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2022.

\*Per capita calculations based on population from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Equalized Assessed Value, 2021

Residential	\$14,121,298
Commercial	\$1,955,808
Industrial	\$1,248,406
Railroad	\$73,359
Farm	\$2,501,331
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$19,900,202

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.



## **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS. Historic data may not be available for municipalities that were incorporated after 2000.

### **Race and Ethnicity, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	96.9	93.7	91.5
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	0.7	5.2	6.5
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	1.3	0.0	0.0
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.1	1.1	2.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	32.3	27.4	23.2
20 to 34	19.0	15.1	22.0
35 to 49	26.1	22.1	14.5
50 to 64	12.6	26.8	22.0
65 and Over	10.0	8.5	18.2
Median Age	34.0	43.9	38.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	6.5	5.7	5.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	39.4	24.4	25.5
Some College, No Degree	22.7	37.8	23.4
Associate's Degree	12.6	11.3	15.2
Bachelor's Degree	11.6	10.0	21.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	7.2	10.9	8.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Population 25 years and older \*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



### Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Native	94.6	96.2
Foreign Born	5.4	3.8
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021
		Percent
English Only	95.7	94.1
Spanish	2.8	3.0
Slavic Languages	1.5	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.0	2.8
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	4.3	5.9
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	3.0	0.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



### Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	20.5	29.6
2-Person Household	36.5	32.7
3-Person Household	20.1	13.8
4-or-More-Person Household	23.0	24.0
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	68.9	64.8
Single Parent with Child	7.4	14.3
Non-Family	31.1	35.2
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$73,743	\$76,250
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	98.3	89.4	92.5
Owner-Occupied*	67.8	87.3	65.3
Renter-Occupied*	32.2	12.7	34.7
Vacant Housing Units	1.7	10.6	7.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	1.2	9.5
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	0.0	0.0
30 Percent or More	1.2	9.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	29.5	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	0.8	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	20.1	4.8
30 Percent or More	8.6	11.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	27.9	23.8
Less than 20 Percent	4.9	3.2
20 to 29 Percent	0.0	12.2
30 Percent or More	23.0	8.5
\$75,000 or More	41.4	50.8
Less than 20 Percent	30.7	37.0
20 to 29 Percent	6.1	13.8
30 Percent or More	4.5	0.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



### Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021 Percent
	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	74.0	74.5
Single Family, Attached	0.7	7.1
2 Units	22.3	6.1
3 or 4 Units	0.0	9.4
5 or More Units	2.9	2.8
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	0.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc. Universe: Housing units

### Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	20.1	4.2
2 Bedrooms	14.3	25.5
3 Bedrooms	45.1	40.1
4 Bedrooms	20.5	23.6
5 or More Bedrooms	0.0	6.6
Median Number of Rooms*	5.5	6.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	10.6	30.2
Built 1970 to 1999	26.7	16.5
Built 1940 to 1969	14.3	17.9
Built Before 1940	48.4	35.4
Median Year Built	1942	1965

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units



### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	0.0	4.6
1 Vehicle Available	30.3	27.0
2 Vehicles Available	32.0	36.7
3 or More Vehicles Available	37.7	31.6
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	0.0	14.9
Drive Alone	88.2	77.9
Carpool	2.9	0.9
Transit	0.5	0.0
Walk or Bike	8.4	3.2
Other	0.0	3.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	100.0	85.1
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	28.1	30.6

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

#### Universe: Workers 16 years and older

## **Employment Status, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	82.1	58.0
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	93.4	98.3
Unemployed*	6.6	1.7
Not in Labor Force	17.9	42.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. <sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

## Community Data Snapshot | Burlington | Water Supply



## Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains more information about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

### Water Source and Demand Trends of Burlington\*

Primary Water Source: Shallow Groundwater\*\*

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.04	0.09	96.7
Residential Sector	0.04	0.08	96.7
Non-Residential Sector	0.01	0.01	96.7

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

\*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

\*\*The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

\*\*\*Millions of gallons per day.

\*\*\*\*Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as nonresidential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

### **Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita**

		Burlington			C	MAP Region
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	63.6	119.9	88.6	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

\*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

\*\*Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

### **Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends\***

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014 (2021 Dollars)	2020 (2021 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$33.39	\$42.40	27.0	4.1
Sewer	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

\*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

\*\*Monthly water bills are calculated as: monthly base charge + ((5,000 gallons – water provision included in base charge) × \$/1,000 gallons). Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

\*\*\*Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.



### Water Loss\*

Reporting utility: N/A

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

\*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

\*\*Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

\*\*\*The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

## Community Data Snapshot | Burlington | ON TO 2050



## **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Burlington	CMAP Region		
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	45.6%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	78.6%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	86.7%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	86.7%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.63	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	119.9	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$358.23	\$379.91*	N/A**	CMAP, 2021
	ls per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 79% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2021
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	18.9%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021

\*Median value of CMAP region's 284 municipalities.

\*\*ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.