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## Executive Summary

Northeastern Illinois, with approximately 1,500 daily trains operating in the six counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will, is the nation's rail capital. ${ }^{1}$ Metra operates approximately 660 daily trains, Amtrak another 100 daily trains, and 19 freight railroads operate an additional 740 trains each weekday. According to the 2000 Census, northeastern Illinois is also home to approximately 8 million individuals who make about 22 million trips each day on the region's multi-modal transportation system. ${ }^{2}$ The railroad and highway systems intersect at 1,732 public at-grade highway-rail crossings where the motoring public may be delayed while waiting for a train to pass.

Congestion and other community impacts from rail operations are perceived to be a significant threat to the long term vibrancy of the region's economy as well as a daily threat to life and limb. ${ }^{3}$ Members of Illinois' congressional delegation requested the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) to conduct a study of rail operations in northeastern Illinois in order to identify systemic deficiencies and to suggest solutions to identified bottlenecks. ${ }^{4}$ In turn, FRA asked the Commerce Commission (ICC) to assist FRA in one component of the study; estimating the magnitude of grade crossing related delays in the region.

The ICC analysis found that railroad crossings are in use by the railroads for a total of approximately 1,509 hours on a typical weekday. This "gate down" time directly affects 463,438 motorists who are collectively delayed a total of 10,982 hours on a typical weekday. At a nominal value of $\$ 30$ per hour, the delay costs the region almost $\$ 330,000$ each weekday, or between $\$ 74$ and $\$ 120$ million annually.

Grade crossing delays are concentrated at a relatively few locations. Table E-1 illustrates that 69 percent of the region's grade crossings delay 100 or fewer vehicles on a typical weekday.

Table E-1. Number of vehicles delayed summary.

| Number of Vehicles Delayed | Crossings | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Zero | 319 | $18.4 \%$ |
| 1 to 100 | 887 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 101 to 250 | 143 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 251 to 500 | 112 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 501 to 1000 | 128 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 1001 to 2000 | 92 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2000 or greater | 51 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

Likewise, Table E2 indicates that 64 percent of the region's crossings experience less than one hour of total motorist delay per weekday. Grade crossing delay is largely concentrated at 139 locations where there are at least 1,000 vehicles delayed each day, or motorists experience 21 hours or more of total delay per weekday.

Table E-2. Total minutes of motorist delay summary.

| Hours of Total Motorist Delay | Crossings | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Zero | 139 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Less than 1 | 973 | $56.2 \%$ |
| 1 to 5 | 275 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 | 104 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 11 to 20 | 102 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 21 to 50 | 87 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 51 or greater | 52 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Efforts to reduce grade crossing delay in the future are likely to be focused on separating the rail traffic from the highway traffic by constructing grade separations at the locations that delay the greatest number of vehicles. Sixty percent of the 139 grade crossings with a significant number of delay events are located on the highway system that is maintained by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). Many of these locations are in heavily developed urban areas where construction of a grade separation is likely to be extremely expensive and disruptive to the community. Table E3 provides a list of the ten locations that experience the greatest total amount of motorist delay.

Table E-3. Ten grade crossings with the most total motorist delay.

| Rank | City | Street | Hours of <br> Delay | Device | Lanes | On <br> ST HWY? |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BLUE ISLAND | 127TH ST | 278 | Gates | 4 | Yes |
| 2 | DIXMOOR | WESTERN AV | 222 | Gates | 4 | Yes |
| 3 | CHICAGO | 130TH ST | 191 | Gates | 4 | No |
| 4 | RIVERDALE | INDIANA AVE | 184 | Gates | 4 | Yes |
| 5 | CHICAGO RIDGE | RIDGELAND AV | 173 | Gates | 4 | No |
| 6 | FRANKLIN PARK | GRAND AVE | 171 | Gates | 4 | No |
| 7 | CHICAGO | MARQUETTE RD | 162 | Gates | 4 | No |
| 8 | CHICAGO | ARCHER AV | 158 | Gates | 4 | Yes |
| 9 | CHICAGO | 63RD ST | 154 | Gates | 4 | No |
| 10 | CHICAGO | TORRENCE AVE | 151 | Gates | 4 | No |

In many cases, the majority of the benefit of a grade separation lies in improved traffic flow and reduced air emissions. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) program funds are ideally suited for grade crossing separation projects. Unfortunately, CMAQ funds amount to only $\$ 70$ to $\$ 80$ million dollars per year in northeastern Illinois.

The ICC is currently required to commit at least $\$ 6$ million per year to grade separation projects. This amount includes new construction projects, as well as reconstruction and pavementlowering projects. Given that a typical grade separation in a densely developed uban area can cost as much as $\$ 25$ million, neither CMAQ or ICC funds alone or together, will make a
substantial dent in reducing the amount of grade crossing delay in northeastern Illinois in the foreseeable future.

Neither IDOT, the ICC, the railroads, or the affected communities, have the financial resources necessary to significantly reduce the amount of delay currently being experienced at grade crossings. As highway and train traffic continues to increase at a steady rate, the grade crossing delay problem is likely to worsen. Relying on the current grade crossing improvement programs of the ICC and IDOT is not a realistic answer to the grade crossing delay problem. A new program that does not take away from the current improvement programs of the ICC and IDOT is necessary in order to reduce delays at grade crossings in northeastern Illinois.
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## INTRODUCTION

Each day, approximately 1,500 trains operate over approximately 4,000 miles of railroad infrastructure in northeastern Illinois. ${ }^{1}$ Metra, with approximately 660 daily trains, Amtrak, with another 100 daily trains and 19 freight railroads, operate an additional 740 trains within the region. According to the 2000 Census, northeastern Illinois is also home to $8,091,720$ individuals who make approximately 22 million trips each day on the region's multi-modal transportation system. ${ }^{2}$ There are 1,732 locations where railroads and publicly owned highways intersect at grade. Each of these public at-grade crossings holds the potential for delaying the motoring public while a railroad train is operating over the crossing.

Congestion and other community impacts from rail operations are perceived to be a significant threat to the long term vibrancy of the region's economy as well as a daily threat to public safety. ${ }^{3}$ Members of Illinois' congressional delegation requested the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) to conduct a study of rail operations in northeastern Illinois in order to identify systemic deficiencies and to suggest solutions to identified bottlenecks. ${ }^{4}$ In turn, FRA asked the Commerce Commission (ICC) to assist FRA in one component of the study; estimating the magnitude of grade crossing related delays in the region.

This study uses a three-step process to estimate grade crossing delay. The first step is estimating the total amount of time that the railroad crossing is in use. This is referred to as the "gate down" time. Next, the gate down time is used to estimate the number of vehicles that would have attempted to use that crossing while the gates were down. Finally, the amount of time that all motorists collectively are delayed at each grade crossing is estimated. Discussion focuses on the number of vehicles delayed and the amount of total delay to the motorist.

## BACKGROUND

Much of the data for this study is drawn from the ICC's grade crossing information system known as CRISIS. Key items, such as, rail line name, number of daily trains, train speed and the number of highway vehicles are currently in CRISIS. However, the quality of these data items is suspect so staff has reviewed and edited these items extensively in order to produce an estimate that reflects the current railroad operating environment.

This study covers all railroad lines within Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will counties in northeastern Illinois. There are approximately 4,000 miles of railroad infrastructure and 1,732 public at-grade crossings which are the focus of this study. Figure 1 provides a map of the study area.

The goal of this analysis is to estimate the number of vehicles that are delayed each weekday, as well as the total amount of time that all motorists are collectively delayed at each grade crossing. The total amount of time that all motorists are collectively delayed at each grade crossing is the focus of this analysis.

Figure 1. Study area.


## Methodology

This section describes the procedures used to estimate the amount of "gate down" time, the number of vehicles delayed, and the total amount of time all motorists are collectively delayed at grade crossings on a typical weekday. The data utilized has been reviewed and the methods employed to enhance the basic quality of the data are discussed. This section also discusses the selection of a set of 20 grade crossings used as control crossings. The control crossings are used to validate the outcome of the model to ensure that the results are reasonable. The basic approach was to compile and analyze the data in a spreadsheet format. The ICC's geographic information system (GIS) was also used to review and enhance the quality of the basic data, as well as produce line density and congestion maps.

ICC maintains a database describing the physical, administrative and operational characteristics of all public, private and pedestrian at-grade crossings and grade separated structures in Illinois. The grade crossing inventory and statistical information system (CRISIS) provided the basic data used in this analysis. CRISIS contains railroad subdivision and branch line data that can be used to identify crossings which are on a specific line segment and would experience similar train operations. CRISIS also contains information describing the maximum, minimum and timetable authorized train speeds. Finally, CRISIS also contains average annual daily traffic (AADT) for highway vehicles. These data items are the basic building blocks that are used to construct a model of grade crossing delay.

