

233 South Wacker Drive Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400 www.cmap.illinois.gov

Unified Work Program Committee

Agenda Wednesday, February 11, 2015 2:00 p.m.

DuPage County Conference Room 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60606

1.0	Call to Order		
2.0	Agenda Changes and Ann	nouncements	
3.0	Approval of Minutes – Oo ACTION REQUESTED: A		
4.0	proposals. Nine proposals	for Projects was made for the FY 2 s were received for the core projects. Presentations of all the proposities.	ts and 15
5.0	Public Comment		
6.0	Next Meeting March 5, 2015, 2:00 p.m.		
7.0	Adjournment		
<u>Uni</u>	fied Work Program Commi	ttee Members:	
J I	Bruce Carmitchel-IDOT, Chair ohn Donovan-FHWA Dolores Dowdle-CMAP Tony Greep-FTA	Jennifer Henry-CTAHolly Lown-MetraMark Pitstick-RTADavid Seglin-CDOTLorraine Snorden-PACE	Tom Rickert-Counties The Hon. Gene Williams- Council of Mayors

Unified Work Program Com	mittee Alternates:	
Philip Banea-CDOT	Jessica Hector Hsu-RTA	Paula Trigg-County
Mike Connelly-CTA	Tom Radak-PACE	



233 South Wacker Drive Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400 www.cmap.illinois.gov

Unified Work Program (UWP) Committee **DRAFT Minutes**

October 8, 2014--2:00 p.m.

Offices of the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning DuPage County Conference Room 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Committee Members Present (*Alternate):

Bruce Carmitchel, Chair (IDOT), Dave Seglin (CDOT), Michael Connelly (CTA), Dolores Dowdle (CMAP), Jason Osborn (Metra), Mark Pitstick (RTA), Tom Radak* (Pace), Paula Trigg* (Collar Counties), Gene Williams (Council of Mayors), and non-voting member John Donovan (FHWA)

Staff Present:

Others Present:

Len Cannata (WCMC), Jessica Hector-Hsu (RTA), Jennifer Henry

(CTA), Mike Sullivan (Kane County DOT), Mike Walczak

(NWMC), and Tammy Wierciak (WCMC)

John Allen, Craig Heither and Sherry Kane

1.0 Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. by the Chair; members were asked to introduce themselves.

2.0 **Agenda Changes and Announcements**

There were no agenda changes.

3.0 Approval of the Minutes-June 11, 2014

The minutes for June 11, 2014 meeting were approved as presented.

4.0 **FY 2016 UWP Process**

Slightly altered from last year, Dolores Dowdle reported modifications to the FY 2016 process that included the following:

- The call for projects for both the Core and Competitive proposals would be made in January;
- Both the Core and Competitive proposals would be presented to the committee at the February 11 meeting; the Competitive proposals would be ranking after the presentation as to alignment with the regional priorities described in the GO TO 2040 Plan and the 2015 Update.

 After receiving the ranking of the competitive projects, CMAP staff would draft a recommendation for the full FY 16 program for the March 5 meeting.

Dowdle also reviewed the revised schedule. The call for proposals would be announced January 5, 2015, with both Core and Competitive proposals due by January 30. A presentation of proposals would be made at the Committee's February 11, 2015 meeting. The individual committee members' ranking of the proposals would be due to CMAP by February 20, 2015. Due on February 26, 2015 is CMAP's tabulation of the committee ranked proposals with funding allocations. The UWP would be asked to adopt the FY 2016 program at its March 5, 2015 meeting. Finally, Dowdle continued, the Transportation Committee would consider the FY 2016 UWP at its meeting in April, followed by the Regional Coordinating Committee in May or June, and final approvals sought by the CMAP Board on June 10 and MPO Policy Committee on June 11, 2015. The UWP document would be released following those approvals.

A motion to approve the changes in the FY 2016 UWP process was made by Mark Pitstick and seconded by Paula Trigg. All in favor, the motion carried.

5.0 Modeling and Travel Survey

Craig Heither, CMAP staff, gave a presentation on the status of advanced modeling projects. Heither's presentation covered the two tracks of advanced model development CMAP has pursued: improved modeling of people's travel behavior, and of freight movements. Work over the past several years has resulted in the development of an activity-based model (ABM) which can be used to analyze congestion pricing scenarios and the impacts of transit modernization projects. Current work, set to be completed in June 2015, is focused on integrating the ABM with a Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) model, which provides a more realistic representation of traffic flow dynamics. Heither also discussed the current status of the Meso Freight model and the work underway (set to be completed in June 2015) to add an economic extension to the model to allow for scenario testing and the options CMAP has for conducting its next household travel and activity survey.

6.0 Committee Membership

Committee Membership changes included the following. Mike Connelly announced that Jennifer Henry would serve the UWP committee as the CTA member, while he would serve as the alternate. Also, Mark Pitstick noted that Jessica Hector-Hsu would serve as the RTA alternate to the committee, while he continues to serve as the primary member.

7.0 FY 2014 Fourth Quarter Expenditure Report

Briefly stated, the FY 2014 Fourth Quarter Expenditure report was presented for review. Dolores Dowdle reported that the fourth quarter had seen progress with the completion of 2008, 2009 and 2010 projects by the City and PACE, as well as County and Metra projects.

8.0 Evaluation of Local Technical Assistance (LTA) Program

CMAP staff Bob Dean gave an in-depth report on the Local Technical Assistance (LTA) Program Evaluation, stating that the point of the evaluation (or exercise) was to look at the past 3 years of programming, considering what had been done and looking at where to go next in implementing the goals of the GO TO 2040 plan. Dean reported that 87 projects had been completed to date and that an additional 25 will be started in 2015, described project types and costs and geography of projects, and described the GO TO 2040 priorities that were most frequently the subject of LTA plans. The most reinforced were land use/transportation, housing and stormwater supply, Dean continued, with a moderate level of projects categorized as intergovernmental and the least associated with human capital, with freight also falling short.

Dean also reported that following the evaluation of the program, four main categories of recommendations had surfaced, that include: (a) the program has been successful and should continue to be a part of CMAP's work program; (b) CMAP should increase the focus of the LTA program on plan implementation; (c) CMAP should confirm that there is full local commitment to the project—either in the form of a local match, or in a commitment of time; and (d) CMAP should continue to ensure diversity in project types, with a higher focus in the short term on projects in the areas of freight, economic development (reinvestment) and stormwater management.

9.0 Other Business

There was no other business before the UWP committee.

10.0 Public Comment

There were no comments from the public.

11.0 Next Meeting

The UWP Committee is scheduled to meet next on February 11, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.

12.0 Adjournment

A motion to adjourn at 3:00 p.m., by Mike Connelly was seconded by Paula Trigg. All in favor, the motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Dolores Dowdle, CMAP

/stk

01-02-2015





233 South Wacker Drive Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400 www.cmap.illinois.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: UWP Committee

From: Dolores Dowdle

Deputy Executive Director, Finance and Administration

Date: February 5, 2015

Re: FY 2016 UWP Proposals

On January 5, 2015 a Call for Projects was made for the FY 2016 UWP proposals. Nine proposals were received for the core projects and 15 for the competitive projects. It is anticipated that the FY 2016 UWP mark will be the same of FY 15, \$16,757,725. Presentations of all the proposals will be made to the UWP Committee at its meeting on February 11, ranking of the competitive proposals due by February 20, and final action of the FY 16 program at its March 5 meeting.

The chart at the end of this report reflects the approved amounts for the FY 2015 funded projects and the FY 2016 proposals. In most cases, the federal funds are being requested at the 80% level. The chart reflects both the federal funds and the total project cost.

CORE PROPOSALS

CMAP - MPO Activities

CMAP is responsible for the implementation of the region's long range plan GO TO 2040; supporting local planning efforts; collecting, analyzing and disseminating transportation data; supporting required MPO activites such as the TIP and Congestion Management Process; performing a range of transportation studies; providing technical assistance; and engaging in coordinated regional outreach. Some of the major areas to be addressed in this program include transportation financing and tax policy, the connections between transportation and economic development (with a focus on the freight industry), housing/job access, and legislative and policy analysis efforts. CMAP provides regional forecasts and planning evaluations for transportation, land use and environmental planning.

The FY 2016 proposal is for \$15,493,300, an increase of 2.75% over last year.

Chicago Department of Transportation - Chicago Transportation Planning and Programming

The program is to support local, regional and national objectives by providing for the participation of the City of Chicago in the MPO's transportation planning and programming process including the development of the long range plan and the TIP, by identifying and developing potential transportation projects and policies and to provide technical analysis and other information to agencies, elected officials and the general public. Such policy, funding and planning assistance facilitates the full and effective participation of Chicago in the regional planning process. CDOT submitted a proposed increase from \$825,000 to \$875,000 for the core project, an increase of 6%.

County - Kane County Long Range Transportation Planning Program

Traditionally the seven Counties have submitted a competitive proposal each year for one of the Counties to review and update policies, strategies and projects to meet regional transportation needs. The FY 16 project will update the socio-economic projections and modeling efforts for the Kane County's long range comprehensive planning efforts. The project will also include an extensive public outreach effort. FY 15 funded DuPage Program for \$312,500. The FY 16 proposal is for \$300,000.

