


# Lisle

Community Data Snapshot Municipality Series July 2023 Release





### **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

#### **User Notes**

#### **Definitions**

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

#### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

#### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

#### **Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties**

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

#### **Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region**

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Lisle, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

#### **Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots**

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



## **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Lisle.

#### **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
Total Population	24,223	932,877	8,577,735
Total Households	10,285	348,216	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.3	2.6	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	8.2	1.7	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	14.4	3.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

#### Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Lisle		DuPag	DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
White (Non-Hispanic)	16,595	69.2	611,293	65.4	4,289,683	50.1	
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	1,419	5.9	135,909	14.5	2,005,239	23.4	
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1,002	4.2	44,575	4.8	1,402,691	16.4	
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	4,233	17.7	114,134	12.2	636,825	7.4	
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	733	3.1	28,183	3.0	236,095	2.8	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	1,578	6.6	54,019	5.8	502,928	5.9	
5 to 19	4,402	18.4	181,673	19.4	1,662,052	19.4	
20 to 34	5,312	22.1	172,124	18.4	1,774,853	20.7	
35 to 49	5,008	20.9	184,258	19.7	1,724,098	20.1	
50 to 64	4,208	17.5	195,134	20.9	1,659,323	19.4	
65 to 74	1,865	7.8	89,001	9.5	746,030	8.7	
75 to 84	989	4.1	40,585	4.3	347,665	4.1	
85 and Over	620	2.6	17,300	1.9	153,584	1.8	
Median Age	36.7		39.7		37.9		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



#### Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021

	Lisle		DuPag	DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than High School Diploma	345	2.1	41,827	6.5	630,588	10.8	
High School Diploma or Equivalent	1,935	11.9	116,233	18.1	1,303,071	22.2	
Some College, No Degree	2,369	14.6	110,570	17.2	1,090,002	18.6	
Associate's Degree	1,013	6.2	48,186	7.5	418,936	7.1	
Bachelor's Degree	5,973	36.7	195,225	30.4	1,443,539	24.6	
Graduate or Professional Degree	4,626	28.4	130,124	20.3	978,676	16.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

#### Nativity, 2017-2021

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	20,007	83.4	751,394	80.4	6,938,399	81.0	
Foreign Born	3,975	16.6	182,700	19.6	1,632,134	19.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

		Lisle	DuPage County		CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	17,826	79.6	630,438	71.6	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	902	4.0	93,199	10.6	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	651	2.9	34,170	3.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	576	2.6	11,304	1.3	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	97	0.4	9,638	1.1	73,710	0.9
Arabic	72	0.3	7,291	0.8	63,720	0.8
Korean	170	0.8	3,351	0.4	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	620	2.8	21,780	2.5	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	1,396	6.2	65,248	7.4	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	94	0.4	3,656	0.4	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	4,578	20.4	249,637	28.4	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	1,016	4.5	77,913	8.9	940,619	11.7


Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

<sup>&</sup>quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### Household Size, 2017-2021

	Lisle		DuPage	County	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	3,597	35.7	84,817	24.4	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	3,340	33.1	115,575	33.3	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	1,048	10.4	57,827	16.6	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	2,092	20.8	89,166	25.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Type, 2017-2021

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	5,671	56.3	244,430	70.4	2,062,968	64.1	
Single Parent with Child	468	4.6	20,741	6.0	257,853	8.0	
Non-Family	4,406	43.7	102,955	29.6	1,157,783	35.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Income, 2017-2021

	L	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	926	9.2	32,346	9.3	486,172	15.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,503	14.9	45,722	13.2	532,670	16.5	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,122	11.1	50,584	14.6	491,960	15.3	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,375	13.6	44,581	12.8	407,959	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,932	19.2	71,015	20.4	575,992	17.9	
\$150,000 and Over	3,219	31.9	103,137	29.7	725,998	22.5	
Median Income	\$102,676		\$100,292		\$81,102		
Per Capita Income*	\$54,867		\$50,344		\$43,128		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

#### **Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021**

	Lisle		DuPage	DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
One or More Computing Devices	9,685	96.1	332,915	95.8	3,019,317	93.7	
Smartphone(s) Only	260	2.6	13,864	4.0	240,075	7.5	
No Computing Devices	392	3.9	14,470	4.2	201,434	6.3	
Internet Access	9,516	94.4	329,487	94.8	2,935,545	91.1	
Broadband Subscription	9,349	92.8	323,316	93.1	2,855,152	88.6	
No Internet Access	561	5.6	17,898	5.2	285,206	8.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



#### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021**

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	10,077	95.4	347,385	95.4	3,220,751	92.3	
Owner-Occupied*	5,726	56.8	254,336	73.2	2,075,416	64.4	
Renter-Occupied*	4,351	43.2	93,049	26.8	1,145,335	35.6	
Vacant Housing Units	489	4.6	16,772	4.6	267,011	7.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

		Lisle	DuPage	County	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	526	5.4	20,062	5.9	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	241	0.1	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	13	0.1	838	0.2	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	513	5.2	18,983	5.6	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,640	16.8	53,334	15.6	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	93	1.0	4,601	1.3	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	352	3.6	9,157	2.7	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	1,195	12.2	39,576	11.6	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,091	11.2	50,182	14.7	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	191	2.0	12,703	3.7	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	416	4.3	17,484	5.1	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	484	5.0	19,995	5.9	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	6,518	66.7	217,859	63.8	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	4,369	44.7	145,593	42.6	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	1,479	15.1	53,882	15.8	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	670	6.9	18,384	5.4	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 $^{\star}\text{Excludes}$  households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	33	42
Transportation Costs	20	22
TOTAL H+T COSTS	54	63

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Location Affordability Index (2012-2016).

<sup>\*</sup>The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

<sup>\*\*&</sup>quot;Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

<sup>\*\*\*&</sup>quot;Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.



### **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Lisle.

#### Housing Type, 2017-2021

		Lisle		ge County	СМАР	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	4,935	46.7	215,224	59.1	1,745,022	50.0	
Single Family, Attached	977	9.2	42,858	11.8	259,184	7.4	
2 Units	31	0.3	3,082	0.8	239,727	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	459	4.3	12,885	3.5	274,341	7.9	
5 to 9 Units	830	7.9	23,727	6.5	270,594	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	840	8.0	23,123	6.3	155,969	4.5	
20 or More Units	2,477	23.4	42,210	11.6	513,327	14.7	
Mobile Home/Other*	17	0.2	1,048	0.3	29,598	0.8	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2017-2021

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	2,938	27.8	46,115	12.7	566,228	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	2,356	22.3	86,963	23.9	973,190	27.9	
3 Bedrooms	2,069	19.6	119,043	32.7	1,156,700	33.2	
4 Bedrooms	2,769	26.2	88,814	24.4	612,171	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	434	4.1	23,222	6.4	179,473	5.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.3		6.2		6.0		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

#### Housing Age, 2017-2021

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	1,457	13.8	43,285	11.9	509,505	14.6	
Built 1970 to 1999	7,503	71.0	205,378	56.4	1,189,334	34.1	
Built 1940 to 1969	1,363	12.9	94,734	26.0	1,048,502	30.1	
Built Before 1940	243	2.3	20,760	5.7	740,421	21.2	
Median Year Built	1982		1978		1969		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star} \text{Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.}$ 

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



### **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Lisle.

#### Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

		Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	568	5.6	14,170	4.1	405,467	12.6	
1 Vehicle Available	4,097	40.7	107,032	30.8	1,152,274	35.8	
2 Vehicles Available	4,089	40.6	153,110	44.1	1,119,802	34.8	
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,323	13.1	73,073	21.0	543,208	16.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

		Lisle	DuPa	ge County	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	2,121	16.7	67,401	14.0	486,188	11.5
Drive Alone	8,697	68.7	342,749	71.1	2,743,345	64.9
Carpool	478	3.8	30,430	6.3	321,231	7.6
Transit	926	7.3	28,214	5.9	465,784	11.0
Walk or Bike	354	2.8	8,500	1.8	151,257	3.6
Other	89	0.7	4,742	1.0	62,008	1.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	10,544	83.3	414,635	86.0	3,743,625	88.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	29.4		29.0		31.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

#### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	16,276	18,287	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

#### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	2.1%	17.7%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	95.2%	47.9%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	2.8%	34.4%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

<sup>\*</sup>Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



### **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Lisle.

#### **Employment Status, 2017-2021**

	L	Lisle		County	CMAP R	CMAP Region		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
In Labor Force	13,502	70.6	516,564	69.1	4,614,158	67.3		
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	12,811	94.9	491,295	95.1	4,306,443	93.3		
Unemployed*	655	4.9	24,839	4.8	295,199	6.4		
Not in Labor Force	5,628	29.4	230,873	30.9	2,237,246	32.7		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

#### Private Sector Employment\*, 2022

	Lisle		DuPag	DuPage County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Private Sector Employment	21,016	N/A	549,311	N/A	3,497,215	N/A	
Job Change, 2012-22	-2,343	-10.0	39,423	7.7	235,962	7.2	
Job Change, 2002-22	-1,456	-6.5	39,570	7.8	138,855	4.1	
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	2.09		1.58		1.09		

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2022).