The railroad line name data item was the first item that needed review and verification. Not all grade crossing data records had a line name, and many that did, were not consistent with adjacent grade crossings. After review and editing, a total of 115 unique railroad line segments were identified. All grade crossings located on local industry service tracks were aggregated together into one line segment per railroad. Table 1 shows that the majority of line segments are industrial service line segments; however, the majority of grade crossings are located on main lines.

Table 1. Number of main line, branch line, and industry service line segments and grade crossings.

| Line Type | Segments | Percent | Crossings | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Branch | 12 | $10.4 \%$ | 134 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Industry | 59 | $51.3 \%$ | 600 | $34.6 \%$ |
| Main | 44 | $38.3 \%$ | 998 | $57.6 \%$ |
| Total | 115 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,732 | $100.0 \%$ |

It was important to go through the line and branch data in CRISIS and develop a consistent route system in order to apply train and speed data to a collection of crossings that all belong to the same route. For example; the BNSF from Cicero to Aurora is one route over which the number of trains and train speed is relatively constant. However, in CRISIS, there is a range of train speeds and train volumes that are inconsistent.

Each type of route has a specific set of operating characteristics that was assigned to each crossing based on whether the route was a main line, branch line, or industry route. Main line freight trains were estimated to be 7,000 feet in length and to operate at the average speed that
was derived from CRISIS. Branch line trains were estimated to be 3,500 feet in length and also assumed to be operated at the speed derived from CRISIS data. Industry trains, or local switching trains, were estimated to be 1,000 feet in length and operate at either 5 or 10 miles per hour, or as indicated in CRISIS.

Once each crossing was assigned to a route, staff applied the new route structure to the railroad network that is maintained in the ICC's geographic information system (GIS). This enabled staff to create route density maps of the railroad network in northeastern Illinois and to verify that train volumes and speed are logical and consistent from one route segment to the next. Table 2 provides a list of the data processing steps taken to produce the basic database used in this analysis.

Table 2. Data processing steps.

1. Build route structure out of crossing data in CRISIS.
2. Build route structure out of rail network in GIS.
3. Verify accuracy of route structure by overlaying grade crossings on to the GIS theme of the rail network and verify that the route structure created for the crossings, mirrors the route structure of the rail network.
4. Classify routes as being main line, branch line, or industrial service spurs.
5. Review average number of train data and assign the most likely value to all crossings on that particular line segment.
6. Of the total number of daily trains, determine the number of trains which are Metra and Amtrak trains and subtract from total leaving new values for total daily freight, Metra and Amtrak trains.
7. Review speed data and assign the most likely value to all crossings on that particular line segment.
8. Assign highest permitted speed to Metra and Amtrak trains and a slower speed to freight trains.
9. For freight trains, apply standard length factors for type of rail line. Main line trains are assigned length values of 7,000 feet, branch line trains are assigned length value of 3,500 feet and industrial service spur trains are assigned train length values of 1,000 feet.
10. Verify that correct train and speed values have been assigned to each crossing based on the type of crossing and the type of trains that operate over the crossing.
11. Using GIS, identify crossings that are within 4 blocks, or one-half mile of a major freight yard.
12. Assign a weight of 1.65 to these crossings to be used to factor the delay values upwards.
13. Using GIS, identify crossings that are within 4 blocks, or one-half mile of a Metra station.
14. Assign a weight of 1.65 to these crossings to be used to factor the delay values upwards.
15. Review AADT values in CRISIS. Crossings which have zero values for AADT, are changed to a value of 1 .
16. Review train count values in CRISIS. Crossings which have zero values for daily trains are left as such unless certain that a different value is appropriate.

In order to validate the CRISIS data and the outcome of the model, staff desired to obtain empirical data. In northeastern Illinois, 71 percent of the grade crossings have active warning devices consisting of either flashing lights or flashing lights and gates. These grade crossings generally have event recorders that record the initiation of the warning device and the speed of the train as it approaches the grade crossing. Many event recorders also provide the time that the gates returned to the upright position following the passing of a train, thus providing the total "gate down" time. Unfortunately, event recorder data can only be obtained by visiting the crossing and manually downloading the data to a diskette.

Since the Commission does not have the staff resources necessary to visit all 1,244 grade crossings equipped with active warning devices, staff decided that data from a sample of 20 grade crossings would be sufficient to validate the data within CRISIS and the conclusions of this analysis. For these 20 control crossings, staff will utilize the event recorder data to calculate the length of time the gates are down and compare that time against the estimate produced by the delay model. The 20 control crossings are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Twenty control crossings.

| CROSSING | AAR | NEAR | CITY | StREET | DEVICE | AADT | TRAINS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 079493L | BNSF | In | RIVERSIDE | HARLEM AV | Gates | 39,500 | 140 |
| 079530L | BNSF | In | WESTMONT | CASS AVE | Gates | 18,900 | 147 |
| 163415H | CSX | In | BLUE ISLAND | WESTERN AV | Gates | 9,900 | 60 |
| 163433F | CSX | In | EVERGREEN PAR | 95TH ST | Gates | 33,500 | 41 |
| 163419K | CSX | In | BLUE ISLAND | 127TH ST | Gates | 24,700 | 41 |
| 163580T | CSX | In | CHICAGO RIDGE | RIDGELAND AV | Gates | 24,200 | 85 |
| 173887G | UP | In | CHICAGO | NAGLE AV | Gates | 27,800 | 64 |
| 174010L | UP | In | BELLWOOD | 25TH AV | Gates | 28,300 | 100 |
| 174087Y | UP | In | DES PLAINES | TOUHY AV | Gates | 40,900 | 47 |
| 174945D | UP | In | LOMBARD | FINLEY RD | Gates | 22,900 | 100 |
| 174983M | UP | Near | WEST CHICAGO | ROOSEVELT RD | Gates | 22,500 | 75 |
| 176923K | UP | In | ARLINGTON HTS | ARLINGTON HTS RL | Gates | 22,500 | 64 |
| 289536G | NIRC | In | CHICAGO | STONY ISLAND AV | Gates | 37,000 | 58 |
| 326905D | IHB | In | DIXMOOR | WESTERN AV | Gates | 9,900 | 87 |
| 372133T | NIRC | In | RIVER GROVE | CUMBERLAND AV | Gates | 37,900 | 64 |
| 372177 T | NIRC | In | WOOD DALE | IRVING PARK RD | Gates | 25,600 | 46 |
| 386381 H | NIRC | In | NILES | TOUHY AV | Gates | 35,400 | 56 |
| 388037 N | NIRC | In | NORTHBROOK | DUNDEE RD | Gates | 31,000 | 70 |
| 609011 A | NIRC | In | CHICAGO | 95TH ST | Gates | 21,100 | 64 |
| 843806F | BRC | In | CHICAGO | ARCHER AV | Gates | 18,000 | 63 |

Once staff selected the control locations, each of the railroads that owned the grade crossing warning devices was contacted to request a dump of the event recorder data for a 24 -hour weekday period. Staff also contacted the Association of American Railroad's Chicago Transportation Coordinating Office to request the most current train count data available.

Once the data had been formatted, a spreadsheet model of grade crossing delay was constructed. The estimation process was broken down into three steps:

1. Estimate the amount of time that gates are down and blocking highway travel
a. Minutes of delay by train type: Freight, Metra and Amtrak
b. Output is total number of minutes of "gate down" time
2. Estimate the number of vehicles impacted by the "gate down" time
a. Apply total number of minutes against number of vehicles per minute
b. Output is total number of vehicles delayed
3. Estimate the total amount of time that all motorists are collectively delayed
a. Divide number of vehicles into 10 time intervals and sum minutes
b. Output is total amount of collective delay experienced by all motorists

In step 1, the amount of "gate down" time is estimated separately for the three types of train traffic: freight, Metra, and Amtrak. Freight traffic is divided into three types based on the type of line segment: branch, industrial service, or main line. For each line type; train lengths and speeds were estimated. The goal was to determine the total number of train movements over a crossing, their average length and speed and then use these two pieces of information to estimate the total time in minutes that a grade crossing is in use by the railroad. Table 4 provides an illustration of the process used to estimate freight train "gate down" time.