Council of Mayors – Subregional Transportation Planning, Programming and Management

The COM proposal is to provide for strategic participation by local officials in the region's transportation process as required by MAP-21, the Regional Planning Act, and further legislation. To support the Council of Mayors by providing program development, monitoring and active management of STP,CMAQ, SRTS, BRR, HPP, ITEP and other programs as needed, general liaison services, technical assistance and communication assistance. For FY 15, COM was funded for \$1,938,539 and is requested increased funding at \$2,004,439 for FY 16, an increase of 3.4%.

CTA - Program Development

The purpose of this project is to support regional objectives by providing for the strategic participation of CTA in the region's transportation planning process including the development of the RTP and the TIP. It will facilitate CTA's efforts to coordinate the provision of capital projects for customers in its service area with regional program and plans. For FY 15, CTA was funded at \$500,000 for program development and is requesting \$598,750 for FY 16, an increase of 19.75%.

Metra - Program Development

The proposal is for program development of capital transit planning and administration. Metra submitted this core project at the same funding level as the last seven years, \$400,000.

Pace - Ridesharing Services Program

The Pace Rideshare Program includes: transportation coordination and analysis, open seats announcements, assistance with forming rideshare groups, an online ride matching website and a toll-free phone line. Pace submitted this core project at the same funding level as the previous year, \$75,000.

UWP Committee Memo Page 2 of 7 February 5, 2015

Pace - TIP Development and Modeling

The program develops a fiscally constrained Pace Bus Capital Improvement Program for the Northeastern Illinois Region, which is consistent with and support of the five-year regional TIP. Pace submitted this core project at the same funding level as the previous year, \$75,000.

RTA - Community Planning Program Staff

RTA has submitted a core proposal to support staff time for regional transit planning and programming efforts conducted by RTA staff. The FY 15 UWP allocation of \$200,000 supported staff in the Community Planning Program. The grants for the Community Planning Program are funded, in part, with UWP funds. The FY 16 UWP request for the regional transit planning and programming efforts is for \$200,000.

COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS

CMAP, with suballocation to RTA, depending on Projects – Local Technical Assistance and Community Planning Programs

This project will provide grants and consultant assistance to local governments to undertake planning activities that integrate transportation - particularly transit - with land use and housing. These grants will be available for planning activities as well as updates and reviews of local development regulations. Projects will be selected through a competitive application process administered jointly by CMAP and the RTA. This level of funding will support approximately 8-10 local plans. The program was funded at \$750,000 for FY 15 and is requested at \$700,000 for FY 16.

Chicago Department of Transportation - Chicago Bicycle Plan for 2030

The Chicago Bicycle Plan for 2030 (CBP 2030) will replace Chicago's Bike 2015 Plan and provide policy recommendations for successfully integrating bicycle travel into a balanced transportation network that promotes safe, enjoyable, healthy and affordable travel for all users. This plan will support the Go TO 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan's goals of reducing congestion, creating and sustaining a world class transportation system, improving mobility, lowering emissions, and promoting alternative transportation modes such as walking, bicycling and transit.

Chicago Department of Transportation - South Lakefront & Museum Campus Access Alternatives and Feasibility Assessment

This study would assess alternatives and feasibility for adding new access points and stations to the existing McCormick Place Busway, transforming it into the South Lakefront Busway. The study would also assess alternatives and feasibility for linking Museum Campus institutions with each other, CTA's Red and Green Lines, the proposed South Lakefront Busway, and the rapidly redeveloping Cermak Road corridor extending from McCormick Place to Motor Row and Chinatown in an intuitive and visitor-friendly manner that encourages increased transit use.

Chicago Department of Transportation - Pedestrian and Bicyclist Automated Counting Technology Study

This project would pilot and evaluate the use of automated counting equipment at representative locations across Chicago's central business district and neighborhoods.

Chicago Department of Transportation – Truck Routes Planning Study Supplemental This will allow CDOT to complete the Chicago Truck Route Planning Study which will identify and develop a rational system of designated truck routes in the City of Chicago to supplement the State of Illinois and surrounding counties designated Class I and Class II truck routes in the region.

Chicago Department of Transportation – Integrated Safety Data Portal and Investment Prioritization Tool

This project will create a common, web-accessible shared data platform for crash data analysis and visualization. Applications will map and summarize crashes by type (vehicle, pedestrian, injury, etc.), severity, and behavior in conjunction with detailed traffic signals data, real-time traffic conditions, traffic volumes, and pedestrian counts. The proposed platform will have internal applications for complete streets planning and engineering analysis, project evaluation, system management and operations, and investment in decision-making. The platform will also allow external users to view crash maps and statistics, meeting data-sharing goals outlined in the Chicago Pedestrian Plan. The proposal is for \$187,500.

CTA - Improve the efficiency of transit service by upgrading scheduling software

The proposal would purchase the software upgrade of its scheduling software. The upgrade will enhance rail scheduling's ability to alter rail service for major construction activities more quickly through a trip shifting feature. The upgraded software also includes an improved calendar function to help CTA manage creating supplemental service for special events, which is critical for the interface with CTA's new payroll and daily operations system, TOPS. The proposal is for \$675,600.

CTA - Expand Brown Line Core Capacity

The purpose of this project is to provide support for conceptual planning for a Brown Line Core Capacity project, including expansion of Kimball Yard, signal upgrades, and infrastructure realignments to improve travel time.

Lake County - Lake County Amtrak Station Feasibility

The County would conduct a feasibility study of adding a station within the County. Stations are currently located in Downtown Chicago, Glenview, Sturtevant, WI, Milwaukee Airport and Downtown Milwaukee. The feasibility study would identify potential sites for a station stop and rank the sites based on established criteria in order to identify a preferred site. The station could be integrated with existing Metra commuter rail stations or serve as a standalone Amtrak Station. Conceptual design plans of the site layout could be included as part of the feasibility site also. The proposal is for \$200,000.

Metra - Origin-Destination Survey

The proposal is to update the existing (2014, 2006, 2002) data on: (1) Metra riders' modes of access to and egress from all 240 Metra non-downtown year-round stations; (2) locations of

UWP Committee Memo Page 4 of 7 February 5, 2015

Metra riders' homes ("productions") and non-home destinations ("attractions"); (3) Metra riders' trip purposes, and (4) usage of different ticket types. This project would be conducted concurrently with Metra "Station/Train Boarding and Alighting Counts" data-collection project. The proposal is for \$700,000.

Metra - Station/Train Boarding and Alighting Count

The proposal would measure weekday passenger use at the rail station/train level, complementing other regularly collected ridership data which are at more aggregate levels of detail. The proposal is for \$600,000.

Pace - Harlem Avenue Transit Amenities Enhancement Project

The proposal is for a land use/transit access study that identifies ways to improve transit accessibility along Harlem Avenue between Harlem Avenue/Lake Street in Oak Park/River Forest and Harlem Avenue/71st Street in Bridgeview/Bedford Park. The recommendations from this study will be used to prepare this portion of the Harlem Avenue corridor for future Arterial Rapid Transit (ART) service. The proposal is for \$250,000.

Pace - Will County-Naperville/Warrenville Fixed Route Planning

The proposal is for a market analysis of current travel patterns and corridor analysis to investigate the feasibility of a fixed route transit service in the Will County-Naperville/Warrenville corridor and to make alignment recommendations. The proposal is for \$200,000.

Pace - Pace Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study

The goal of the study is to provide insights and perception analysis from the Hispanic population in the Pace service area, so that Hispanic population transportation needs can be better met, loyalty strengthened, and ridership increased. The proposal is for \$175,000.

RTA - Parking Estimation Tool for Transit-Oriented Development

The purpose of this project is to develop an interactive, web and GIS-based tool (integrated with the RTAMS site) to determine the most appropriate amount of off-street parking that should be required for multi-unit residential buildings in TOD areas. This tool will be based on real data collected from a sampling of rail TOD areas throughout the RTA's six-county service area (including the City of Chicago). The proposal is for \$170,000.

UWP Committee Memo Page 5 of 7 February 5, 2015

Agency	Project Title	FY 2015- Approved - Federal funds	FY 2015- Approved - Total funds	FY 2016 - Proposals - Federal funds	FY 2016 - Proposals - Total funds
Core					
СМАР	MPO Activities	\$12,063,455	\$15,079,319	\$12,394,640	\$15,493,300
City of Chicago	City of Chicago Transportation and Programming	\$660,000	\$825,000	\$700,000	\$875,000
County	County Long Range Transportation Planning Program - DuPage - FY 15; Kane - FY 16	\$250,000	\$312,500	\$240,000	\$300,000
Council of Mayors	Transportation Planning, Programming, and Management	\$1,384,270	\$1,938,539	\$1,430,744	\$2,004,439
СТА	Program Development	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$475,000	\$593,750
Metra	Program Development	\$320,000	\$400,000	\$320,000	\$400,000
Pace	Rideshare Services Program	\$60,000	\$75,000	\$60,000	\$75,000
Pace	TIP Development and Modeling	\$60,000	\$75,000	\$60,000	\$75,000
RTA	Community Planning Program Staff	\$160,000	\$200,000		
RTA	Regional Transit Planning Staff Support			\$160,000	\$200,000
Total of Core		\$15,357,725	\$19,405,358	\$15,840,384	\$20,016,489

