


# **Greater Grand Crossing**

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2023 Release





# **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

#### **User Notes**

#### **Definitions**

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

#### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

#### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

#### **Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots**

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



# **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Greater Grand Crossing.

# **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

	Greater Grand Crossing	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	31,471	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	12,756	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.4	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-3.5	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-18.5	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

## Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	295	1.0	907,499	33.1	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	660	2.3	787,795	28.7	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	27,757	94.7	788,673	28.8	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	55	0.2	185,202	6.8	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	542	1.8	72,950	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	Greate	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	1,979	6.8	163,123	5.9	502,928	5.9	
5 to 19	6,191	21.1	461,695	16.8	1,662,052	19.4	
20 to 34	6,096	20.8	741,032	27.0	1,774,853	20.7	
35 to 49	4,844	16.5	556,412	20.3	1,724,098	20.1	
50 to 64	5,657	19.3	469,414	17.1	1,659,323	19.4	
65 to 74	2,553	8.7	208,056	7.6	746,030	8.7	
75 to 84	1,165	4.0	99,230	3.6	347,665	4.1	
85 and Over	824	2.8	43,157	1.6	153,584	1.8	
Median Age	36.2		35.1		37.9		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



#### Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021

	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	2,208	11.3	263,057	13.7	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	5,858	30.1	419,545	21.9	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	5,798	29.8	326,785	17.0	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	1,524	7.8	110,376	5.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	2,503	12.8	462,522	24.1	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,594	8.2	337,486	17.6	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

## Nativity, 2017-2021

	Greater	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	28,820	98.3	2,188,765	79.8	6,938,399	81.0	
Foreign Born	489	1.7	553,354	20.2	1,632,134	19.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Greater Gr	and Crossing	City of	Chicago	CMAP Regio	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	26,479	96.9	1,671,467	64.8	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	362	1.3	606,594	23.5	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	18	0.1	75,676	2.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	0	0.0	49,631	1.9	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	48	0.2	20,258	0.8	73,710	0.9
Arabic	42	0.2	14,932	0.6	63,720	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,055	0.3	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	1	0.0	29,153	1.1	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	222	0.8	77,526	3.0	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	158	0.6	25,704	1.0	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	851	3.1	907,529	35.2	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	330	1.2	371,513	14.4	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

<sup>&</sup>quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### Household Size, 2017-2021

	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	5,104	41.3	425,352	38.2	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	3,714	30.0	328,536	29.5	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	1,907	15.4	150,999	13.6	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,636	13.2	207,694	18.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Household Type, 2017-2021

	<b>Greater Grand Crossing</b>		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	6,513	52.7	574,825	51.7	2,062,968	64.1
Single Parent with Child	2,859	23.1	97,029	8.7	257,853	8.0
Non-Family	5,848	47.3	537,756	48.3	1,157,783	35.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Income, 2017-2021

	<b>Greater Grand Crossing</b>		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	5,370	43.4	242,342	21.8	486,172	15.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	2,608	21.1	204,520	18.4	532,670	16.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,704	13.8	166,043	14.9	491,960	15.3
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,211	9.8	129,883	11.7	407,959	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,026	8.3	163,331	14.7	575,992	17.9
\$150,000 and Over	442	3.6	206,462	18.6	725,998	22.5
Median Income	\$32,380		\$65,781		\$81,102	
Per Capita Income*	\$21,159		\$41,821		\$43,128	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.


Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

#### **Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021**

	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	10,968	88.7	1,021,348	91.8	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	3,111	25.2	112,128	10.1	240,075	7.5
No Computing Devices	1,393	11.3	91,233	8.2	201,434	6.3
Internet Access	9,594	77.6	979,268	88.0	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	9,127	73.8	939,349	84.4	2,855,152	88.6
No Internet Access	2,767	22.4	133,313	12.0	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



# **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021**

	Greater Gr	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	12,361	77.8	1,112,581	88.8	3,220,751	92.3	
Owner-Occupied*	4,377	35.4	507,809	45.6	2,075,416	64.4	
Renter-Occupied*	7,984	64.6	604,772	54.4	1,145,335	35.6	
Vacant Housing Units	3,535	22.2	139,897	11.2	267,011	7.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units

## Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

	Greater G	rand Crossing	City of	Chicago	СМАР	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	3,397	30.0	161,490	15.1	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	46	0.4	4,233	0.4	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	301	2.7	13,939	1.3	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	3,050	26.9	143,318	13.4	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	3,585	31.6	249,790	23.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	500	4.4	26,989	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	718	6.3	48,867	4.6	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	2,367	20.9	173,934	16.2	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,689	14.9	163,939	15.3	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	568	5.0	45,130	4.2	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	709	6.3	59,362	5.5	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	412	3.6	59,447	5.5	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	2,664	23.5	496,257	46.3	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	2,148	19.0	328,097	30.6	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	364	3.2	126,224	11.8	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	152	1.3	41,936	3.9	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



# **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Greater Grand Crossing.

## Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Greater G	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	4,251	26.7	326,305	26.1	1,745,022	50.0	
Single Family, Attached	367	2.3	43,303	3.5	259,184	7.4	
2 Units	4,199	26.4	176,711	14.1	239,727	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	2,348	14.8	184,313	14.7	274,341	7.9	
5 to 9 Units	2,963	18.6	142,818	11.4	270,594	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	569	3.6	54,675	4.4	155,969	4.5	
20 or More Units	1,123	7.1	320,992	25.6	513,327	14.7	
Mobile Home/Other*	76	0.5	3,361	0.3	29,598	0.8	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Greate	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	2,687	16.9	333,786	26.7	566,228	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	6,398	40.2	424,005	33.9	973,190	27.9	
3 Bedrooms	4,594	28.9	336,226	26.8	1,156,700	33.2	
4 Bedrooms	1,579	9.9	110,325	8.8	612,171	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	638	4.0	48,136	3.8	179,473	5.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

#### Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	507	3.2	148,271	11.8	509,505	14.6
Built 1970 to 1999	2,087	13.1	220,148	17.6	1,189,334	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	4,594	28.9	378,892	30.3	1,048,502	30.1
Built Before 1940	8,708	54.8	505,167	40.3	740,421	21.2
Median Year Built	1936		1951		1969	

 $Source: 2017\hbox{-}2021\ American\ Community\ Survey\ five-year\ estimates.}$ 

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



# **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Greater Grand Crossing.

## Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Greater Gra	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	4,773	38.6	293,840	26.4	405,467	12.6	
1 Vehicle Available	5,657	45.8	495,478	44.5	1,152,274	35.8	
2 Vehicles Available	1,191	9.6	241,868	21.7	1,119,802	34.8	
3 or More Vehicles Available	740	6.0	81,395	7.3	543,208	16.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Greater Grand Crossing		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	779	7.5	166,771	12.3	486,188	11.5	
Drive Alone	5,153	49.7	641,412	47.4	2,743,345	64.9	
Carpool	720	7.0	101,838	7.5	321,231	7.6	
Transit	3,418	33.0	316,453	23.4	465,784	11.0	
Walk or Bike	91	0.9	99,182	7.3	151,257	3.6	
Other	197	1.9	27,118	2.0	62,008	1.5	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	9,579	92.5	1,186,003	87.7	3,743,625	88.5	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	39.0		34.4		31.7		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

#### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	<b>Greater Grand Crossing</b>	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	9,013	10,316	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

#### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017


	Greater Grand Crossing	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

<sup>\*</sup>Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



# **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Greater Grand Crossing.

## **Employment Status, 2017-2021**

	Greater Gran	Greater Grand Crossing		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	13,161	57.4	1,509,191	67.3	4,614,158	67.3	
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	10,575	80.4	1,381,372	91.5	4,306,443	93.3	
Unemployed*	2,586	19.6	127,044	8.4	295,199	6.4	
Not in Labor Force	9,778	42.6	733,062	32.7	2,237,246	32.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

# **Employment of Greater Grand Crossing Residents\***, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,021	21.2
2. Administration	1,170	12.3
3. Accommodation and Food Service	943	9.9
4. Education	838	8.8
5. Retail Trade	812	8.5
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	3,061	32.2
2. The Loop	1,885	19.8
3. Near North Side	826	8.7
4. Near West Side	578	6.1
5. Hyde Park	213	2.2
Carrage II C. Carrage Brown and Lauranita discar		LID .

## **Employment in Greater Grand Crossing\*, 2019**

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	743	24.4
2. Education	505	16.6
3. Retail Trade	317	10.4
4. Wholesale Trade	303	9.9
5. Other Service	266	8.7
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	1,060	34.8
2. Greater Grand Crossing	150	4.9
3. South Shore	124	4.1
4. Auburn Gresham	122	4.0
5. Chatham	121	4.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



#### **Land Use**

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Greater Grand Crossing.

