

# **Chicago Lawn**

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2023 Release





### **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

#### **User Notes**

#### **Definitions**

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

#### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

#### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

#### **Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots**

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



## **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Chicago Lawn.

### **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	55,931	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	17,041	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	3.3	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	0.5	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-8.9	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	1,127	2.2	907,499	33.1	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	28,881	56.4	787,795	28.7	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	20,005	39.1	788,673	28.8	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	121	0.2	185,202	6.8	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1,035	2.0	72,950	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	Chi	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	3,926	7.7	163,123	5.9	502,928	5.9	
5 to 19	10,460	20.4	461,695	16.8	1,662,052	19.4	
20 to 34	12,461	24.4	741,032	27.0	1,774,853	20.7	
35 to 49	9,453	18.5	556,412	20.3	1,724,098	20.1	
50 to 64	7,521	14.7	469,414	17.1	1,659,323	19.4	
65 to 74	4,183	8.2	208,056	7.6	746,030	8.7	
75 to 84	2,799	5.5	99,230	3.6	347,665	4.1	
85 and Over	366	0.7	43,157	1.6	153,584	1.8	
Median Age	33.3		35.1		37.9		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



#### Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	7,772	23.9	263,057	13.7	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	13,300	40.9	419,545	21.9	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	6,571	20.2	326,785	17.0	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	1,902	5.9	110,376	5.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	2,033	6.3	462,522	24.1	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	920	2.8	337,486	17.6	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

### Nativity, 2017-2021

	Chic	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	38,785	75.8	2,188,765	79.8	6,938,399	81.0	
Foreign Born	12,384	24.2	553,354	20.2	1,632,134	19.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Chicag	go Lawn	City of C	hicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	22,165	46.9	1,671,467	64.8	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	24,504	51.9	606,594	23.5	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	25	0.1	75,676	2.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	27	0.1	49,631	1.9	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	72	0.2	20,258	0.8	73,710	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	14,932	0.6	63,720	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,055	0.3	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	48	0.1	29,153	1.1	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	250	0.5	77,526	3.0	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	152	0.3	25,704	1.0	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	25,078	53.1	907,529	35.2	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	10,813	22.9	371,513	14.4	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

<sup>&</sup>quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### Household Size, 2017-2021

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	5,774	33.6	425,352	38.2	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	3,828	22.3	328,536	29.5	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	2,822	16.4	150,999	13.6	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	4,757	27.7	207,694	18.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, 2017-2021

	Chica	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	11,034	64.2	574,825	51.7	2,062,968	64.1	
Single Parent with Child	2,246	13.1	97,029	8.7	257,853	8.0	
Non-Family	6,147	35.8	537,756	48.3	1,157,783	35.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Income, 2017-2021

	Chica	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	5,847	34.0	242,342	21.8	486,172	15.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	4,734	27.6	204,520	18.4	532,670	16.5	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,691	15.7	166,043	14.9	491,960	15.3	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,695	9.9	129,883	11.7	407,959	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,443	8.4	163,331	14.7	575,992	17.9	
\$150,000 and Over	771	4.5	206,462	18.6	725,998	22.5	
Median Income	\$38,435		\$65,781		\$81,102		
Per Capita Income*	\$18,743		\$41,821		\$43,128		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

### **Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021**

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	14,075	81.9	1,021,348	91.8	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	2,940	17.1	112,128	10.1	240,075	7.5
No Computing Devices	3,106	18.1	91,233	8.2	201,434	6.3
Internet Access	13,315	77.5	979,268	88.0	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	12,785	74.4	939,349	84.4	2,855,152	88.6
No Internet Access	3,866	22.5	133,313	12.0	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

	Chica	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	17,181	88.9	1,112,581	88.8	3,220,751	92.3	
Owner-Occupied*	7,630	44.4	507,809	45.6	2,075,416	64.4	
Renter-Occupied*	9,551	55.6	604,772	54.4	1,145,335	35.6	
Vacant Housing Units	2,142	11.1	139,897	11.2	267,011	7.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units

### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

	Chica	go Lawn	City of C	Chicago	CMAP F	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	2,836	17.0	161,490	15.1	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	47	0.3	4,233	0.4	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	164	1.0	13,939	1.3	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	2,625	15.7	143,318	13.4	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	7,278	43.6	249,790	23.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	780	4.7	26,989	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	1,397	8.4	48,867	4.6	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	5,101	30.6	173,934	16.2	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,674	16.0	163,939	15.3	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	1,304	7.8	45,130	4.2	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	732	4.4	59,362	5.5	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	638	3.8	59,447	5.5	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	3,890	23.3	496,257	46.3	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	3,126	18.7	328,097	30.6	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	622	3.7	126,224	11.8	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	142	0.9	41,936	3.9	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



### **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Chicago Lawn.

#### Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Chic	ago Lawn	City o	f Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	7,974	41.3	326,305	26.1	1,745,022	50.0
Single Family, Attached	189	1.0	43,303	3.5	259,184	7.4
2 Units	4,481	23.2	176,711	14.1	239,727	6.9
3 or 4 Units	3,803	19.7	184,313	14.7	274,341	7.9
5 to 9 Units	1,585	8.2	142,818	11.4	270,594	7.8
10 to 19 Units	608	3.1	54,675	4.4	155,969	4.5
20 or More Units	608	3.1	320,992	25.6	513,327	14.7
Mobile Home/Other*	75	0.4	3,361	0.3	29,598	0.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

#### Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Chica	ago Lawn	City of	Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	2,809	14.5	333,786	26.7	566,228	16.2
2 Bedrooms	7,684	39.8	424,005	33.9	973,190	27.9
3 Bedrooms	5,515	28.5	336,226	26.8	1,156,700	33.2
4 Bedrooms	2,064	10.7	110,325	8.8	612,171	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	1,251	6.5	48,136	3.8	179,473	5.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Chica	ago Lawn	City of	Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	380	2.0	148,271	11.8	509,505	14.6
Built 1970 to 1999	2,944	15.2	220,148	17.6	1,189,334	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	9,354	48.4	378,892	30.3	1,048,502	30.1
Built Before 1940	6,645	34.4	505,167	40.3	740,421	21.2
Median Year Built	1951		1951		1969	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



### **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Chicago Lawn.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Chica	Chicago Lawn		Chicago	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	4,308	25.1	293,840	26.4	405,467	12.6
1 Vehicle Available	6,835	39.8	495,478	44.5	1,152,274	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	3,777	22.0	241,868	21.7	1,119,802	34.8
3 or More Vehicles Available	2,261	13.2	81,395	7.3	543,208	16.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Chica	igo Lawn	City of (	Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	799	4.2	166,771	12.3	486,188	11.5
Drive Alone	10,894	57.2	641,412	47.4	2,743,345	64.9
Carpool	3,780	19.9	101,838	7.5	321,231	7.6
Transit	3,117	16.4	316,453	23.4	465,784	11.0
Walk or Bike	225	1.2	99,182	7.3	151,257	3.6
Other	222	1.2	27,118	2.0	62,008	1.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	18,238	95.8	1,186,003	87.7	3,743,625	88.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	38.2		34.4		31.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

#### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	12,066	10,316	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

<sup>\*</sup>Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



### **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Chicago Lawn.

### **Employment Status, 2017-2021**

	Chicas	go Lawn	City of C	hicago	CMAP R	legion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	23,688	59.7	1,509,191	67.3	4,614,158	67.3
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	19,570	82.6	1,381,372	91.5	4,306,443	93.3
Unemployed*	4,102	17.3	127,044	8.4	295,199	6.4
Not in Labor Force	15,960	40.3	733,062	32.7	2,237,246	32.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

#### **Employment of Chicago Lawn Residents\*, 2019**

Employment of emeago Earni Res	nacints / 2012	
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,405	14.0
2. Administration	2,059	12.0
3. Manufacturing	1,895	11.0
4. Accommodation and Food Service	1,884	11.0
5. Retail Trade	1,742	10.2
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	7,387	43.0
2. The Loop	2,366	13.8
3. Near North Side	1,011	5.9
4. Near West Side	936	5.5
5. West Lawn	363	2.1

### **Employment in Chicago Lawn\*, 2019**

Count	Percent
1,371	33.2
1,340	32.5
392	9.5
235	5.7
147	3.6
1,900	46.0
291	7.0
135	3.3
118	2.9
95	2.3
	1,371 1,340 392 235 147 1,900 291 135 118

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



#### **Land Use**

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Chicago Lawn.