Agency	Project Title	FY 2015- Approved - Federal funds	FY 2015- Approved - Total funds	FY 2016 - Proposals - Federal funds	FY 2016 - Proposals Total funds
Competitive					
CMAP/suballocation to RTA	Local Planning Assistance - Community Planning Program	\$ 600,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 560,000	\$ 700,000
CDOT	CREATE Program Planning Support - Passenger and Commuter Rail Planning	\$ 200,000	\$ 250,000		
CDOT	Chicago Bicycle Plan for 2030			\$ 200,000	\$ 400,000
CDOT/ with CTA)	South Lakefront and Museum Campus Access Alternatives and Feasibility Assessment			\$ 400,000	\$ 500,000
CDOT	Pedestrian and Bicyclist Automated Counting Technology Study			\$ 160,000	\$ 200,000
CDOT	Chicago Truck Routes Planning Study Supplement			\$ 48,000	\$ 60,000
CDOT	Integrated Safety Data Portal and Investment Prioritization Tool			\$ 150,000	\$ 187,500
СТА	Automating Special Transit Services	\$ 256,000	\$ 320,000		
СТА	Improve the efficiency of transit service by upgrading scheduling software			\$ 540,480	\$ 675,480
СТА	Expand Brown Line Core Capacity			\$ 500,000	\$ 625,000
Lake County	Lake County Amtrak Station Feasibility			\$ 160,000	\$ 200,000
Metra	Origin-Destination Survey			\$ 560,000	\$ 700,000
Metra	Station/Train Boarding and Alighting Count			\$ 480,000	\$ 600,000
Pace	Pace/CTA North Shore Transit Service Coordination Plan	\$ 160,000	\$ 200,000		
Pace	Pace ADA Paratransit Customer Satisfaction Survey/Pace Vanpool Customer Satisfaction Survey	\$ 80,000	\$ 100,000		
Pace	Harlem Avenue Transit Amenities Enhancement Project			\$ 200,000	\$ 250,000
Pace	Will County-Naperville/Warrenville Fixed Route Planning			\$ 160,000	\$ 200,000
Pace	Pace Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study			\$ 140,000	\$ 175,000
RTA	Stable Funding for Paratransit Systems	\$ 104,000	\$ 130,000		
RTA	Parking Estimation Tool for Transit-Oriented Development			\$ 136,000	\$ 170,000
Total Competitive		\$ 1,400,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 4,394,480	\$ 5,642,980
Total programs		\$ 16,757,725	\$ 21,155,358	\$ 20,234,864	\$ 25,659,469

FY 2016 UWP Mark	\$ 16,757,725	\$ 16,757,725	

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Core MPO Activities
Sponsoring Agency	CMAP
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$12,394,640
Local Match Amount	\$3,098,660
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$15,493,300

Description and Justification

Brief Description

CMAP is responsible for the implementation of the region's long range plan GO TO 2040; supporting local planning efforts; collecting, analyzing and disseminating transportation data; supporting required MPO activites such as the TIP and Congestion Management Process; performing a range of transportation studies; providing technical assistance; and engaging in coordinated regional outreach. Some of the major areas to be addressed in this program include transportation financing and tax policy, the connections between transportation and economic development (with a focus on the freight industry), housing/job access, and legislative and policy analysis efforts. CMAP provides regional forecasts and planning evaluations for transportation, land use and environmental planning.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

Local Planning

- Direct technical assistance to communities through the LTA program
- Regional technical assistance, including online case study library, Planning Commissioner trainings, and model toolkits and ordinances

Policy Development and Analysis

- Performance Based Funding for Highway and Transit Funding Decisions- Development of Performance Measures, Analytic Tools, Indicators, and Communications Tools
- Monitoring and Policy Analysis of State and Federal Legislation
- Freight Policy- National Coalition Building on Policy Development
- Freight Policy and Planning Development of the existing conditions element of the regional freight plan and ongoing related policy analysis
- Major Capital Project Technical Assistance. Aligning with GO TO 2040 recommendations

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- Congestion Pricing Analysis, Communications and outreach
- Industry Cluster Drill Down Research and Analysis with a focus on understanding overall supply chain trends and regional opportunities and challenges
- Update and continued assessment of Transportation and Economic Development Indicators
- Continuing Analysis of Innovative Financing for Transportation
- Continuing Analysis of State and Local Tax Policy
- Continued analysis of Regional Housing and Land Use trends
- Analysis of major development types and local jurisdiction transportation costs
- Analysis of Transportation and Environmental Impacts
- Continuing Research and Analysis for CMAP's Policy Updates Blog

Comprehensive Regional Plan Development

- Scoping and process development
- Continued research on transportation, land use, economic, environment, housing, and human and community development topics identified as critical for the next CRP.

•

Communications and Outreach

- Printed Communication Materials
- Educational Events and Training Sessions
- Translation Services
- Video
- Design Integration
- Web Maintenance and Development

Research and Analysis

- Regional Inventories
- Long Range Plan Data & Tool Development
- Data Library Management
- External Data Requests
- Advanced Urban Model Development
- Travel and Emissions Modeling
- Transportation Data Analysis
- GO TO 2040 Indicator Tracking

Transportation Improvement Program

•

- Update and maintain the TIP, including processing amendments.
- Manage CMAQ and TAP obligation and project completion.
- Review federal register and other sources for regulatory changes.
- Coordinate IEPA activities with regional requirements
- Update TIP and EMME networks, run MOVES model to obtain emissions based on travel demand model results.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- Document conformity analysis and process
- Consult with federal and state regulatory agencies and ensure that public participation, fiscal constraint and all other applicable federal and state regulations are met.
- Track obligations and actively manage programs.
- Track and analyze STP-L, CMAQ, TAP-L, and other local fund source project status.
- Facilitate and maintain communication with local elected officials, planning liaisons, and other county, regional, state and national partners.
- Staff Council of Mayors Executive Committee, Transportation Committee, CMAQ Project Selection Committee, and Tier II Consultation Team.
- Participate in Council of Mayors transportation, technical and council meetings.
- Complete financial estimates for regionwide transportation programs.
- Ensure air quality standards will be accomplished.
- Analyze regional accomplishments.
- Maintain TIP Map.
- Increase outreach to general public of TIP tools, Communication and Public Involvement.
- Manage design, development and implemention of integrated transportation planning, programming and tracking database.

Performance Based Programming

- Summary of Local Programming Practices
- Performance Monitoring
- CMAQ and TAP Program Development
- Development of investment/performance relationships
- Intelligent Transportation System Planning

Information Technology Management

- Maintain and update the IT infrastructure
- Perform System Administration and computer staff support through management and maintenance of hardware and software for all CMAP computer systems
- Data Center management and workstation support
- Business continuity implementation

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

CMAP performs the core required MPO functions. CMAP involves local governments and coordinates planning actitivies with them, and advances the coordination of transportation planning with land use and other planning. The GO TO 2040 plan, which was adopted in October 2010 and updated in October 2014, is policy-based in nature, and implementing the plan requires closer examination and analysis of elements like innovative financing, tax policies, evaluation criteria, and the impacts of transportation upon land use and economic development. CMAP is responsible for communicating to the public and stakeholder groups all activities and priorities of the CMAP Board and MPO Policy Committee. CMAP is the authoritative source for data and methods used for regional analysis. CMAP promotes online data sharing among MPO

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

partners. Data sharing tools closes a gap in providing transparant decision making tools.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

The proposal responds to a number of the regional priorities: Local Technical Assistance; Long Range Planning/Financial Planning and Innovative Finance/Evaluation Criteria/Implementation of GO TO 2040 Major Capital; Information sharing; Improved access to information; Air Quality ConformityAccess to Information; Efficient governance; Modernization of the Public Transit System; Financial Planning; Improving Decision-Making Models and Evaluation Criteria for Project Selection.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

The proposed activities reflect implementation action areas adopted in the region's long range plan GO TO 2040 and core activity of the MPO and are a continuation of the responsibilities of the MPO.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The products will benefit state and local public officals, transportation implmeneters, economic development practicioners, business leaders, the non-profit sector and residents of the region.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Illinois Department of Transportation

Products and Completion Schedule					
Product	Product Type	Completion	Date		
Local Planning Support					
Selection of new LTA projects		Plan/Program	October		
Call for new LTA applications		Outside distribution	May		
Other regional technical assistar library, Planning Commissioner ordinances	nce activities (online case study trainings, and model toolkits and	Outside distribution	Ongoing		
Initiation and completion of LTA projects (approximately 25 projects per year, funded through UWP and other sources)		Plan/Program	Ongoing		
Policy Development and Ar	<u>ialysis</u>				
Federal and State Legislative Aç	genda	Outside distribution	January 2016		
Staffing of CMAP Committee St	ructure	In-House	Ongoing		
Issue Briefs and Reports Relate Tax Policy	d to Transportation Finance and	Outside distribution	Ongoing		