Table 4. Example calculation of freight train "gate down" time.

| GX ID | RR | Total Daily Trains | Total <br> Daily <br> Frts | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Individual } \\ \text { Train } \\ \text { Length } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average <br> Freight <br> Speed | Speed in Feet per Minute | Total Length of All Freights | Minutes of Gate Down Time | Is a freight yard w/in $1 / 2$ mile? | If yes, <br> then factor <br> by 1.65 | Total Gate Down Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163419K | CSX | 41 | 41 | 7.000 | 20 | 1.760 | 287.000 | 163 | Yes | 1.65 | 269 |
| 326905D | IHB | 87 | 87 | 7.000 | 20 | 1,760 | 609,000 | 346 | Yes | 1.65 | 571 |
| 478713F | NS | 52 | 52 | 7,000 | 25 | 2,200 | 364,000 | 165 | Yes | 1.65 | 273 |
| 326894T | IHB | 87 | 87 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 609,000 | 346 | Yes | 1.65 | 571 |
| 163580T | CSX | 80 | 80 | 7.000 | 35 | 3,080 | 560,000 | 182 | Yes | 1.65 | 300 |
| 326729H | IHB | 76 | 76 | 7,000 | 35 | 3,080 | 532,000 | 173 | Yes | 1.65 | 285 |
| 843811C | BRC | 32 | 32 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 224,000 | 127 | Yes | 1.65 | 210 |
| 843806F | BRC | 32 | 32 | 7.000 | 20 | 1.760 | 224.000 | 127 | Yes | 1.65 | 210 |
| 843810V | BRC | 32 | 32 | 7.000 | 20 | 1.760 | 224.000 | 127 | Yes | 1.65 | 210 |
| 478712Y | NS | 52 | 52 | 7,000 | 25 | 2,200 | 364,000 | 165 | Yes | 1.65 | 273 |
| 843807M | BRC | 32 | 32 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 224,000 | 127 | Yes | 1.65 | 210 |
| 163422T | CSX | 41 | 41 | 7.000 | 20 | 1,760 | 287,000 | 163 | Yes | 1.65 | 269 |
| 163433F | CSX | 41 | 41 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 287,000 | 163 | No | 1.00 | 163 |
| 163431S | CSX | 41 | 41 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 287,000 | 163 | No | 1.00 | 163 |
| 867231E | UP | 51 | 51 | 7.000 | 35 | 3.080 | 357.000 | 116 | Yes | 1.65 | 191 |
| 163437H | CSX | 41 | 41 | 7.000 | 20 | 1.760 | 287.000 | 163 | No | 1.00 | 163 |
| 326901B | IHB | 87 | 87 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 609,000 | 346 | Yes | 1.65 | 571 |
| 174010L | UP | 52 | 52 | 7,000 | 40 | 3,520 | 364,000 | 103 | Yes | 1.65 | 171 |
| 163612W | CSX | 41 | 41 | 7,000 | 20 | 1,760 | 287,000 | 163 | Yes | 1.65 | 269 |

A similar method was applied to estimate the "gate down" time for Metra and Amtrak trains, however due to the high speed and short length of Metra and Amtrak trains, this approach did not produce valid results. Therefore, a standard delay of 60 seconds was adopted for all Metra and Amtrak train movements. Table 5 provides an example of how Metra and Amtrak "gate down" time was estimated.

Table 5. Example calculation of Metra and Amtrak "gate down" time.

| GX ID | RR | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Trains } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Frts } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total Daily Metras | Metra Delay Minutes | Is a Metra Stop w/in 1/2 mile? | If yes, then factor by 1.65 | Total Metra Gate Down Time Minutes | Total Daily Amtraks | Total Amtrak Gate Down Time Minutes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163419K | CSX | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 326905D | IHB | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 478713F | NS | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 326894T | IHB | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 163580T | CSX | 80 | 80 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 326729H | IHB | 76 | 76 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 843811C | BRC | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 843806F | BRC | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 843810V | BRC | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 478712Y | NS | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 843807M | BRC | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 163422T | CSX | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 163433F | csx | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 163431S | CSX | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 867231E | UP | 57 | 51 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 163437H | CSX | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 326901B | IHB | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 174010L | UP | 110 | 52 | 58 | 58 | Yes | 1.65 | 96 | 0 | 0 |
| 163612W | CSX | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | No | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The estimation of "gate down" time was enhanced by using a factor to weight the amount of time crossings are occupied if they are near a major freight yard or a Metra passenger terminal. A value of 1.5 was first applied, however, that value did not yield a result equal to the actual amount of "gate down" time recorded at two crossings for which event recorder data was available. After raising the value to 1.65 , a more reasonable fit between the predicted "gate down" time and that observed empirically, was achieved.

Once the "gate down" time in minutes was estimated for freight, Metra and Amtrak trains per grade crossing, the total "gate down" time was calculated as the sum of the three individual totals. In order to estimate the number of vehicles impacted by the total "gate down" time, the annual average daily traffic (AADT) was divided by the number of minutes in a day $(1,440)$, to derive the number of vehicles per minute. The number of vehicles per minute was then multiplied times the number of total number of "gate down" minutes to estimate the number of vehicles impacted. Table 6 provides an example of this procedure.

Table 6. Example calculation of total "gate down" time and number of vehicles impacted.

| GX ID | RR | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Trains } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Daily } \\ \text { Frts } \end{gathered}$ | Freight <br> Gate Down <br> Time | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Daily } \end{array}$ Metras | Metra Gate Down Time | Total Daily Amtraks | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Amtrak } \\ \text { Gate Down } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | AADT <br> Total | AADT Per Minute | Total Gate Down Time | Total Vehicles Delayed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163419K | CSX | 41 | 41 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,700 | 17.2 | 269 | 4,615 |
| 326905D | IHB | 87 | 87 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,300 | 6.5 | 571 | 3,687 |
| 478713F | NS | 52 | 52 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,900 | 14.5 | 273 | 3,962 |
| 326894T | IHB | 87 | 87 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,700 | 5.3 | 571 | 3,053 |
| 163580T | CSX | 80 | 80 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,200 | 16.8 | 300 | 5,042 |
| 326729H | IHB | 76 | 76 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,200 | 17.5 | 285 | 4,988 |
| 843811 C | BRC | 32 | 32 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,509 | 12.9 | 210 | 2,699 |
| 843806F | BRC | 32 | 32 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,000 | 12.5 | 210 | 2,625 |
| 843810 V | BRC | 32 | 32 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,600 | 12.2 | 210 | 2,567 |
| 478712Y | NS | 52 | 52 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,600 | 11.5 | 273 | 3.147 |
| 843807M | BRC | 32 | 32 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,400 | 10.7 | 210 | 2,246 |
| 163422T | CSX | 41 | 41 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,700 | 8.1 | 269 | 2,186 |
| 163433F | CSX | 41 | 41 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,900 | 21.5 | 163 | 3,499 |
| 163431S | CSX | 41 | 41 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,100 | 20.9 | 163 | 3,409 |
| 867231E | UP | 57 | 51 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 27.500 | 19.1 | 197 | 3.767 |
| 163437H | CSX | 41 | 41 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,500 | 19.8 | 163 | 3,227 |
| 326901B | IHB | 87 | 87 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,900 | 3.4 | 571 | 1,943 |
| 174010L | UP | 110 | 52 | 171 | 58 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 28,300 | 19.7 | 266 | 5,234 |
| 163612W | CSX | 41 | 41 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,400 | 6.5 | 269 | 1,756 |
| 079493L | BNSF | 140 | 40 | 91 | 94 | 155 | 6 | 6 | 36,300 | 25.2 | 252 | 6,353 |

- Freight "gate down" time $=$ total length of all freights $/$ speed in feet per minute
- Metra "gate down" time = number of Metra trains x 1 minute
- Amtrak "gate down" time = number of Amtrak trains x 1 minute
- Total "gate down" time = sum of Freight, Metra and Amtrak "gate down" time
- Total vehicles delayed $=$ total "gate down" time x AADT per minute

Once the estimate of the total amount of time that the gates are down and the number of vehicles impacted by the "gate down" time was made, the total amount of time that all motorists are collectively delayed at each grade crossing was estimated.

In order to estimate the total delay to all motorists, two assumptions were made, the first was that vehicles will arrive at the grade crossing in a steady stream and the second was that the time of day does not have an influence on the nature of traffic queues. The first assumption seems plausible, yet the second does not. However, data from detailed traffic studies was not available to provide insight into the nature of traffic queues at highway-rail grade crossings by time-ofday.

The approach taken here is to assume that traffic does flow over the crossing in a steady manner and is not affected by the time of day. Therefore, it is possible to divide the total number of vehicles delayed into ten equal intervals and allocate ten percent of the delay to each interval. The amount of delay per interval can then be summed up to provide the total amount of time that all motorists are collectively delayed at each grade crossing. Table 7 provides an example of how motorist delay was calculated.