#### **General Land Use, 2018**

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	371.6	16.4
Multi-Family Residential	326.5	14.4
Commercial	77.0	3.4
Industrial	38.9	1.7
Institutional	256.0	11.3
Mixed Use	21.1	0.9
Transportation and Other	992.6	43.7
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	36.2	1.6
Vacant	149.4	6.6
TOTAL	2,269.4	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

#### Park Access, 2015

	Greater Grand Crossing	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.34	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

## Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Greater Grand Crossing	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	100.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

<sup>\*</sup>Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



# **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS.

## **Race and Ethnicity, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.4	1.0	1.0
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	0.7	1.0	2.3
Black (Non-Hispanic)	97.8	96.6	94.7
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.1	0.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	1.2	1.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## **Age Cohorts, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	32.5	27.9
20 to 34	18.5	20.8
35 to 49	17.9	16.5
50 to 64	18.6	19.3
65 and Over	12.6	15.5
Median Age	34.0	36.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	16.7	11.3
High School Diploma or Equivalent	25.9	30.1
Some College, No Degree	30.6	29.8
Associate's Degree	8.6	7.8
Bachelor's Degree	12.7	12.8
Graduate or Professional Degree	5.5	8.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



#### **Nativity, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Native	99.2	98.3
Foreign Born	0.8	1.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
English Only	97.7	96.9
Spanish	1.3	1.3
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.1
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.1	0.2
Arabic	0.0	0.2
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.2	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.4	0.8
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.3	0.6
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	2.3	3.1
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	0.7	1.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English



#### **Household Size, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	38.9	41.3
2-Person Household	25.3	30.0
3-Person Household	14.2	15.4
4-or-More-Person Household	21.5	13.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## **Household Type, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	57.4	52.7
Single Parent with Child	23.7	23.1
Non-Family	42.6	47.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Household Income, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$36,037	\$32,380

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	78.3	77.8
Owner-Occupied*	36.0	35.4
Renter-Occupied*	64.0	64.6
Vacant Housing Units	21.7	22.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units



# Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	32.8	30.0
Less than 20 Percent	1.9	0.4
20 to 29 Percent	2.8	2.7
30 Percent or More	28.0	26.9
\$20,000 to \$49,999	31.0	31.6
Less than 20 Percent	5.0	4.4
20 to 29 Percent	7.8	6.3
30 Percent or More	18.2	20.9
\$50,000 to \$74,999	16.5	14.9
Less than 20 Percent	6.2	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	6.7	6.3
30 Percent or More	3.6	3.6
\$75,000 or More	15.2	23.5
Less than 20 Percent	11.8	19.0
20 to 29 Percent	2.7	3.2
30 Percent or More	0.7	1.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



#### **Housing Type, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	29.4	26.7
Single Family, Attached	1.6	2.3
2 Units	27.4	26.4
3 or 4 Units	17.9	14.8
5 or More Units	23.3	29.3
Mobile Home/Other*	0.4	0.5

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### **Housing Size, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	22.1	16.9
2 Bedrooms	34.5	40.2
3 Bedrooms	29.5	28.9
4 Bedrooms	7.7	9.9
5 or More Bedrooms	6.1	4.0
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6	5.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$ 

## **Housing Age, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	3.0	3.2
Built 1970 to 1999	9.4	13.1
Built 1940 to 1969	30.7	28.9
Built Before 1940	56.9	54.8
Median Year Built	1934	1936

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$ "Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.



#### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	35.0	38.6
1 Vehicle Available	47.8	45.8
2 Vehicles Available	13.1	9.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	4.1	6.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

# **Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	3.5	7.5
Drive Alone	50.3	49.7
Carpool	9.9	7.0
Transit	32.3	33.0
Walk or Bike	3.5	0.9
Other	0.6	1.9
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.5	92.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	38.1	39.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

#### **Employment Status, Over Time**


	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	59.2	57.4
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	79.3	80.4
Unemployed*	20.7	19.6
Not in Labor Force	40.8	42.6

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>star}\text{Not}$  included in total commuters or mean commute time.



## **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Greater Grand Crossing		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	28.8%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	73.4%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.12	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	48.3%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021