Products and Completion S	schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion	Date
Continued planning for urban fr	eight network investments	In-House	Ongoing
Coalition building around major	metropolitan freight priorities.	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Serve on Outside Groups and Implementation of GO TO 2040		Outside distribution	Ongoing
Submissions to CMAP's Policy	Updates Blog	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Industry Cluster Drill Downs-fre chain analysis (metals industrie	es focus)	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Continued Regional Coordination Innovation Performance Metrics	·	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Continued Analysis of Transpor and Climate Change Impacts	rtation, Land Use, Environmental	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Comprehensive Regional F	Plan Process		
Continued scope and process of	development	In-House	Ongoing
Strategy and policy work on tracriteria	nsportation investments and	In-House	Ongoing
investment priorities	ransportation implementers about	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Policy research on issues of eq		Outside distribution	Ongoing
Policy research on_housing, lan transportation, environment, an areas identified for the next CR	d human community development	In-House	Ongoing
Communications and Outre	each		
Printed Materials: Fact sheets		Outside distribution	Ongoing
Printed Materials: Reports and	whitepapers	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Printed Materials: Brochures a	•	Outside distribution	Ongoing
to build support and awareness		Plan/Program	Ongoing
events to communicate about G		Plan/Program	Ongoing
Educational Events/Training Se	essions Water 2050 forums	Plan/Program	Ongoing
communities and transportation		Outside distribution	Ongoing
Web Maintenance and Develop GO TO 2040 priorities	ment: Content tightly related to	Outside distribution	Ongoing

Products and Completion Schedule						
Product	Product T	ype	Completion Date			
	Design Integration: Content to strategically integrate policy and planning content for the web and printed media.		Outsic distrib	e	Ongoing	
Web Development: Support for dissemination and collection	customized TIP we	eb data Outside distributi		-	Ongoing	
Regional Information and Data Development						
Data-Sharing Hub		Outside dis	tribution	Ongoi	ng	
External Data Requests		Outside dis		Ongoi	_	
2013 Land Use Inventory		In-house + distribution	In-house + outside		December 2016	
Digital Aerial Image Archive: 198	30	Outside distribution		Decer	December 2015	
Bikeways Inventory (BIS) Enhan	cements	In-house + outside distribution		June 2	June 2016	
Development Database (NDD) E	nhancements	In-house		June 2	2016	
Socio-Economic Forecast Work		In-house			mber 2016	
Long-Range Plan Tool/Data Dev for Internal Distribution	velopment: Report			June 2	June 2016	
Conformity Analysis		Plan/Progra	am	Biann	Biannually	
Regional Travel Demand Model	Validation Report	Outside dis	tribution	June 2	June 2016	
Regional Transportation Data Ar and Maintenance	chive Monitoring	In-House Ongo		Ongoi	Ongoing	
Advanced Urban Model Data Ma	aintenance Plan	In-House		June 2	2016	
GO TO 2040 Indicator Tracking		Plan/Program		Ongoi	ng	
Transportation Improvemen	nt Program					

TIP with modifications and/or amendments and fiscal marks	Plan/Program	Ongoing
Active program management reports	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Obligation Report	Outside distribution	December 2015
TIP Map	Outside distribution	Ongoing
TIP Training	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Conformity Analysis and Documentation	Outside distribution	Biannually
Tier II Consultation Decisions and Documentation	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Analysis of regulatory changes	In-House	Ongoing
TIP Programmer Updates	Outside distribution	Ongoing
TIP Brochures	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Manage CMAQ, TAP, and other local project management reports	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Analysis of CMAQ cost revisions	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Integrated database design documents	In-House	January 2016
Performance Based Programming		
Regional Transportation Performance Measure Update	In-House	Ongoing
Regional Expressway Atlas	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Summer Data Collection Program	In-House	Ongoing
FY 16 – 20 CMAQ and TAP program development	Plan/Program	
Develop methods and data for evaluating programming decisions	In-House	Ongoing
Maintain Signal and other databases	In-House	Ongoing
Congestion Management Process	Plan/Program	Ongoing
Update to Regional Greenways and Trails Plan	Outside distribution	June 2016
RTOC support	In-House	Ongoing
Provide data support for freight planning	In-House	Ongoing
Regional ITS Plan and Architecture	In-House	Ongoing
Information Technology Management		

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Functional interface between agency workstations, internal storage area network, and CMAP Web services	In-House	Ongoing
Office technology systems	In-House	Ongoing
Wiki/CMS Intranet for internal network	In-House	Ongoing
Support of Web-based data dissemination applications	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Support of model development applications	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Support and Development of GIS applications and databases	Plan/Program	Ongoing
Business continuity planning	In-House	Ongoing
Support of Web-based Regional Data Archive	Outside distribution	Ongoing
Internal Systems Audit	In-House	Ongoing

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$13,004,700	
Total Person Months	1033	
Consultant Cost	\$ 600,000	
Other Costs	\$1,888,600	
Total Project Cost	\$15,493,300	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure		
See attached description of Consultants		
Please specify the purpose of other costs		

CMAP BUDGET SUMMARY FOR FY 16 UWP

See attached description of Other Costs. Also attached is a breakdown by program

Program	Staff	Total Person	Consultant	Other Costs	Total CMAP
		Months	Cost		Cost
Local Planning	\$3,652,900	333.60	\$150,000	\$61,400	\$3,864,300
Policy Analysis and					
Development	\$2,739,500	162.00		\$118,500	\$2,858,000

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Performance-Based					
Programming	\$968,100	84.00		\$10,600	\$978,700
TIP	\$1,178,400	83.00	\$350,000	\$16,500	\$1,544,900
Plan Development	\$980,300	82.00		\$3,600	\$983,900
Research and					
Analysis	\$2,109,500	172.20		\$434,400	\$2,543,900
Communication	\$859,600	80.00	\$100,000	\$68,600	\$1,028,200
Information					
Technology					
Management	\$516,400	36.00		\$1,175,000	\$1,691,400
TOTAL	\$13,004,700	1,033.00	\$600,000	\$1,888,600	\$15,493,300

CONTRACT DETAIL

Program	Purpose	Amount
LOCAL PLANNING		
Market Analysis	Market analysis and financial analysis for LTA	\$100,000
	program	
Visualizations	Development of renderings, illustrations, and	\$50,000
	other visualizations for LTA program	
COMMUNICATION AND OUTREACH		
Design Integration	Support for design of the website	\$100,000
TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		
TIP Database Upgrade	Major upgrade and new development of TIP	\$350,000
	database	

UNIQUE OTHER EXPENSES

Program	Purpose	Amount
RESEARCH AND ANALYS	<u>I</u>	
Commercial Data Sets	Purchase of commercial data sources and mapping	\$450,000
INFORMATION TECHNOL	<u>LOGY</u>	
Software	Annual fees	\$330,000
Maintenance/licenses		
IT Support	Management, maintenance and monitoring of all	\$620,000
	CMAP network, financial and communications	
	network	
Equipment	New and replacement of computer equipment	\$150,000
Software	Purchase of new software	\$50,000

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Chicago Transportation Planning and Programming
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Department of Transportation
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$700,000
Local Match Amount	\$175,000
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$875,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description

To support local, regional and national objectives by providing for the participation of the City of Chicago in the MPO's transportation planning and programming process including the development of the long range plan and the TIP, by identifying and developing potential transportation projects and policies and to provide technical analysis and other information to agencies, elected officials and the general public. Such policy, funding and planning assistance facilitates the full and effective participation of Chicago in the regional planning process.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Surface Transportation Program Development and monitoring
- 2. General Liaison
- 3. Technical Assistance and Studies
- 4. TIP Development and Monitoring
- 5. Participation in CMAp committees including the CMAP Board, MPO Policy Committee, Transportation Committee, CMAQ Project Selection Committee, UWP Committee, etc.
- 6. NOTE: Additional detail is provided in the accompanying addendum

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

- 1. TIP: Develop, maintain and monitor a fiscally constrained surface transportation capital improvement program that meets required standards and deadlines.
- 2. RTP: Assist with the implementation of GO TO 2040 by developing and representing the City of Chicago's transportation plans, projects and programs within the regional process.
- 3. UWP: Advance the goals and focus areas of the UWP through the participation of the City of Chicago.
- 4. Public Involvement Plan: Assure public involvement at the project level

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This proposal addresses all of the regional priorities as it allows the City of Chicago Dept of Transportation to fully participate in the regional planning effort and to plan for future transportation needs in a coordinated and comprehensive fashion.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This funds the on-going participation of the City of Chicago in the regional planning process.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Residents, businesses and visitors of the City of Chicago, the northeastern Illinois region, the State of Illinois and the nation.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

City Corporate funding

Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Interaction with elected officials	Outside distribution	6/30/16
Interaction with the public	Outside distribution	6/30/16
Interaction with other agencies including CMAP	Outside distribution	6/30/16
Capital Improvement Program	Plan/Program	6/30/16
Fiscally constrained TIP (CDOT portion)	Plan/Program	6/30/16
Data collection / database development	In-House	6/30/16
Participation in the regional planning process	Plan/Program	6/30/16

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$900,000	
Total Person Months	96	

Consultant Cost	\$0	
Other Costs	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$875,000	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure		
Please specify the purpose of other costs		

ADDENDUM TO THE CITY OF CHICAGO'S APPLICATION FOR FY16 UWP CORE FUNDING

Proposed FY16 Scope of Services

I. Surface Transportation Capital Improvement Program Assistance

- a. As needed for the planning, programming and monitoring of Federal surface transportation capital improvement funds:
 - i. Schedule project kick off meetings with the IDOT's Bureau of Local Roads;
 - ii. Submit to IDOT's District One BLRS all Phase I Engineering Scopes of Work for review;
 - iii. Identify and maintain regular contact with the CDOT and IDOT project managers.
 - iv. Utilize established IPA process for project development and monitoring and obligation of Federal funding.
 - v. Participate in City Council meetings as needed including drafting and submitting of the annual highway ordinance.
 - vi. Coordinate the Chicago regional STP project with the Council of Mayors.
 - vii. Work with the Chicago Office of Budget and Management to secure needed local match.
- b. Coordinate with appropriate IDOT Bureaus, CMAP, other City of Chicago Departments including the Mayor's Office, the Office of Budget and Management, elected officials and other entities as needed to assure the timely progress of projects.
 - Monitor Department project status sheets to report discrepancies and actions necessary to remedy; supply to Local Roads and CMAP.
 - ii. Submit TIP changes in the correct format according to the developed schedule.
 - iii. Attend IDOT's federal/state/local coordination meetings for local projects at the district.
 - iv. Provide additional information on status of projects to appropriate City personnel and outside agencies.
 - v. Provide CMAP information on program issues as they occur.
 - vi. Prepare Individual Project Agreements (IPAs) for City of Chicago construction projects, based on IDOT standard local agency agreement language, identifying the funding participants.