Table 7. Example calculation of total delay experienced by all motorists collectively.

| GXID | Total <br> Gate <br> Down <br> Time <br> (Minutes) | Total Vehicles Delayed | Average <br> Delay <br> Per <br> Train <br> (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed 100\% of Avg. Delay / Train (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed $90 \%$ of Avg. Delay / Train (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed $80 \%$ of Avg. <br> Delay/Train <br> (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed 70\% of Avg. Delay/Train (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed 60\% of Avg. Delay/Train (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed <br> 50\% of Avg. <br> Delay / Train <br> (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed 40\% of Avg. <br> Delay/Train <br> (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed 30\% of Avg. Delay / Train (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed $20 \%$ of Avg. <br> Delay/Train <br> (Minutes) | $10 \%$ of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed $10 \%$ of Avg. <br> Delay / Train <br> (Minutes) | Total Delay <br> Experienced <br> by All <br> Motorists <br> Collectively <br> (Minutes) | $\qquad$ | Total Delay <br> Experienced <br> by All <br> Motorists <br> Collectively <br> (Hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163419K | 269 | 4,615 | 6.6 | 3,029 | 2,726 | 2,423 | 2,120 | 1,817 | 1,514 | 1,211 | 909 | 606 | 303 | 16,658 | 3.6 | 278 |
| 326905D | 571 | 3,687 | 6.6 | 2.420 | 2,178 | 1.936 | 1,694 | 1,452 | 1,210 | 968 | 726 | 484 | 242 | 13,309 | 3.6 | 222 |
| 478713F | 273 | 3,962 | 5.3 | 2.080 | 1,872 | 1,664 | 1,456 | 1,248 | 1,040 | 832 | 624 | 416 | 208 | 11,441 | 2.9 | 191 |
| 326894 T | 571 | 3,053 | 6.6 | 2,003 | 1,803 | 1,603 | 1,402 | 1,202 | 1,002 | 801 | 601 | 401 | 200 | 11,019 | 3.6 | 184 |
| 163580T | 300 | 5,042 | 3.8 | 1,891 | 1,702 | 1,513 | 1,323 | 1,134 | 945 | 756 | 567 | 378 | 189 | 10,398 | 2.1 | 173 |
| 326729 H | 285 | 4.988 | 3.8 | 1.870 | 1.683 | 1.496 | 1.309 | 1.122 | 935 | 748 | 561 | 374 | 187 | 10.287 | 2.1 | 171 |
| 843811 C | 210 | 2,699 | 6.6 | 1,771 | 1,594 | 1.417 | 1,240 | 1,063 | 886 | 709 | 531 | 354 | 177 | 9,743 | 3.6 | 162 |
| 843806F | 210 | 2,625 | 6.6 | 1,723 | 1,550 | 1,378 | 1,206 | 1,034 | 861 | 689 | 517 | 345 | 172 | 9,475 | 3.6 | 158 |
| 843810 V | 210 | 2,567 | 6.6 | 1,684 | 1,516 | 1,348 | 1,179 | 1,011 | 842 | 674 | 505 | 337 | 168 | 9,264 | 3.6 | 154 |
| 478712 Y | 273 | 3,147 | 5.3 | 1.652 | 1.487 | 1,322 | 1,157 | 991 | 826 | 661 | 496 | 330 | 165 | 9,087 | 2.9 | 151 |
| 843807M | 210 | 2,246 | 6.6 | 1.474 | 1,326 | 1,179 | 1,032 | 884 | 737 | 590 | 442 | 295 | 147 | 8,106 | 3.6 | 135 |
| 163422T | 269 | 2,186 | 6.6 | 1,435 | 1,291 | 1,148 | 1,004 | 861 | 717 | 574 | 430 | 287 | 143 | 7,891 | 3.6 | 132 |
| 163433F | 163 | 3,499 | 4.0 | 1,392 | 1,253 | 1,113 | 974 | 835 | 696 | 557 | 418 | 278 | 139 | 7,654 | 2.2 | 128 |
| 163431S | 163 | 3,409 | 4.0 | 1,356 | 1,220 | 1,085 | 949 | 813 | 678 | 542 | 407 | 271 | 136 | 7.456 | 2.2 | 124 |
| 867231 E | 197 | 3.767 | 3.5 | 1.304 | 1.173 | 1.043 | 912 | 782 | 652 | 521 | 391 | 261 | 130 | 7.170 | 1.9 | 119 |
| 163437H | 163 | 3,227 | 4.0 | 1,284 | 1,155 | 1,027 | 899 | 770 | 642 | 513 | 385 | 257 | 128 | 7,060 | 2.2 | 118 |
| 326901B | 571 | 1,943 | 6.6 | 1,275 | 1,147 | 1,020 | 892 | 765 | 637 | 510 | 382 | 255 | 127 | 7,012 | 3.6 | 117 |
| 174010L | 266 | 5,234 | 2.4 | 1.267 | 1,141 | 1,014 | 887 | 760 | 634 | 507 | 380 | 253 | 127 | 6,970 | 1.3 | 116 |
| 163612W | 269 | 1,756 | 6.6 | 1,153 | 1,037 | 922 | 807 | 692 | 576 | 461 | 346 | 231 | 115 | 6,339 | 3.6 | 106 |
| 079493L | 252 | 6,353 | 1.8 | 1,144 | 1,029 | 915 | 800 | 686 | 572 | 457 | 343 | 229 | 114 | 6,289 | 1.0 | 105 |

It is assumed that the vehicles in the first decile will remain at the crossing for the entire amount of the average delay per train. The vehicles in the second decile will be at the crossing for 90 percent of the total per train average delay, vehicles in the third decile will be at the crossing for 80 percent of the average per train delay, etc. Using this approach, the total collective delay experienced by all motorists in northeastern Illinois was estimated to be 10,982 hours on an average weekday. The average delay experienced by each motorist is 1.42 minutes, or 85 seconds.

This section has provided a review of the steps employed to prepare and enhance the quality of the basic data required to conduct an analysis of motorist delay at grade crossings. The next section provides a series of tables that highlight the significant results of this analysis.

## RESULTS

On a typical weekday approximately 10,982 hours of delay are experienced by 463,438 motorists at public highway-rail grade crossings in northeastern Illinois. Freight trains are estimated to be responsible for approximately 60 percent of the total delay while Metra and Amtrak trains account for the remaining 40 percent. Table 8 indicates that the majority of grade crossings ( 69.6 percent) cause a delay to fewer than 100 vehicles per day. Likewise, Table 8 also indicates that the majority of grade crossings ( 64.2 percent) cause one or fewer hours of total delay to all motorists collectively per weekday. Grade crossing delay is concentrated at a relatively small number of individual locations (139).

Table 8. Majority of grade crossings experience little delay.

| Number of Vehicles Delayed | Crossings | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Zero | 319 | $18.4 \%$ |
| 1 to 100 | 887 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 101 to 250 | 143 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 251 to 500 | 112 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 501 to 1000 | 128 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 1001 to 2000 | 92 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2000 or greater | 51 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| Hours of Total Motorist Delay | Crossings | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Zero | 139 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Less than 1 | 973 | $56.2 \%$ |
| 1 to 5 | 275 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 | 104 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 11 to 20 | 102 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 21 to 50 | 87 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 51 or greater | 52 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Of the twenty-one railroads operating in northeastern Illinois, in the aggregate, grade crossings on the Union Pacific Railroad account for the largest amount of delay. Table 9 shows that while the UP experiences the greatest amount of motorist delay, this is only natural since the UP also owns the largest number of grade crossings in northeastern Illinois. CSX, however, which owns relatively few grade crossings (only 96 as opposed to UP's 492), experiences the second greatest amount of motorist delay ( 2,102 hours compared to UP's 2,477 hours). This underscores the fact that the motorist delay model is very sensitive to average freight train speed and length so railroads that operate a large number of long slow trains should produce a greater amount of the region's total delay.