#### **Employment of Lisle Residents\*, 2019**

Employment of Eisle Residents , 2	.012	
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Professional	1,437	12.7
2. Health Care	1,394	12.3
3. Education	1,120	9.9
4. Retail Trade	921	8.1
5. Manufacturing	850	7.5
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	1,949	17.2
2. Naperville	1,158	10.2
3. Lisle	786	6.9
4. Downers Grove	619	5.5
5. Oak Brook	275	2.4

#### **Employment in Lisle\*, 2019**

Employment in Lisic , 2017		
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Professional	6,140	26.8
2. Management	2,614	11.4
3. Manufacturing	2,031	8.9
4. Finance	2,004	8.8
5. Administration	1,555	6.8
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	2,188	9.6
2. Naperville	2,144	9.4
3. Aurora	1,204	5.3
4. Lisle	786	3.4
5. Bolingbrook	645	2.8
(0.040)		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

<sup>\*</sup>Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Data is not available for Kendall County.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Based on households from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



#### **Land Use**

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Lisle.

#### **General Land Use, 2018**

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,544.4	33.4
Multi-Family Residential	263.4	5.7
Commercial	623.1	13.5
Industrial	152.3	3.3
Institutional	412.2	8.9
Mixed Use	2.1	0.0
Transportation and Other	1,030.9	22.3
Agricultural	6.1	0.1
Open Space	455.9	9.9
Vacant	128.4	2.8
TOTAL	4,618.9	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

#### Park Access, 2015

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	11.23	8.39	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

#### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Lisle	DuDana Cauntu	CMAD Design
	Lisie	DuPage County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	6.5%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	34.8%	50.0%	24.8%
Low Walkability	65.2%	43.5%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

<sup>\*</sup>Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



#### **Tax Base**

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Lisle.

#### **General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2022**

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$563,365,974	\$23,223,268,780	\$137,918,287,566
Total Retail Sales	\$620,638,505	\$27,261,470,408	\$168,382,810,939
Total Sales per Capita*	\$25,879	\$29,185	\$19,647


Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2022.

#### **Equalized Assessed Value, 2021**

Residential	\$728,680,113
Commercial	\$355,857,766
Industrial	\$49,320,340
Railroad	\$722,439
Farm	\$1,516
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$1,134,582,174

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.

<sup>\*</sup>Per capita calculations based on population from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.



### **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS. Historic data may not be available for municipalities that were incorporated after 2000.

#### **Race and Ethnicity, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	80.0	75.4	69.2
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	5.5	5.5	5.9
Black (Non-Hispanic)	3.4	4.4	4.2
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	9.7	10.8	17.7
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.4	4.0	3.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	26.4	23.4	24.9
20 to 34	23.9	24.7	22.1
35 to 49	26.9	20.5	20.9
50 to 64	14.9	21.2	17.5
65 and Over	7.9	10.3	14.5
Median Age	34.7	36.4	36.7

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	4.6	4.3	2.1
High School Diploma or Equivalent	17.9	11.9	11.9
Some College, No Degree	21.9	19.5	14.6
Associate's Degree	5.6	7.3	6.2
Bachelor's Degree	30.0	33.5	36.7
Graduate or Professional Degree	20.0	23.5	28.4

 $Source: 2000\ Census;\ 2007-2011\ and\ 2017-2021\ American\ Community\ Survey\ five-year\ estimates.$ 

Universe: Population 25 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



#### **Nativity, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Native	86.2	83.4
Foreign Born	13.8	16.6

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
English Only	80.6	79.6
Spanish	4.7	4.0
Slavic Languages	3.3	2.9
Chinese	3.4	2.6
Tagalog	0.2	0.4
Arabic	0.2	0.3
Korean	0.6	0.8
Other Asian Languages	2.0	2.8
Other Indo-European Languages	4.7	6.2
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.3	0.4
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	19.4	20.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	6.6	4.5

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

<sup>&</sup>quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### **Household Size, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	30.1	35.7
2-Person Household	35.1	33.1
3-Person Household	14.5	10.4
4-or-More-Person Household	20.2	20.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Household Type, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	61.5	56.3
Single Parent with Child	5.6	4.6
Non-Family	38.5	43.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Household Income, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$90,551	\$102,676

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.


Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.3	94.5	95.4
Owner-Occupied*	57.1	63.9	56.8
Renter-Occupied*	42.9	36.1	43.2
Vacant Housing Units	3.7	5.5	4.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	10.5	5.4
Less than 20 Percent	0.3	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	0.1	0.1
30 Percent or More	10.0	5.2
\$20,000 to \$49,999	25.5	16.8
Less than 20 Percent	1.8	1.0
20 to 29 Percent	4.6	3.6
30 Percent or More	19.1	12.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	13.6	11.2
Less than 20 Percent	4.6	2.0
20 to 29 Percent	4.8	4.3
30 Percent or More	4.3	5.0
\$75,000 or More	50.1	66.7
Less than 20 Percent	33.2	44.7
20 to 29 Percent	12.8	15.1
30 Percent or More	4.2	6.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



#### **Housing Type, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	49.1	46.7
Single Family, Attached	9.1	9.2
2 Units	1.0	0.3
3 or 4 Units	3.5	4.3
5 or More Units	37.2	39.2
Mobile Home/Other*	0.1	0.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### **Housing Size, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	22.8	27.8
2 Bedrooms	26.1	22.3
3 Bedrooms	22.2	19.6
4 Bedrooms	25.1	26.2
5 or More Bedrooms	3.8	4.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.5	5.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$ 

#### **Housing Age, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	9.5	13.8
Built 1970 to 1999	70.6	71.0
Built 1940 to 1969	16.1	12.9
Built Before 1940	3.7	2.3
Median Year Built	1979	1982

 $Source: 2007-2011 \ and \ 2017-2021 \ American \ Community \ Survey \ five-year \ estimates.$ 

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>^{*&</sup>quot;}$ Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.



#### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	5.5	5.6
1 Vehicle Available	35.2	40.7
2 Vehicles Available	42.8	40.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	16.5	13.1

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### **Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	5.6	16.7
Drive Alone	75.4	68.7
Carpool	6.6	3.8
Transit	8.1	7.3
Walk or Bike	3.5	2.8
Other	0.8	0.7
TOTAL COMMUTERS	94.4	83.3
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	28.2	29.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

#### **Employment Status, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021 Percent
	Percent	
In Labor Force	71.9	70.6
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	93.4	94.9
Unemployed*	6.3	4.9
Not in Labor Force	28.1	29.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>star}\text{Not}$  included in total commuters or mean commute time.

### Community Data Snapshot | Lisle | Water Supply



### **Water Supply**

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains more information about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

#### Water Source and Demand Trends of Lisle\*

Primary Water Source: Lake Michigan\*\*

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	2.71	2.25	-17.2
Residential Sector	1.60	1.42	-11.2
Non-Residential Sector	1.11	0.82	-25.7

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

#### **Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita**

		Lisle			(	CMAP Region
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	71.5	62.5	-12.7	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

#### Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends\*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014 (2021 Dollars)	2020 (2021 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$28.62	\$34.71	21.3	3.3
Sewer	\$8.52	\$3.14	-63.1	-15.3
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

<sup>\*</sup>Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Millions of gallons per day.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

<sup>\*</sup>Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

<sup>\*</sup>Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Monthly water bills are calculated as: monthly base charge + ((5,000 gallons – water provision included in base charge) × \$/1,000 gallons). Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.

## Community Data Snapshot | Lisle | Water Supply



#### Water Loss\*

Reporting utility: Lisle

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	0.20
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	\$332,514
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	8.7

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

<sup>\*</sup>Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

## Community Data Snapshot | Lisle | ON TO 2050



#### **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Lisle	CMAP Region		
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	71.4%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	84.5%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	91.1%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	52.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.20	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	62.5	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$424.43	\$379.91*	N/A**	CMAP, 2021
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 79% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2021
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	3.6%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.5%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	30.6%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021

<sup>\*</sup>Median value of CMAP region's 284 municipalities.

<sup>\*\*</sup>ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.