

# Proposed Process for addressing Developments of Regional Importance (DRI)

Kermit Wies  
June/July 2008



## Background

- The Illinois Legislature enabled CMAP to develop a process for reviewing DRI
- The CMAP Board delegated this task to the Programming Coordinating Committee
- Committee developed Tiered Review Process
  - Also concluded that there is no universally accepted set of physical characteristics that signifies a DRI
- Committee agreed to identify DRI through existing CMAP mechanisms



## How is a DRI is referred to the CMAP Board?

- **CMAP staff identifies specific Federal or State actions with regional planning implications, or**
- **A county, municipality, or CMAP Coordinating Committee formally requests a DRI review, or**
- **The CMAP Board independently initiates a DRI review.**



## The CMAP Board's DRI Review Process

- **Tier 1:** Is the proposal subject to an established regional planning process?
- **Tier 2:** Does the proposal include certain characteristics?
- **Tier 3:** Will the proposal have measurable regional impacts ?



## **Tier 1:**

Is the proposal subject to an established regional planning process?

- **If Yes:** Then this is a **Tier 1 DRI**
- **If No:** Then proceed to Tier 2



## **Tier 2:**

Does the proposal significantly:

- Affect important features of the natural environment,
- Change prevailing development density,
- Affect operations on a regional transportation facility,
- Change existing land use patterns,
- Affect the function or performance of a planned public investment ?

**If No:** Then this is a **Tier II DRI**

**If Yes:** Then proceed to Tier 3



### **Tier 3:**

Will the proposal have measurable regional impacts ?

- **If Yes:** recommend that the project sponsor conduct a regional impact analysis.
- **If No:** conclude that the proposal has no significant regional impact.



## **Regional Impact Analysis**

- CMAP Staff will prepare an Outline
  - A project “literature-review”
  - Statements of the likely regional impacts
  - An initial search for data resources
  - Impact assessments to be performed
- Proposal Sponsor will conduct the Analysis



## Process Review Timeline

- June/July–Working Committee & Citizens Advisory Committee Feedback
- August–Programming Committee refines
- September–Stakeholder engagement & Feedback
- October–Programming Committee refines
- November–CMAP Board considers



Chicago Metropolitan  
Agency for Planning