Table 9. Motorist delay by railroad.

| AAR | Number of Crossings | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { "Gate Down" } \\ \text { Hours } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Vehicles Delayed | Motorist Hours of Delay | \% of Motorist Hours of Delay | Avg. Delay Per Vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BNSF | 200 | 192 | 59,313 | 1.054 | 9.6\% | 1.07 |
| BRC | 43 | 39 | 17,621 | 972 | 8.9\% | 3.31 |
| CC | 63 | 15 | 6.491 | 135 | 1.2\% | 1.25 |
| CRL | 10 | 1 | 216 | 3 | 0.0\% | 0.86 |
| CSL | 2 | 1 | 606 | 31 | 0.3\% | 3.10 |
| CSS | 3 | 3 | 801 | 8 | 0.1\% | 0.58 |
| CSX | 96 | 136 | 49.682 | 2.102 | 19.1\% | 2.54 |
| CWP | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.00 |
| EJE | 133 | 37 | 9.378 | 231 | 2.1\% | 1.48 |
| GTW | 45 | 42 | 20.699 | 541 | 4.9\% | 1.57 |
| IC | 45 | 22 | 3.407 | 58 | 0.5\% | 1.02 |
| IHB | 37 | 103 | 25.952 | 1.107 | 10.1\% | 2.56 |
| Metra | 228 | 328 | 100,228 | 1.420 | 12.9\% | 0.85 |
| MJ | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.94 |
| NS | 111 | 41 | 11,768 | 453 | 4.1\% | 2.31 |
| SOO | 110 | 11 | 2.344 | 48 | 0.4\% | 1.22 |
| UP | 492 | 493 | 135.209 | 2,477 | 22.6\% | 1.10 |
| WC | 82 | 45 | 19.682 | 339 | 3.1\% | 1.03 |
| WSOR | 13 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.63 |
| XXXX | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.94 |
| Total | 1,732 | 1,509 | 463,438 | 10,982 | 100.0\% | 1.42 |

The thirty railroad line segments that delay the most vehicles are presented in Table 10. The BNSF line between Cicero and Aurora delays the single greatest number of motor vehicles on a typical weekday. The UP Metra West Line to Geneva and the UP Metra Northwest line to Harvard also delay a substantial number of motor vehicles on a daily basis.

Table 10. Number of vehicles delayed by railroad line segment.

| Railroad Line | Number of Crossings | "Gate Down" Hours | Vehicles Delayed | Motorist Hours of Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BNSF-[BN]-AURORA TO CICERO | 37 | 154 | 51,664 | 868 |
| UP-[CNW]-METRA HARVARD SUB | 77 | 129 | 39,289 | 534 |
| UP-[CNW]-METRA WEST LINE | 39 | 130 | 38,344 | 645 |
| CSX-MAIN LINE BLUE ISLAND TO 59TH ST | 32 | 108 | 35,974 | 1,699 |
| METRA-MILWAUKEE NORTH LINE | 25 | 58 | 29,186 | 387 |
| METRA-MILWAUKEE WEST LINE | 50 | 83 | 27,417 | 408 |
| UP-[CWI-CEI]-MAIN LINE | 51 | 111 | 23,726 | 617 |
| CN/IC-[GTW] MAIN LINE | 45 | 42 | 20,699 | 541 |
| UP-[CNW]-VALLEY LINE | 13 | 24 | 19,514 | 455 |
| CN/IC-[WCI NCS MAIN LINE | 56 | 34 | 17.235 | 268 |
| IHB-MCCOOK TO FRANKLIN PARK | 12 | 55 | 15.882 | 513 |
| BELT-CLEARING TO CRAGIN | 6 | 21 | 12,864 | 774 |
| CSX-CSX/IHB BLUE ISLAND TO MCCOOK | 6 | 24 | 12,650 | 382 |
| METRA-ELECTRIC-SOUTH CHICAGO | 38 | 55 | 10,319 | 156 |
| IHB-CALUMET PARK TO BLUE ISLAND | 5 | 48 | 9.737 | 586 |
| METRA-ROCK MAIN Joliet to Vermont St | 17 | 23 | 9.565 | 141 |
| METRA-RI BEVERLY DIST Vermont St to 103rd St | 27 | 32 | 7,533 | 112 |
| CN/IC-[CCP]-MAIN LINE WEST | 50 | 15 | 7,395 | 155 |
| NS-MAIN LINE | 6 | 24 | 7,391 | 352 |
| UP-[CNW]-METRA NORTH LINE | 32 | 46 | 6,654 | 88 |
| BNSF-[ATSF]-COAL CITY TO JOLIET | 15 | 24 | 6.066 | 157 |
| METRA-MILWAUKEE FOX LAKE LINE | 19 | 15 | 5.262 | 65 |
| EJE-WEST MAIN LINE | 74 | 19 | 4,761 | 118 |
| METRA-ELECTRIC-BLUE ISLAND | 27 | 28 | 4,424 | 65 |
| EJE-EAST MAIN LINE | 32 | 16 | 4,418 | 109 |
| METRA-ROCK MAIN Vermont St to 103rd St | 14 | 11 | 4.167 | 65 |
| UP-[CNWI-MILWAUKEE LINE | 17 | 12 | 3.510 | 64 |
| METRA-WABASH LINE - Chicago to Orland Park | 23 | 6 | 2,804 | 33 |
| CN/IC-[WC] MAIN LINE | 18 | 11 | 2,418 | 71 |
| METRA/AMTRAK-UNION STATION NORTHSIDE | 9 | 24 | 2,355 | 22 |
| Total | 872 | 1,381 | 443,222 | 10,450 |
| Percent of Top 30 Out of Total | 50.3\% | 91.5\% | 95.6\% | 95.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total of All Railroad Lines | 1,732 | 1,510 | 463,438 | 10,982 |

The thirty rail line segments that delay the most vehicles account for approximately 96 percent of all the vehicles delayed in the region. Table 11 provides a summary of the amount of motorist delay for the top thirty rail lines. The top line segments in Table 11 are similar to those presented in Table 10. The BNSF's Cicero to Aurora main line is number two when measured in terms of total motorist delay instead of number one when measured in just the number of vehicles impacted, while CSX's Blue Island to $59^{\text {th }}$ Street main line has the greatest amount of motorist delay, yet is number four when measured in terms of just the number of vehicles impacted.

Table 11. Amount of motorist delay by railroad line segment.

| Railroad Line | Number of Crossings | "Gate Down" Hours | Vehicles <br> Delayed | Motorist Hours of Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSX-MAIN LINE BLUE ISLAND TO 59TH ST | 32 | 108 | 35,974 | 1,699 |
| BNSF-[BN]-AURORA TO CICERO | 37 | 154 | 51,664 | 868 |
| BELT-CLEARING TO CRAGIN | 6 | 21 | 12,864 | 774 |
| UP-[CNW]-METRA WEST LINE | 39 | 130 | 38,344 | 645 |
| UP-[CWI-CEI]-MAIN LINE | 51 | 111 | 23,726 | 617 |
| IHB-CALUMET PARK TO BLUE ISLAND | 5 | 48 | 9,737 | 586 |
| CN/IC-[GTW] MAIN LINE | 45 | 42 | 20,699 | 541 |
| UP-[CNW]-METRA HARVARD SUB | 77 | 129 | 39,289 | 534 |
| IHB-MCCOOK TO FRANKLIN PARK | 12 | 55 | 15,882 | 513 |
| UP-[CNW]-VALLEY LINE | 13 | 24 | 19,514 | 455 |
| METRA-MILWAUKEE WEST LINE | 50 | 83 | 27,417 | 408 |
| METRA-MILWAUKEE NORTH LINE | 25 | 58 | 29,186 | 387 |
| CSX-CSX/IHB BLUE ISLAND TO MCCOOK | 6 | 24 | 12,650 | 382 |
| NS-MAIN LINE | 6 | 24 | 7,391 | 352 |
| CN/IC-[WC] NCS MAIN LINE | 56 | 34 | 17,235 | 268 |
| BNSF-[ATSF]-COAL CITY TO JOLIET | 15 | 24 | 6,066 | 157 |
| METRA-ELECTRIC-SOUTH CHICAGO | 38 | 55 | 10,319 | 156 |
| CN/IC-[CCP]-MAIN LINE WEST | 50 | 15 | 7,395 | 155 |
| METRA-ROCK MAIN Joliet to Vermont St | 17 | 23 | 9,565 | 141 |
| EJE-WEST MAIN LINE | 74 | 19 | 4,761 | 118 |
| METRA-RI BEVERLY DIST Vermont St to 103rd | 27 | 32 | 7,533 | 112 |
| EJE-EAST MAIN LINE | 32 | 16 | 4,418 | 109 |
| UP-[CNW]-METRA NORTH LINE | 32 | 46 | 6,654 | 88 |
| BELT-ELSDON BRANCH | 7 | 5 | 1,767 | 81 |
| CN/IC-[WC] MAIN LINE | 18 | 11 | 2,418 | 71 |
| METRA-ELECTRIC-BLUE ISLAND | 27 | 28 | 4,424 | 65 |
| METRA-ROCK MAIN Vermont St to 103rd St | 14 | 11 | 4,167 | 65 |
| METRA-MILWAUKEE FOX LAKE LINE | 19 | 15 | 5,262 | 65 |
| UP-[CNW]-MILWAUKEE LINE | 17 | 12 | 3,510 | 64 |
| BELT-ARGO TO OAKLEY | 7 | 5 | 1,977 | 59 |
| Total | 854 | 1,362 | 441,807 | 10,536 |
| Percent of Top 30 Out of Total | 49.3\% | 90.2\% | 95.3\% | 95.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total of All Railroad Lines | 1,732 | 1,510 | 463,438 | 10,982 |

The thirty rail line segments that cause the greatest amount of motorist delay account for almost 96 percent of all motorist delay in northeastern Illinois. The first three tables in the results section examined delay by railroad and by line segment; the next two tables identify the individual grade crossings that account for the greatest amount of grade crossing delay.