III. STP Program Development

a. Coordinate with other CDOT divisions, City departments and elected officials to prioritize project funding needs in an on-going basis and revise if necessary, in keeping with federal regulations, City priorities and funding constraints.

- b. Coordinate with appropriate City personnel and outside agencies to secure and obtain federal funding.
- c. Coordinate with appropriate City personnel and other agencies as needed to adhere to the established TIP schedule.
- d. Develop an annual and a multi-year program, which are fiscally constrained and realistic in terms of implementation time frame. Submit programs to CMAP in conjunction with the TIP development schedule and associated deadlines and revise as necessary.
- e. Select regionally significant project as per our negotiated STP split agreement with the Council of Mayors and present such project to the Council.
- f. Continued development and implementation of performance based project selection methodology.

IV. General Liaison

- a. Coordinate with and provide assistance to appropriate City departments, elected officials and other agencies on the regional transportation planning process as developed though and by CMAP and the MPO Policy Committee.
 - i. Participate in the long-range transportation plan development process.
 - ii. Provide information on activities of CMAP and relevant CMAP staff activities to appropriate City departments, individuals and elected officials.
 - iii. Provide other reasonable information as requested by the MPO or CMAP
 - iv. Coordinate integration of CMAP focus areas into City priorities as appropriate.
- b. Coordinate with other City departments, elected officials CMAP the MPO Policy Committee and other federal, regional and local agencies including both public and private organizations as appropriate to promote a compact land use development pattern emphasizing in-fill and smart growth strategies to combat regional and local traffic congestion.
- c. Maintain sufficient interaction with other City departments so as to represent in regional forums any other City needs and concerns related to the regional transportation planning process as appropriate.
- d. Administration and Communication
 - i. Keep CMAP and appropriate City departments, personnel and elected officials informed of important issues on an ongoing basis.
 - ii. Perform administrative functions for any Unified Work Program (UWP) projects secured by the City.
- e. Committee Coverage

- i. Attend meetings and provide assistance to City personnel and other agencies as needed to advance the City's transportation program.
- ii. Attend meetings and provide assistance to City personnel and other agencies as needed to facilitate the full and effective participation of the City of Chicago in the region's transportation planning and funding process.
- iii. Represent CDOT as needed to various federal, state and local agencies including the MPO Policy Committee, the CMAP Board and other CMAP committees as necessary to keep informed of regional issues affecting the City of Chicago and the region.

V. Technical Assistance and Studies

- a. Carry out planning studies to identify potential transit, highway, bicycle, pedestrian and intermodal programs, projects and policies and develop alternatives, schedules, budgets, etc.
 - i. Examples of such studies undertaken using past UWP funding are:
 - 1. High Speed Rail coordination and future demand estimates
 - 2. North Branch Truckway concepts
 - 3. Supplemental work on Near South Study (parking and traffic)
 - 4. In-house coordination for Union Station Master Plan
 - 5. In-house coordination for CTA Mode of Access Survey
 - 6. Conceptual planning for the Union Station Transportation Center
 - 7. Complete Streets initiative
 - 8. Force account expenses associated with other planning studies funded with State or City resources
- b. Coordinate projects with other government agencies responsible for project engineering and program implementation, review plans, facility conditions, and other data or program issues.
- c. Conduct scoping of City transit, highway, bicycle, pedestrian, and intermodal projects with consultants and participate in the project scoping for other agencies as required.
- d. Participate with community organizations, institutions and individuals in evaluation of traffic and other transportation operations and in defining capital project scopes of work prior to preliminary engineering.
- e. Consult with project implementors during the preliminary engineering of their capital intensive projects and during the formulation/implementation of low cost capital projects.
- f. Develop and process necessary agreements for program and/or project studies, implementation, funding and jurisdiction.
- g. Develop and process agreements with the private sector for joint implementation of transportation programs/projects.
- h. Review transportation-related legislation, regulations, policies and subregional/local plans
- i. Respond to written and oral requests and inquiries.

j. Assist other public agencies on planning projects.

VI. TIP Development & Monitoring

- a. Develop the City's projects for the annual and multi-year components of the integrated proposals and constrained TIP.
- b. Prepare information for input into the fiscal forecast and participate in the development of the financial plan.
- c. Participate in the development of a Regional CMAQ program.
- d. Compare actual progress of City's projects with scheduled activities, monitor changes in scopes of work and project costs, and prepare TIP amendments as necessary.
- e. Participate in the analysis of Transportation Control Measures.
- f. Monitor progress of the TIP Conformity Analysis.
- g. Prepare periodic reports.

FY 16 Unified Work Program (UWP) for Northeastern Illinois

Core Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Program Development
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Transit Authority
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$475,000
Local Match Amount	\$118,750
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$593,750

Description and Justification

Brief Description

The purpose of this project is to support regional objectives by providing for the strategic participation of CTA in the region's transportation planning process including the development of the RTP and the TIP. It will facilitate CTA's efforts to coordinate the provision of capital projects for customers in its service area with regional programs and plans.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

Develop and coordinate information regarding CTA capital projects for the following:

- 1. Annual Report
- 2. TIP Updates (several per year)
- 3. Capital Amendments CTA/RTA Boards
- 4. FY 2016 2020 CIP -CTA Board
- 5. FY 2016 2020 CIP -RTA Board
- 6. CMAQ Annual Report

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

Yes, support for this project allows the CTA to continue processes to meet its core MPO responsibilities. The development of Capital program of projects for inclusion in the TIP enables the CTA to continue and also implement new projects which will modernize existing infrastructure including but not limited to dedicated bus routes, rail line modernization, track and structural renewal; replace rail/bus rolling stock; renew or improve CTA facilities, implement customer based information systems; and to continue planning for major capital modernization projects for Blue and Red Lines.

FY 16 Unified Work Program (UWP) for Northeastern Illinois

Core Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Modernization of Public Transportation

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Yes, support for this project would be a continuation of previous UWP Core Project funding for CTA for similar work in previous years; the need to develop and update capital programs and coordinate with regional plans is ongoing.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Development of CTA's five-year capital program includes projects located throughout the entire service area. This project will therefore facilitate improvements that will help provide travel options, and thereby provide congestion relief, to the city and region. Current and future CTA customers from all over the region will benefit from improved bus and rail service.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) Operating funds

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Annual Report	In-house	June 2015
TIP Update	In-house	07/17/15
TIP Update	In-house	09/18/15
TIP Update	In-house	11/20/15
Capital Amendments CTA/RTA Boards	In-house	2015/2016
FY 2016 - 2020 CIP -CTA Board	In-house	11/18/15

FY 16 Unified Work Program (UWP) for Northeastern Illinois

Core Projects Proposal Form

FY 2016 - 2020 CIP -RTA Board	In-house	12/17/15
TIP Update	In-house	01/15/16
TIP Update	In-house	03/15/16
TIP Update	In-house	05/15/16
CMAQ Annual Report	In-house	June 2016

Staff (including overhead) cost	\$593,750		
Total Person Months	48		
Consultant Cost	\$0		
Other Costs	\$0		
Total Project Cost	\$593,750		
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure N/A			

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Subregional Transportation Planning, Programming and Management
Sponsoring Agency	Council of Mayors
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$1,430,744.24
Local Match Amount	\$573,695.19
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$2,004,439.43

Description and Justification

Brief Description

To provide for strategic participation by local officials in the region's transportation process as required by MAP-21, the Regional Planning Act, and further legislation. To support the Council of Mayors by providing program development, monitoring and active management of STP, CMAQ, SRTS, BRR, HPP, ITEP and other programs as needed, general liaison services, technical assistance and communication assistance.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Communication and Public Involvement
- 2. General Liaison Services
- 3. Program Development and Monitoring Development of STP and monitoring of all funding sources
- 4. Active Program Management
- 5. Technical Assistance

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

The PL program provides a direct link between municipalities, counties, CMAP, IDOT and other partner agencies working to accomplish core activities. PLs directly manage federally funded projects sponsored by local governments in the TIP, actively participate in the implementation of Go To 2040, assist with air quality conformity and provide/promote local government involvement in all CMAP activities.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Planning work toward continual implementation of Go To 2040 major capital projects, including supportive land use. Local technical assistance and the formation of collaborative planning efforts.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

The PL program is a continuous program.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The region's municipalities, counties and transportation agencies and the constituents of these bodies.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

Each Council provides matching funds from their operating budget. The Council budgets are typically funded by local governments.