#### **General Land Use, 2018**

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	670.9	29.7
Multi-Family Residential	254.7	11.3
Commercial	107.0	4.7
Industrial	58.3	2.6
Institutional	65.4	2.9
Mixed Use	32.9	1.5
Transportation and Other	705.5	31.3
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	308.4	13.7
Vacant	53.0	2.3
TOTAL	2,256.2	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

#### Park Access, 2015

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.48	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	100.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

<sup>\*</sup>Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



### **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS.

### **Race and Ethnicity, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	10.1	4.0	2.2
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	35.1	41.0	56.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	52.5	53.6	39.1
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.6	0.7	0.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.7	0.6	2.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Age Cohorts, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	37.5	28.1
20 to 34	21.6	24.4
35 to 49	19.8	18.5
50 to 64	14.3	14.7
65 and Over	6.8	14.4
Median Age	28.6	33.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	31.9	23.9
High School Diploma or Equivalent	32.6	40.9
Some College, No Degree	21.2	20.2
Associate's Degree	4.4	5.9
Bachelor's Degree	7.6	6.3
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.2	2.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



#### **Nativity, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Native	78.5	75.8
Foreign Born	21.5	24.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
English Only	60.3	46.9
Spanish	37.7	51.9
Slavic Languages	0.1	0.1
Chinese	0.1	0.1
Tagalog	0.1	0.2
Arabic	0.6	0.0
Korean	0.4	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.1
Other Indo-European Languages	0.4	0.5
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.2	0.3
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	39.7	53.1
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	18.9	22.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English



#### **Household Size, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	21.5	33.6
2-Person Household	21.1	22.3
3-Person Household	19.0	16.4
4-or-More-Person Household	38.4	27.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### **Household Type, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	75.9	64.2
Single Parent with Child	20.9	13.1
Non-Family	24.1	35.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Household Income, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$43,783	\$38,435

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	81.6	88.9
Owner-Occupied*	46.5	44.4
Renter-Occupied*	53.5	55.6
Vacant Housing Units	18.4	11.1

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	24.7	17.0
Less than 20 Percent	0.3	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	0.6	1.0
30 Percent or More	23.9	15.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	37.3	43.6
Less than 20 Percent	4.2	4.7
20 to 29 Percent	8.2	8.4
30 Percent or More	24.9	30.6
\$50,000 to \$74,999	17.2	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	7.0	7.8
20 to 29 Percent	3.2	4.4
30 Percent or More	7.0	3.8
\$75,000 or More	17.8	23.3
Less than 20 Percent	11.7	18.7
20 to 29 Percent	4.4	3.7
30 Percent or More	1.7	0.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



#### **Housing Type, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	43.4	41.3
Single Family, Attached	1.0	1.0
2 Units	22.6	23.2
3 or 4 Units	14.5	19.7
5 or More Units	18.3	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0.1	0.4

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### **Housing Size, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	18.2	14.5
2 Bedrooms	32.1	39.8
3 Bedrooms	34.1	28.5
4 Bedrooms	10.1	10.7
5 or More Bedrooms	5.4	6.5
Median Number of Rooms*	5.8	5.6

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$ 

### **Housing Age, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2.3	2.0
Built 1970 to 1999	11.5	15.2
Built 1940 to 1969	57.1	48.4
Built Before 1940	29.2	34.4
Median Year Built	1950	1951

 $Source: 2007-2011 \ and \ 2017-2021 \ American \ Community \ Survey \ five-year \ estimates.$ 

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$ "Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.



#### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	25.9	25.1
1 Vehicle Available	41.9	39.8
2 Vehicles Available	22.8	22.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	9.4	13.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### **Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	3.1	4.2
Drive Alone	57.5	57.2
Carpool	14.7	19.9
Transit	22.0	16.4
Walk or Bike	2.4	1.2
Other	0.2	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.9	95.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	37.4	38.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

#### **Employment Status, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	59.7	59.7
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	85.7	82.6
Unemployed*	14.2	17.3
Not in Labor Force	40.3	40.3


Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.



### **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Chicago Lawn		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	99.8%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	14.9%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	75.2%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.09	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	41.6%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021