Table 12 indicates that Harlem Avenue and La Grange Road, both on BNSF's main line from Cicero to Aurora, delay the greatest number of vehicles. The thirty grade crossings that delay the most vehicles account for about one-fourth of all the vehicles delayed at public grade crossings in northeastern Illinois.

Table 12. Thirty grade crossings that delay the greatest number of vehicles.

| GXID | RR | City | Street | Vehicles <br> Delayed | Motorist Hours of Delay | Total Daily <br> Trains | Total Daily <br> Freights | Total Daily Metras | AADT | Traffic <br> Lanes | On a St Hwy? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 079493L | BNSF | RIVERSIDE | HARLEM AV | 6,353 | 105 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 36,300 | 4 | Yes |
| 079508Y | BNSF | LAGRANGE | LA GRANGE RD | 5,408 | 89 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 30,900 | 4 | Yes |
| 174010L | UP | BELLWOOD | 25TH AV | 5,234 | 116 | 110 | 52 | 58 | 28,300 | 4 | No |
| 163580T | CSX | CHICAGO RIDGE | RIDGELAND AV | 5,042 | 173 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 24,200 | 4 | No |
| 326729H | IHB | FRANKLIN PARK | GRAND AVE | 4,988 | 171 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 25,200 | 4 | No |
| 163419K | CSX | BLUE ISLAND | 127TH ST | 4,615 | 278 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 24,700 | 4 | Yes |
| 079530L | BNSF | WESTMONT | CASS AVE | 4,393 | 72 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 25,100 | 4 | No |
| 478713F | NS | CHICAGO | 130TH ST | 3,962 | 191 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 20,900 | 4 | No |
| 173996K | UP | MAYWOOD | 1ST AVE | 3,955 | 66 | 110 | 52 | 58 | 28,600 | 4 | Yes |
| 174983M | UP | WEST CHICAGO | ROOSEVELT RD | 3,833 | 52 | 110 | 52 | 58 | 34,200 | 4 | Yes |
| 867231E | UP | CHICAGO | 95TH ST | 3,767 | 119 | 57 | 51 | 0 | 27,500 | 4 | Yes |
| 326905D | IHB | DIXMOOR | WESTERN AV | 3,687 | 222 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 9,300 | 4 | Yes |
| 163433F | CSX | EVERGREEN PARK | 95TH ST | 3,499 | 128 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 30,900 | 6 | Yes |
| 079537J | BNSF | DOWNERS GROVE | BELMONT RD | 3,465 | 57 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 19,800 | 4 | Yes |
| 163431S | CSX | CHICAGO | 103RD ST | 3,409 | 124 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 30,100 | 4 | Yes |
| 372133T | Metra | RIVER GROVE | CUMBERLAND AV | 3,244 | 52 | 69 | 11 | 58 | 38,700 | 4 | Yes |
| 163437H | CSX | EVERGREEN PARK | 87TH ST | 3,227 | 118 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 28,500 | 4 | Yes |
| 478712Y | NS | CHICAGO | TORRENCE AV | 3,147 | 151 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 16,600 | 4 | Yes |
| 386399T | Metra | MORTON GROVE | DEMPSTER ST | 3,136 | 37 | 94 | 20 | 58 | 37,800 | 4 | Yes |
| 326894T | IHB | RIVERDALE | INDIANA AVE | 3,053 | 184 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 7,700 | 4 | Yes |
| 386381H | Metra | NILES | TOUHY AV | 2,937 | 34 | 94 | 20 | 58 | 35,400 | 5 | Yes |
| 079489W | BNSF | BERWYN | OAK PARK AV | 2,917 | 59 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 13,500 | 4 | Yes |
| 174087Y | UP | DES PLAINES | TOUHY AV | 2,869 | 52 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 44,200 | 6 | Yes |
| 840144X | UP | CHICAGO | 130TH ST | 2,863 | 91 | 57 | 51 | 0 | 20,900 | 4 | Yes |
| 163576D | CSX | ALSIP | 115TH ST | 2,833 | 97 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 13,600 | 4 | No |
| 174106B | UP | DES PLAINES | RAND RD | 2,774 | 83 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 25,900 | 4 | Yes |
| 843811 C | BRC | CHICAGO | MARQUETTE RD | 2,699 | 162 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 18,509 | 4 | No |
| 163578S | CSX | OAK LAWN | CENTRAL AV | 2,689 | 56 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 21,300 | 4 | No |
| 388037 N | Metra | NORTHBROOK | DUNDEE RD | 2,679 | 31 | 94 | 20 | 58 | 32,300 | 4 | Yes |
| 386379G | Metra | CHICAGO | DEVON AV | 2,630 | 40 | 94 | 20 | 58 | 24,100 | 4 | Yes |
|  |  |  | Total | 109,306 | 3,212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13 indicates that the thirty grade crossings that account for the most hours of motorist delay account for approximately 36 percent of the entire amount of system-wide motorist delay. Tables 12 and 13 confirm that grade crossing delay is concentrated at a few high volume locations. This would suggest that grade separations at these thirty locations will yield a significant improvement in traffic flow and consequent reduction in vehicle emissions.

Table 13. Thirty grade crossings that cause the greatest amount of motorist delay.

| GXID | RR | City | Street | Motorist <br> Hours of Delay | Vehicles Delayed | Total <br> Daily <br> Trains |  | Total <br> Daily <br> Metras | AADT | Traffic <br> Lanes | On a St Hwy? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163419K | CSX | BLUE ISLAND | 127TH ST | 278 | 4,615 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 24.700 | 4 | Yes |
| 326905D | IHB | DIXMOOR | WESTERN AV | 222 | 3.687 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 9,300 | 4 | Yes |
| 478713F | NS | CHICAGO | 130TH ST | 191 | 3,962 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 20,900 | 4 | No |
| 326894T | IHB | RIVERDALE | INDIANA AVE | 184 | 3,053 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 7,700 | 4 | Yes |
| 163580T | CSX | CHICAGO RIDGE | RIDGELAND AV | 173 | 5,042 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 24,200 | 4 | No |
| 326729H | IHB | FRANKLIN PARK | GRAND AVE | 171 | 4,988 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 25,200 | 4 | No |
| 843811C | BRC | CHICAGO | MARQUETTE RD | 162 | 2,699 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 18,509 | 4 | No |
| 843806F | BRC | CHICAGO | ARCHER AV | 158 | 2,625 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 18,000 | 4 | Yes |
| 843810V | BRC | CHICAGO | 63RD ST | 154 | 2,567 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 17,600 | 4 | No |
| 478712Y | NS | CHICAGO | TORRENCE AV | 151 | 3,147 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 16,600 | 4 | Yes |
| 843807M | BRC | CHICAGO | 55TH ST | 135 | 2,246 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 15,400 | 4 | No |
| 163422T | CSX | CHICAGO | 119TH ST | 132 | 2,186 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 11,700 | 2 | No |
| 163433F | CSX | EVERGREEN PARK | 95TH ST | 128 | 3.499 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 30,900 | 6 | Yes |
| 163431S | CSX | CHICAGO | 103RD ST | 124 | 3,409 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 30,100 | 4 | Yes |
| 867231E | UP | CHICAGO | 95TH ST | 119 | 3,767 | 57 | 51 | 0 | 27,500 | 4 | Yes |
| 163437H | CSX | EVERGREEN PARK | 87 TH ST | 118 | 3,227 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 28,500 | 4 | Yes |
| 326901B | IHB | DIXMOOR | THORNTON RD | 117 | 1,943 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 4,900 | 2 | No |
| 174010L | UP | BELLWOOD | 25TH AV | 116 | 5,234 | 110 | 52 | 58 | 28,300 | 4 | No |
| 163612W | CSX | DOLTON | LINCOLN AVE | 106 | 1,756 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 9,400 | 2 | Yes |
| 079493L | BNSF | RIVERSIDE | HARLEM AV | 105 | 6,353 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 36,300 | 4 | Yes |
| 163415H | CSX | BLUE ISLAND | WESTERN AV | 105 | 1,738 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 9,300 | 4 | Yes |
| 163576D | CSX | ALSIP | 115TH ST | 97 | 2,833 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 13,600 | 4 | No |
| 163611P | CSX | CHICAGO | 138TH ST | 95 | 1,571 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 8,409 | 4 | No |
| 843808 U | BRC | CHICAGO | 59TH ST | 92 | 1,531 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 10,500 | 4 | No |
| 840144X | UP | CHICAGO | 130TH ST | 91 | 2,863 | 57 | 51 | 0 | 20,900 | 4 | Yes |
| 163425N | CSX | CHICAGO | 111TH ST | 90 | 2,480 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 21,900 | 2 | Yes |
| 163416P | CSX | BLUE ISLAND | BROADWAY | 90 | 1,495 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 8,000 | 2 | Yes |
| 326851A | IHB | LAGRANGE | 47TH ST | 90 | 2,613 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 13,200 | 4 | Yes |
| 079508Y | BNSF | LAGRANGE | LA GRANGE RD | 89 | 5,408 | 140 | 40 | 94 | 30,900 | 4 | Yes |
| 174106B | UP | DES PLAINES | RAND RD | 83 | 2,774 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 25.900 | 4 | Yes |
|  |  |  | Total | 3.966 | 95,310 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Approximately two-thirds of the thirty grade crossings that experience the greatest amount of grade crossing delay are on the State maintained highway system, even though only about 18 percent of all grade crossings in northeastern Illinois are on the State maintained highway system. This is a logical finding since the Illinois Department of Transportation is primarily responsible for roadways that are regional or interstate in nature. These roadways will naturally carry more motor vehicles and are more likely to be congestion points.