Products and Completion Schedule			
Product	Product Type	Completion Date	
Surface Transportation Program		Ongoing	
Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality		Ongoing	
Other Federal Funding Programs		Ongoing	
Other State Funding Programs		Ongoing	
Newsletters/Annual Reports		Ongoing	
Quarterly Reports		Ongoing	
Other Plans/Programs, as needed		Ongoing	
Other Reports, as needed		Ongoing	
Overall Program Management		Ongoing	
Coordination with CMAP, IDOT and other agencies		Ongoing	

Expense Breakdown				
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$2,004,439.43			
Total Person Months	192			
Consultant Cost	\$			
Other Costs	\$			
Total Project Cost	\$2,004,439.43			
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure				
Please specify the purpose of other costs				

Scope of Service/Responsibilities

FY 2016 Planning Liaison Scope of Services Approved by Council of Mayors Executive Committee on 1/27/15

The Planning Liaison (PL) Program is funded with Federal Metropolitan Planning funds, as allocated in the Unified Work Program (UWP). Local matching funds are provided by each local Council. The PL Program receives Core Supplemental funds to assist CMAP, as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Chicago region, in meeting Federal transportation planning requirements including development of a Long Range Transportation Plan, Transportation Improvement Program, and Congestion Management System. The PL Program includes five general task areas described below that will be completed using the Core Supplemental budget as allocated in the FY 2016 UWP.

Communication & Public Involvement

The PL program will be the basic communication link between CMAP and the suburban mayors. PL staff will provide information about CMAP transportation policies, programs and initiatives to local officials and stakeholders, provide feedback regarding those issues to the CMAP staff, committees and Board and ensure that CMAP is apprised of regional and sub-regional issues of importance to their communities. The PL program will be the primary public contact for local government projects in the Interactive TIP Map. The PL program will actively work to assist CMAP staff with the implementation of *Go To 2040*.

General Liaison

The PL program will provide staff assistance as part of the comprehensive regional planning effort. This includes being involved in the CMAP committee structure, providing technical and other support to help achieve CMAP objectives. The PL staff will participate in and provide input on local planning initiatives as well as regional planning efforts surrounding the Transportation Improvement Program, Congestion Management System, and *Go To 2040*, specifically the CREATE program and other major capital projects, the STAR Line Mayors Task Force, the IDOT Eisenhower Expressway (I-290) study, the Southeast Commuter Rail Service, the Cook-DuPage Corridor Study, Tollway efforts (including the I-90 Corridor and IL 53 North Extension), MAP-21 implementation/reauthorization, etc.

Program Development – Surface Transportation Program

The PL staff will facilitate the Surface Transportation Program (STP) at the discretion of local Council methodologies while meeting federal requirements. The PL staff will assist in the development of sub-regional annual and multi-year, multi-modal transportation improvement programs consistent with regional strategies and will be responsible for programming STP projects in the CMAP TIP database and for facilitating the implementation of projects through the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Program Monitoring

The PL program will work with local officials, regional, state and federal agencies and consultants to ensure the timely, efficient and effective implementation of transportation projects. This will include providing regular project status reports as well as close coordination with CMAP and IDOT staff for all locally sponsored projects. The PL program will be responsible for Active Program Management, as well as review applications and assist in implementation for locally sponsored STP projects. The PL program will take an active role in the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) project application review, selection and implementation process. Additional assistance, monitoring and review will be provided for the Safe Routes to

Scope of Service/Responsibilities

FY 2016 Planning Liaison Scope of Services

Approved by Council of Mayors Executive Committee on 1/27/15

School Program, Transportation Alternatives Program, Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program, High Priority Projects Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, Illinois Transportation Enhancement Program as well as all fund sources under MAP-21.

Technical Assistance

The PL program will provide technical support and assistance regarding transportation issues to CMAP and local governments. It will provide data and analysis regarding issues of importance to regional or sub-regional agencies.

Council of Mayors FY 16 UWP Core Program Funding Request - Funding Distribution Table

Approved by Council of Mayors Executive Committee on January 27, 2015

			FY 2016									
Council	Population	% Total	Base Distribution	Population Distribution	Additional Staff	Federal Total	80/20 Federal	50/50 Federal	80/20 Local Match	50/50 Local Match	Local Match Total	Total Federal and Local
NorthShore	326,078	5.71%	\$90,706.70	\$8,277.13	\$0.00	\$98,983.83	\$98,983.83	\$0.00	\$24,745.96	\$0.00	\$24,745.96	\$123,729.78
Northwest	713,803	12.50%	\$90,706.70	\$18,119.80	\$0.00	\$108,826.50	\$108,826.50	\$0.00	\$27,206.62	\$0.00	\$27,206.62	\$136,033.12
NorthCentral	310,457	5.44%	\$90,706.70	\$7,885.74	\$0.00	\$98,592.44	\$98,592.44	\$0.00	\$24,648.11	\$0.00	\$24,648.11	\$123,240.54
Central	257,867	4.51%	\$90,706.70	\$6,537.62	\$0.00	\$97,244.32	\$97,244.32	\$0.00	\$24,311.08	\$0.00	\$24,311.08	\$121,555.39
Southwest	377,340	6.61%	\$90,706.70	\$9,581.75	\$0.00	\$100,288.45	\$100,288.45	\$0.00	\$25,072.11	\$0.00	\$25,072.11	\$125,360.56
South	519,918	9.10%	\$90,706.70	\$13,191.22	\$57,602.44	\$161,500.35	\$103,897.92	\$57,602.44	\$25,974.48	\$57,602.44	\$83,576.91	\$245,077.27
			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DuPage	926,125	16.21%	\$90,706.70	\$23,497.76	\$57,602.44	\$171,806.89	\$114,204.46	\$57,602.44	\$28,551.11	\$57,602.44	\$86,153.55	\$257,960.44
KaneKendall	667,392	11.68%	\$90,706.70	\$16,931.14	\$57,602.44	\$165,240.27	\$107,637.84	\$57,602.44	\$26,909.46	\$57,602.44	\$84,511.89	\$249,752.17
Lake	699,057	12.24%	\$90,706.70	\$17,742.91	\$57,602.44	\$166,052.04	\$108,449.61	\$57,602.44	\$27,112.40	\$57,602.44	\$84,714.84	\$250,766.88
McHenry	325,211	5.69%	\$90,706.70	\$8,248.13	\$0.00	\$98,954.83	\$98,954.83	\$0.00	\$24,738.71	\$0.00	\$24,738.71	\$123,693.53
Will	588,735	10.31%	\$90,706.70	\$14,945.21	\$57,602.44	\$163,254.34	\$105,651.91	\$57,602.44	\$26,412.98	\$57,602.44	\$84,015.41	\$247,269.75
Totals	5,711,983	100%	\$997,773.65	\$144,958.41	\$288,012.18	\$1,430,744.24	\$1,142,732.06	\$288,012.18	\$285,683.01	\$288,012.18	\$573,695.19	\$2,004,439.43

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Modeling and Public Outreach Components for Kane County's Long Range Transportation and Comprehensive Roadway Improvement Plans	
Sponsoring Agency	Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, Will, McHenry, Kendall Counties	
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$240,000	
Local Match Amount	\$60,000	
Total Project Cost (Local		
Match Amount must be at least	\$300,000	
20% of Total Project Cost)		

Description and Justification)

Brief Description The Counties' Core Long-Range Transportation Planning (LRTP) Program provides for the ongoing development and maintenance of multi-jurisdictional plans needed to manage future growth and travel demand. These Plans are tools that guide the programming and planning of infrastructure improvements, services and the allocation of financial resources. A rotating planning cycle among the Counties insures that policies, strategies and projects are reviewed and updated on a periodic basis.

This project will update the socio-economic projections and modeling efforts for the Kane County's long range comprehensive planning efforts. The project will also include an extensive public outreach effort.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Prepare an existing conditions and systems inventory.
- 2. Develop Public Involvement Plan The following list of items will most likely be part of this plan.
 - a. Develop and Maintain Project Website
 - b. Conduct Public Involvement Charettes
 - c. Conduct Stakeholder meetings
 - d. Conduct Public Hearings
- Identify and evaluate potential planning strategies, and choose a preferred scenario.
- 4. Extend the planning horizons and forecast socioeconomic data to establish future travel demand.
- 5. Identify and evaluate transportation deficiencies and transportation improvements that are supportive of preferred scenario.
- Investigate ITS technologies for long term transportation system efficiency.
- 7. Compile recommendations for strategies and major capital projects with project cost

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

estimates to use in updating County long range transportation planning efforts.

8. Conduct financial analysis by comparing revenues to plan costs.

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

CMAP is responsible for reviewing and approving federally funded transportation projects. This project supports CMAP's decision-making efforts and goal to foster (1) mobility and access for people and goods, (2) efficient system performance and preservation, and (3) good quality of life. This project builds on the GO TO 2040 priority - "Invest Strategically in Transportation" - and provides Kane County with a tool to identify transportation needs, prioritize projects, and program/allocate funding.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

- 1) Financial Planning including Innovative Financing Strategies: Continued planning/policy work on important issues of fiscal policy to improve the transportation system.
- 2) Improving Decision-making Models and Evaluation Criteria for Project Selection: Improving decision-making processes for transportation projects and prioritizing investments.
- 3) Local Technical Assistance and the Formation of Collaborative Planning Efforts: Information sharing and formal planning efforts that focus on transportation and related issues of livability.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This effort is a continuation of Kane County's comprehensive 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan, Comprehensive Road Improvement Plan, Transit Plan and Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The project is multi-jurisdictional, requiring the cooperation and coordination of local municipalities, bordering jurisdictions, townships, park and forest preserve districts, IDOT, the Illinois Tollway, and other governmental agencies. Coordination with CMAP, Council of Mayors, RTA and transit service providers insures connectivity and consistency with regional systems, projects, and planning efforts.

The plan development process will engage the public as well as a wide variety of local and regional stakeholders. Products of this plan (both interim and final) will be available to all stakeholders and other regional agencies pursuing similar planning efforts. The benefactors include local municipalities, townships, IDOT, Metra, Pace, the RTA, and CMAP.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

County RTA Sales Tax, County Option Motor Fuel Tax, County Matching Fund, and/or County

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

State Motor Fuel Tax.		

Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Project Initiation - Consultant Selection	In-house	July 2015
Existing Conditions and Deficiency Anaylsis	Outside Distribution	January 2016
Socieconomic & Travel Demand Data/Forcasts	Outside Distribution	June 2016
Alternative improvement scenario development	Outside Distribution	December 2016
Public meetings, outreach and regional coordination	Outside Distribution	Ongoing
Final Plan adoption and distribution	Plan/Program	April 2017

Expense Breakdown			
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$0		
Total Person Months	22		
Consultant Cost	\$300,000		
Other Costs	\$0		
Total Project Cost	\$300,000		

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Analysis of existing conditions, socioeconomic forecasts, travel demand data, transportation system deficiencies; identification of short and long term transportation needs, costs and financing options; development of draft and final transportation plans; and implementation of public outreach efforts.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

The timeframe for project completion is approximately 18 months.	
Please specify the purpose of other costs	

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Program Development
Sponsoring Agency	Metra
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$320,000
Local Match Amount	\$80,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$400,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description

Program Development of capital transit planning and administration

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Provides multi-jurisdictional transit planning
- 2. Addresses regional transportation improvement, enhancement and innovation
- 3. Provides safety and security planning
- 4. Monitors a fiscally constrained TIP
- 5. Addresses congestion mitigation
- 6. Serves as an outlet for proactive public participation

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?) Metra is responsible for developing the capital and operating programs necessary to maintain, enhance, and potentially expand commuter rail service in Northeastern Illinois. Metra participates in the MPO process accordingly. Core element activities done by Metra include:

regional transportation planning efforts, transit planning, private providers coordination, planning for protected populations, safety and security planning, facilitation of communication between local and regional government entitites.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Regional transportation planning process, development of a TIP and public involvement

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

NO

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

MPO (CMAP), transit agencies, Metra commuters and regional municipatites

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Metra funds

Products and Completion Schedule				
Product	Product Type	Completion Date		
Preliminary Capital Program and Budget	Plan/Program	10/15/15		
Public Involvement/Comment	Outside Distribution	10/15/15		
Final Capital Program & Budget	Outside Distribution	11/15/15		
TIP Submittal	Outside Distribution	11/15/15		

Expense Breakdown				
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$400,000			
Total Person Months	48			
Consultant Cost	\$ 0			
Other Costs	\$ 0			
Total Project Cost	\$400,000			
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure				
N/A/				
Please specify the purpose of other costs N/A/				

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Rideshare Service Program
Sponsoring Agency	Pace
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$60,000
Local Match Amount	\$15,000
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$75,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description

The Pace Rideshare Program aims to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicle trips in the Northeastern IL area by providing free commuter assistance. Services include: transportation coordination and analysis, open seats announcements, assistance with forming rideshare groups, an online ride matching website and a toll-free phone line. The grant will fund:

- (1) Staff working with commuters to provide them with available transit options.
- (2) Marketing open rideshare seats and newly forming groups to commuters who register on our website.
- (3) Promoting the program to attract more participation thus achieving critical mass to produce matching results even in less dense areas.
- (4) Website development to improve matching capabilities.
- (5) Encouraging change in commuter behavior by offering incentives and a Commute Calendar to track regional emission reductions when travelers report their commute data.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

Project costs would cover staffing for the following responsibilities:

1. Customer Service

- a. Answer inquiries regarding rideshare open seats, commute options, ideas for finding other commuters.
- b. Email rideshare opportunities to target audiences (registered users and employees/students at destinations) and post through social media avenues.
- c. Update and expand the employer contact database.
- d. Manage rideshare wait list.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- 2. Data processing and management of website modules:
 - a. Employer/Schools verify and approve new data provided by users
 - b. Commuter profiles analyze travel patterns to create new rideshare groups and identify individual potential for open rideshare seats
- 3. Marketing and Outreach
 - a. Develop, produce, and distribute informational material about open seats in rideshare groups and announce newly forming rideshare groups and other Pace RideShare informational pieces
 - b. Promote Pace RideShare program at expos, events, and conferences with employment, transportation, or green themes

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

The Pace RideShare Program is expanding as an effective Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategy to reduce the demand for single occupancy vehicle driving; lower highway congestion, commute times and energy consumption; and improve air quality.

The focus of the Pace RideShare Program is to provide information on commuter options, support the creation and continuation of rideshare groups and develop a program to incentivize commuter behavior to move away from driving alone.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project is the primary active TDM option in Northeastern Illinois with the potential of being a component of a statewide TDM program providing a solution to improve highway planning and ultimately support a better highway network for Illinois' economic future.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Yes, in July 2006, Pace became the Regional Rideshare Administrator bringing together the rideshare resources: online ride matching with a successful Pace Vanpool Program.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Commuters originating from and traveling into Northeastern Illinois can benefit from knowing what their transportation options are, having rideshare opportunities and support available, receiving encouragement to try other commutes besides solo driving and saving money on their commute. Employers will benefit from reduced parking lot costs and increased job accessibility.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Pace Funds.

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Enhance retention of existing rideshare groups by filling open seats and monitoring waiting lists	Plan/Program	Ongoing
Increase program participation by following up with users who have incomplete profiles and low matches, expanding employer outreach, conducting events, utilizing incentives and tracker calendar and partnering with public transportation and environmental agencies, TMAs, employers and educational institutes	Plan/Program	Ongoing
Improve matching capabilities by continuing to remove dormant profiles, analyzing commuter data, maintaining current employer and rideshare group data	Plan/Program	Ongoing

Expense Breakdown			
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$60,000		
Total Person Months			
Consultant Cost	\$		
Other Costs	\$15,000		

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Total Project Cost	\$75,000
--------------------	----------

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Please specify the purpose of other costs

Other costs are primarily intended for the ridematching website hosting and technical support for one year and any remaining funds will be used for marketing expenses such as incentives, registration for outreach events, program materials, advertising space in various media sources and website enhancements to improve rideshare program capabilities.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	TIP Development and Monitoring
Sponsoring Agency	Pace
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$60,000
Local Match Amount	\$15,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$75,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description: To develop a fiscally constrained Pace Bus Capital Improvement Program for the Northeastern Illinois region which is consistent with and supportive of the five year regional TIP.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Develop Pace's capital program for inclusion in the five year TIP.
- 2. Update TIP to include all new project information for 2016-2020.
- 3. Provide analysis of Capital Projects identifying impact on air quality for CMAQ conformity.
- 4. Monitor progress of the TIP Conformity Analysis.

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

Yes, Pace is responsible for developing the capital and operating plan to deliver transportation services to the Northeastern Illinois service area. Pace participates in core activities including: transportation planning, public involvement, and Transportation Improvement Plan.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Modernization of the Public Transit System.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Yes, Pace received funding for the TIP in last year's UWP Process.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project? Not only Pace customers, but users of all types of transit service through better regional cooperation.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Pace funds.

Products and Completion Schedule			
Product	Product Type	Completion Date	
Pace TIP element	In House	On Going	
Pace fiscal year 2015-2019 Capital Program	In House	Dec 2015	
Monitor TIP Program/Projects	In House	On Going	

Expense Breakdown			
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$75,000		
Total Person Months	12		
Consultant Cost	\$0		
Other Costs	\$0		
Total Project Cost	\$75,000		
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure			
Please specify the purpose of other costs			

Core Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Regional Transit Planning Staff Support
Sponsoring Agency	Regional Transportation Authority (RTA)
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$160,000
Local Match Amount	\$40,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$200,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description:

This project includes staff time for regional transit planning and programming efforts conducted by RTA staff. The RTA is charged with financial oversight of the three Service Boards, including developing a five-year capital program and conducting capital asset condition assessments, setting and monitoring performance measures and regional planning efforts that support improved transit access and increased ridership.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Develop five-year capital program
- 2. Prepare and monitor performance measures
- 3. Regional transit planning project management (corridor studies, transit-oriented development and access to transit improvements)

Core Justification (How are the tasks and products for this project aligned with core MPO responsibilities? Does it serve to close any existing gaps in the process?)

The RTA is responsible for providing financial oversight, funding and planning for the Chicago Transit Authority, Metra and Pace. This is accomplished by coordinating with CMAP and the three Service Boards to develop and implement the region's fiscally constrained Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and long-range transportation plan.

Core Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project aligns with all five regional priorities, with an emphasis on "modernization of the public transit system," and "planning work toward implementation of GO TO 2040 Major Capital Projects, including supportive land use."

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Yes, the work containing in the application is ongoing work conducted by RTA staff.