Grade crossing delay, like the railroad network itself, is concentrated in Cook County. Cook County has approximately 57 percent of the region's grade crossings (993) and approximately 81 percent of the total motorist delay. Table 14 provides a summary of the number of grade crossings and amount of delay by county.

Table 14. Delay by county.

| Warning Device | Number of Crossings | Percent of Crossings | Total <br> Number of Vehicles Delayed | Percent of Vehicles Delayed | Total Amount of Motorist Delay | Percent of Motorist Delay | AADT | Percent of AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cook | 993 | 57.3\% | 333,133 | 71.9\% | 8,884 | 80.9\% | 7,237,715 | 66.0\% |
| Du Page | 176 | 10.2\% | 64,519 | 13.9\% | 1,052 | 9.6\% | 1,069,101 | 9.7\% |
| Kane | 144 | 8.3\% | 9.219 | 2.0\% | 152 | 1.4\% | 538.763 | 4.9\% |
| Lake | 161 | 9.3\% | 34.195 | 7.4\% | 529 | 4.8\% | 1,189,794 | 10.8\% |
| Mc Henry | 89 | 5.1\% | 7,909 | 1.7\% | 110 | 1.0\% | 282,025 | 2.6\% |
| Will | 169 | 9.8\% | 14,463 | 3.1\% | 256 | 2.3\% | 653,378 | 6.0\% |
| Total | 1,732 | 100.0\% | 463,438 | 100.0\% | 10,982 | 100.0\% | 10,970,776 | 100.0\% |

Finally, the last portion of the results section summarizes the amount of delay by city. The residents and municipal officials of several cities, such as Blue Island Maywood and Des Plaines, have a perception that the grade crossings in those communities experience a great amount of delay and associated community disruption. This analysis has found that perception is closely tied to reality in this case. The City of Chicago has the greatest amount of delay when measured in terms of both the number of vehicles delayed and the total amount of motorist delay. This is to be expected since Chicago has about one-fourth of all the grade crossings in the region. Table 15 illustrates that Des Plaines, Downers Grove, Blue Island and La Grange are the other cities that experience significant numbers of vehicles being delayed on a typical weekday.

Table 15. Thirty cities with the greatest number of vehicles delayed.

| Rank | City | Total Number of Vehicles Delayed | Percent of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed | Total Hours of Motorist Delay | Percent of Motorist Delay | Total Amount of AADT | Percent of AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHICAGO | 111,466 | 24.1\% | 3,356 | 30.6\% | 2,748,930 | 25.1\% |
| 2 | DES PLAINES | 26,783 | 5.8\% | 546 | 5.0\% | 610,649 | 5.6\% |
| 3 | DOWNERS GROVE | 12,365 | 2.7\% | 198 | 1.8\% | 73,301 | 0.7\% |
| 4 | BLUE ISLAND | 12,304 | 2.7\% | 626 | 5.7\% | 135,446 | 1.2\% |
| 5 | LAGRANGE | 11,409 | 2.5\% | 258 | 2.3\% | 61,794 | 0.6\% |
| 6 | FRANKLIN PARK | 9,399 | 2.0\% | 299 | 2.7\% | 151,667 | 1.4\% |
| 7 | EVERGREEN PARK | 8,357 | 1.8\% | 276 | 2.5\% | 117,646 | 1.1\% |
| 8 | MORTON GROVE | 7,322 | 1.6\% | 89 | 0.8\% | 85,209 | 0.8\% |
| 9 | RIVERSIDE | 7,238 | 1.6\% | 121 | 1.1\% | 75,236 | 0.7\% |
| 10 | WEST CHICAGO | 7,225 | 1.6\% | 120 | 1.1\% | 104,876 | 1.0\% |
| 11 | NORTHBROOK | 6,703 | 1.4\% | 104 | 1.0\% | 126,800 | 1.2\% |
| 12 | DIXMOOR | 6,172 | 1.3\% | 358 | 3.3\% | 28,888 | 0.3\% |
| 13 | MAYWOOD | 6,037 | 1.3\% | 100 | 0.9\% | 43,627 | 0.4\% |
| 14 | WHEATON | 5,764 | 1.2\% | 90 | 0.8\% | 44,945 | 0.4\% |
| 15 | WESTERN SPRINGS | 5,740 | 1.2\% | 87 | 0.8\% | 36,218 | 0.3\% |
| 16 | BARRINGTON | 5,624 | 1.2\% | 91 | 0.8\% | 122,818 | 1.1\% |
| 17 | BERWYN | 5,520 | 1.2\% | 112 | 1.0\% | 25,986 | 0.2\% |
| 18 | CHICAGO RIDGE | 5,429 | 1.2\% | 179 | 1.6\% | 54,909 | 0.5\% |
| 19 | RIVER GROVE | 5,417 | 1.2\% | 86 | 0.8\% | 98,018 | 0.9\% |
| 20 | BELLWOOD | 5,268 | 1.1\% | 117 | 1.1\% | 57,009 | 0.5\% |
| 21 | CRYSTAL LAKE | 4,549 | 1.0\% | 61 | 0.6\% | 129,686 | 1.2\% |
| 22 | ELGIN | 4,518 | 1.0\% | 69 | 0.6\% | 111,267 | 1.0\% |
| 23 | ARLINGTON HTS | 4,394 | 0.9\% | 66 | 0.6\% | 85,174 | 0.8\% |
| 24 | WESTMONT | 4,393 | 0.9\% | 72 | 0.7\% | 25,100 | 0.2\% |
| 25 | LAKE FOREST | 4,335 | 0.9\% | 65 | 0.6\% | 54,635 | 0.5\% |
| 26 | CHICAGO HEIGHTS | 4,306 | 0.9\% | 119 | 1.1\% | 212,026 | 1.9\% |
| 27 | DOLTON | 4,305 | 0.9\% | 212 | 1.9\% | 27,209 | 0.2\% |
| 28 | PALATINE | 4,241 | 0.9\% | 58 | 0.5\% | 58,680 | 0.5\% |
| 29 | MC COOK | 4,165 | 0.9\% | 142 | 1.3\% | 94,318 | 0.9\% |
| 30 | VILLA PARK | 4,147 | 0.9\% | 65 | 0.6\% | 90,800 | 0.8\% |
|  | Total | 314,894 | 67.9\% | 8,143 | 74.1\% | 5,692,867 | 51.9\% |

The thirty cities with the greatest number of vehicles delayed account for approximately 68 percent of all the vehicles delayed. Likewise Table 16 indicates that the thirty cities with the greatest amount of motorist delay account for approximately 77 percent of the total motorist delay in northeastern Illinois.

Table 16. Thirty cities with the greatest amount of motorist delay.

| Rank | City | Total <br> Hours of <br> Motorist <br> Delay | Percent of <br> Motorist <br> Delay | Total <br> Venber of <br> Delayes | Percent of <br> Vehicles <br> Delayed | Total <br> Amount of <br> AADT | Percent of <br> AADT |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | CHICAGO | 3,356 | $30.6 \%$ | 111,466 | $24.1 \%$ | $2,748,930$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| 2 | BLUE ISLAND | 626 | $5.7 \%$ | 12,304 | $2.7 \%$ | 135,446 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 3 | DES PLAINES | 546 | $5.0 \%$ | 26,783 | $5.8 \%$ | 610,649 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 4 | DIXMOOR | 358 | $3.3 \%$ | 6,172 | $1.3 \%$ | 28,888 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 5 | FRANKLIN PARK | 299 | $2.7 \%$ | 9,399 | $2.0 \%$ | 151,667 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 6 | EVERGREEN PARK | 276 | $2.5 \%$ | 8,357 | $1.8 \%$ | 117,646 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 7 | LAGRANGE | 258 | $2.3 \%$ | 11,409 | $2.5 \%$ | 61,794 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 8 | RIVERDALE | 228 | $2.1 \%$ | 3,798 | $0.8 \%$ | 11,688 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 9 | DOLTON | 212 | $1.9 \%$ | 4,305 | $0.9 \%$ | 27,209 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 10 | DOWNERS GROVE | 198 | $1.8 \%$ | 12,365 | $2.7 \%$ | 73,301 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 11 | CHICAGO RIDGE | 179 | $1.6 \%$ | 5,429 | $1.2 \%$ | 54,909 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 12 | MC COOK | 142 | $1.3 \%$ | 4,165 | $0.9 \%$ | 94,318 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 13 | ALSIP | 123 | $1.1 \%$ | 4,089 | $0.9 \%$ | 67,504 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 14 | RIVERSIDE | 121 | $1.1 \%$ | 7,238 | $1.6 \%$ | 75,236 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 15 | HARVEY | 120 | $1.1 \%$ | 4,031 | $0.9 \%$ | 178,608 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 16 | WEST CHICAGO | 120 | $1.1 \%$ | 7,225 | $1.6 \%$ | 104,876 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 17 | CHICAGO HEIGHTS | 119 | $1.1 \%$ | 4,306 | $0.9 \%$ | 212,026 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 18 | BELLWOOD | 117 | $1.1 \%$ | 5,268 | $1.1 \%$ | 57,009 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 19 | BERWYN | 112 | $1.0 \%$ | 5,520 | $1.2 \%$ | 25,986 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 20 | NORTHBROOK | 104 | $1.0 \%$ | 6,703 | $1.4 \%$ | 126,800 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 21 | MAYWOOD | 100 | $0.9 \%$ | 6,037 | $1.3 \%$ | 43,627 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 22 | BARRINGTON | 91 | $0.8 \%$ | 5,624 | $1.2 \%$ | 122,818 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 23 | SOUTH HOLLAND | 90 | $0.8 \%$ | 3,220 | $0.7 \%$ | 107,913 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 24 | WHEATON | 90 | $0.8 \%$ | 5,764 | $1.2 \%$ | 44,945 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 25 | MORTON GROVE | 89 | $0.8 \%$ | 7,322 | $1.6 \%$ | 85,209 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 26 | WESTERN SPRINGS | 87 | $0.8 \%$ | 5,740 | $1.2 \%$ | 36,218 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 27 | RIVER GROVE | 86 | $0.8 \%$ | 5,417 | $1.2 \%$ | 98,018 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 28 | LA GRANGE PARK | 77 | $0.7 \%$ | 3,187 | $0.7 \%$ | 24,059 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 29 | WESTMONT | 72 | $0.7 \%$ | 4,393 | $0.9 \%$ | 25,100 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 30 | ELGIN | 69 | $0.6 \%$ | 4,518 | $1.0 \%$ | 111,267 | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{8 , 4 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1 , 5 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 2 \%}$ | $5,663,664$ | $51.6 \%$ |

Figure 2 provides an illustration of the locations where a large number of vehicles are delayed. Figure 3 provides a map showing the number of hours of motorist delay. Both figures highlight in red octagons the grade crossings that account for the greatest amount of motorist delay.

Figure 2. Map of number of vehicles delayed.


Figure 3. Map of hours of motorist delay.


The event recorder data that was collected was used to examine the validity of the results of this analysis. Unfortunately, event recorder data was only obtained for two locations: Cass Avenue and Harlem Avenue on the BNSF. The data showed that approximately 140 trains per day use these grade crossings and that the average "gate down" time was 260 minutes. This analysis predicted that there would be 252 minutes of "gate down" time. The model provides an overall goodness of fit of 97 percent. However, this result is only valid for grade crossings on the BNSF main line between Cicero and Aurora. Additional event recorder data is required in order to validate the results of this analysis on other line segments.

The preceding section summarized the significant findings from the grade crossing delay analysis. Among the most relevant findings were:

- That grade crossing delay is largely incurred at a relatively small number of grade crossings in the region.
- That the grade crossings with the greatest amount of delay are found along the BNSF Metra corridor between Cicero and Aurora, the UP Metra West and Northwest Lines, the BRC north of Clearing Yard, and along the CSX main line between Blue Island and $59^{\text {th }}$ Street in Chicago.
- That approximately 60 percent of the grade crossings that account for the greatest amount of delay are found on the State maintained highway system, as opposed to being on locally maintained streets and roads.
- That the perception of where congestion exists, corresponded closely to the cities where significant amounts of grade crossing delay occur, namely, Des Plaines, Downers Grove, and Blue Island.


## CONCLUSION

This analysis presents the first attempt by ICC staff to use data available within the ICC's grade crossing information system to estimate the amount of grade crossing delay experienced by motorists in northeastern Illinois on a typical weekday. The data required extensive manipulation before it could be used in this analysis to resolve discrepancies in train count, train speed and the number of highway vehicles using a grade crossing. Much more improvement in the quality of the data is possible and desirable before a definitive analysis of grade crossing delay can be conducted.

The Chicago Transportation Coordinating Office of the Association of American Railroads has indicated that they will be providing new train count data to the ICC staff for many of the critical railroad line segments identified in this report. ICC staff is continuing to work with several railroads to obtain additional grade crossing event recorder data which is essential in order to validate the results of this, or any other analysis. Validation of the model output based on event recorder data from Cass and Harlem Avenues on the BNSF, indicates a 97 percent model fit for crossings on BNSF's Cicero to Aurora line. Additional control data is required to validate the model outcome on other rail line segments.

This method of quantifying grade crossing delay utilized a three-step process in which the amount of time a grade crossing is not available to the public is estimated This has been referred
to as the "gate down" time. Next, the "gate down" time is applied to derive the number of motor vehicles that are delayed by the "gate down" time. Finally, the total amount of delay that all motorists collectively experience is estimated This has been referred to as "motorist delay" throughout this analysis. This analysis estimated that a total of 10,982 hours of motorist delay is experienced on a typical weekday and impacts 463,438 motor vehicles.

A relatively small number of grade crossings account for a relatively large percentage of the total grade crossing delay. Table 17 lists the ten crossings that delay the greatest number of vehicles on a typical weekday in northeastern Illinois. These ten grade crossings alone account for slightly over ten percent of all the motor vehicles delayed $(47,783$ of 463,438$)$.

Table 17. Ten grade crossings that delay the most vehicles.

| GxID | RR | City | Street | Vehicles Delayed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 079493L | BNSF | RIVERSIDE | HARLEM AV | 6,353 |
| 079508Y | BNSF | LAGRANGE | LA GRANGE RD | 5,408 |
| 174010L | UP | BELLWOOD | 25TH AV | 5,234 |
| 163580T | CSX | CHICAGO RIDGE | RIDGELAND AV | 5,042 |
| 326729H | HB | FRANKLIN PARK | GRAND AVE | 4.988 |
| 163419 K | CSX | BLUE ISLAND | 127 TH ST | 4,615 |
| 079530L | BNSF | WESTMONT | CASS AVE | 4.393 |
| 478713F | NS | CHICAGO | 130TH ST | 3,962 |
| 173996K | UP | MAYWOOD | 1ST AVE | 3,955 |
| 174983M | UP | WEST CHICAGO | ROOSEVELT RD | 3,833 |

Efforts to reduce grade crossing delay in the future are likely to be focused on grade crossings which carry the largest number of highway vehicles. Approximately 60 percent of these grade crossings are on the highway system that is maintained by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) and located in developed urban areas where grade separations are likely to be extremely expensive and disruptive to the community to construct.

Grade separations are primarily traffic flow improvements that provide a large benefit to the region in terms of air quality and a smaller benefit in terms of safety. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) program funds are ideally suited for grade crossing separation projects. Unfortunately, CMAQ funds only amount to about $\$ 80$ million dollars per year in northeastern Illinois and there is a large demand for these funds already.

Neither IDOT, ICC, the railroads, or the affected communities alone, or in combination, have the financial resources necessary to make a significant improvement in the amount of delay currently being experienced at grade crossings. As highway and train traffic continues to increase at a steady rate, the grade crossing delay problem is likely to worsen. Relying on the current grade crossing improvement programs of the ICC and IDOT is not a realistic answer to the grade crossing delay problem. A new program that does not take away from the current improvement programs of ICC and IDOT is necessary in order to reduce delays at grade crossings in northeastern Illinois.
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