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The Service Boards (Metra, Pace, CTA), local municipalities and transit users.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

RTA general fund.

Products and Completion Schedule			
Product	Product Type	Completion Date	
Planning Studies	Outside distribution	Ongoing	
Service Board Coordination	Outside distribution	Ongoing	
Municipal Representative Coordination	Outside distribution	Ongoing	
Prepare Five-Year Capital Plan	Plan / Program	December	
Performance Measures Report	Outside Distribution	December	

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$200,000	
Total Person Months	20	
Consultant Cost	\$	
Other Costs	\$	
Total Project Cost	\$200,000	

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure
NA
Please specify the purpose of other costs
NA

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Local Technical Assistance and Community Planning Programs
Sponsoring Agency	CMAP, with suballocation to RTA depending on projects
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$560,000
Local Match Amount	\$140,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$700,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description

This project will provide grants and consultant assistance to local governments to undertake planning activities that integrate transportation - particularly transit - with land use and housing. These grants will be available for planning activities as well as updates and reviews of local development regulations. Projects will be selected through a competitive application process administered jointly by CMAP and the RTA. This level of funding will support approximately 8-10 local plans.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Hold an application process for new projects. As in the past several years, CMAP and the RTA will produce joint application materials. This year, both agencies will use the same initial application materials for both staff assistance and consultant assistance projects. UWP funding would be used for those projects requiring consultant assistance. Project applications will be due in late June or early July.
- 2. Review and evaluate projects. CMAP and the RTA will review the applications received and divide them between the agencies based on their appropriateness (i.e. the RTA will receive applications with a heavy transit focus). The transit service boards, Counties, and Councils of Government/Councils of Mayors will be asked to participate in the review process. CMAP and the RTA will jointly agree on projects to be funded through this UWP grant, and also will decide which agency is more appropriate to administer each grant. Following the approval of the selection (Step 3, below), CMAP will then subgrant funds to the RTA to cover the projects that they will administer.
- 3. Select new projects. For projects administered by CMAP, approval from both the Board and the MPO Policy Committee will be sought in October, with a recommendation from the Transportation Committee and Local Coordinating Committee prior to approval. Projects administered by the RTA will be subject to approval of the agency budget in December.
- 4. Initiate new projects. Successful communities will either hold their own consultant selection processes to procure consultant assistance or will work with CMAP/RTA to

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- choose from a list of pre-qualified consultants already screened by CMAP/RTA.
- 5. Implement selected projects. Each project is expected to result in the adoption of a plan or a regulatory document by at least one unit of local government. Each project is also expected to advance the implementation of GO TO 2040 by translating the principles of the regional plan into local planning practice.
- **6.** Evaluate program success and make adjustments. In future years, modifications to application forms and processes, consultant procurement processes, evaluation methods, and other administrative elements of this program are expected.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Local Technical Assistance

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project responds directly to the "Local technical assistance" priority. The focus of the CMAP Local Technical Assistance and RTA Community Planning Program is to provide assistance to local governments through direct, individualized technical assistance. Because of the central role that local governments have in the implementation of GO TO 2040, this work has been identified by the UWP Committee as a high near-term priority.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) Yes. This project directly implements GO TO 2040's recommendations for resources to be provided to local governments for planning purposes. The coordination of CMAP and RTA grant programs is an explicit recommendation in GO TO 2040. This project most strongly addresses land use, housing, and transportation goals, and is also relevant to environmental, economic development, and human services goals.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

This project directly implements the recommendations of the Land Use and Housing section of GO TO 2040 as well as the Regional Mobility recommendations of the plan (in the areas of Transportation Finance, Public Transit, and Freight). Because the activities funded are often comprehensive in nature, this project also implements other recommendations related to Coordinated Investment, Water and Energy Conservation, and Parks and Open Space, but projects that focus on these topics supplement UWP funding with other sources.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Yes. CMAP and the RTA submitted joint applications similar to this one in FY 14 and FY 15.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The products will benefit local governments (municipalities, COGs, and counties) as well as transportation agencies whose investments are affected by local land use decisions.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

The funds that are subgranted to the RTA will be matched by RTA funds and match provided by the local project sponsors. The funds that are programmed by CMAP will be matched by the Illinois Department of Transportation and match provided by the local project sponsors.

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Selection of approximately 8-10 new projects to receive grant funding	Outside distribution	October (CMAP) and December (RTA)
Initiation of local projects	Plan / program	Ongoing
Completion of local projects	Plan / program	FY 17
Process evaluation and preparation for FY 16 applications	In-house	March 2016

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$	
Total Person Months		
Consultant Cost	\$700,000	
Other Costs	\$	
Total Project Cost	\$700,000	

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

All costs in this project are consultant costs (either contracted with CMAP or RTA). All projects are scheduled to be initiated in the first half of 2016 and will be completed by 2017.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Please specify the purpose of other costs		

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Chicago Bicycle Plan for 2030 (CBP 2030)
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Department of Transportation
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$200,000
Local Match Amount	\$200,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$400,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description The Chicago Bicycle Plan for 2030 (CBP 2030) will replace Chicago's Bike 2015 Plan and provide policy recommendations for successfully integrating bicycle travel into a balanced transportation network that promotes safe, enjoyable, healthy and affordable travel for all users. This plan will support the Go TO 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan's goals of reducing congestion, creating and sustaining a world class transportation system, improving mobility, lowering emissions, and promoting alternative transportation modes such as walking, bicycling and transit.

This policy-based plan will build on and complement recent investments to Chicago's bike lane network lay the groundwork for Chicago to become the best big city for bicycling the US.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Review Bike 2015 Plan and other US bike plans to develop menu of strategies for possible inclusion in the CBP 2030.
- 2. Develop a robust outreach plan to assist with the development and approval of the plan. Potential outreach tactics may include; technical working groups with existing advocacy groups, steering committee comprised of various city departments/agencies, public engagement sessions, social media presence, etc.
- 3. Finalize strategies to include in the CBP 2030. These strategies will be based off the 5 E's of bicycle planning and design education, encouragement, engineering, enforcement and evaluation and reflect current best practices in innovative bicycle planning and design, local and national trends in transportation planning, and local and national trends in urban policy.
- 4. Gather existing conditions and work completed to date on strategies.
- 5. Provide recommendations for new goals and objectives for each strategy.
- 6. Coordinate with internal and external stakeholders (city/state departments, sister agencies, advocacy groups, business groups, etc.) to ensure the plan has broad support and to coordinate efforts with other existing plans/policies. Assign roles and

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- responsibilities of these stakeholders to implement various strategies and to ensure the plan is implementable.
- 7. Develop implementation plan that identifies near, mid, and long-term priorities.
- 8. Identify innovative funding sources for implementation, including local, state, federal, and private funding opportunities.
- 9. Prepare draft and final plans for public review/comment, including presentations summarizing the plan
- 10. Finalize plan and prepare hard-copy and electronic copies for distribution

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Modernization of the Public Transit System, Financial Planning Including Innovative Financing Strategies, Planning Work Towards Implementation of GO TO 2040

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Modernization of the Public Transit System:

The CBP 2030 will include numerous strategies and recommendations to integrate bicycling with public transit. These recommendations may include engineering solutions that promote Complete Streets in which transit and bicycle accommodations are improved simultaneously, education and encouragement programs to promote trip-chaining between bicycling and transit, and better integration of Divvy, Chicago's Bike Share system, with the public transit system.

Financial Planning Including Innovative Financing Strategies:

Investments in bicycle-related programs and infrastructure have proven to be low cost investments that are highly effective at encouraging people to use a bicycle for transportation purposes. The CBP 2030 will include innovative financing recommendations and funding sources for implementation of the plan.

Planning Work Towards Implementation of GO TO 2040:

A world-class bicycle program supports several goals of GO TO 2040, most notably supporting Liveable Communities and improving Regional Mobility. Better bicycle policy and infrastructure will support land use that is appropriate for the urban context, and provide options for personal transportation trips in addition to the private automobile to reduce the strain on the regional transportation network.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) The CBP 2030 will provide recommendations and strategies that encourage bicycle travel for transportation, which ultimately support mixed-use and dense land use development, decreased reliance on automobile travel, increased local development and spending, and increased physical activity and personal health.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

Liveable Communities: Manage and Conserve Water and Energy Resources, Expand and

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Improve Parks and Open Space; Regional Mobility: Increase Commitment to Public Transit

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

The CBP 2030 will build off the success of Chicago's Bike 2015 Plan and help implement Chicago's Streets for Cycling Plan 2020. The Streets for Cycling Plan 2020, released in 2012, identifies a 645-mile network of bicycle facilities throughout Chicago.

The CBP 2030 will also compliment other city plans and guidelines, such as the Chicago Complete Streets Design Guidelines, the Chicago Forward Action Agenda, Healthy Chicago 2.0, the Chicago Climate Action Plan.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The CBP 2030 will benefit all Chicagoans, residents of Northeastern Illinois, and visitors of Chicago. It will make bicycling a safer, more accessible, and enjoyable form of transportation and help Chicago receive 'Platinum' level recogintion for Bicycle Friendly Communities, thus becoming the best big city for bicycling in the US. The plan also supports regional/national initiatives, such as expansion of the Divvy Bike Share Program into neighboring municipalities, development of the Grand Illinois Trail, and development of the US Bike Route System.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? City Funds already committed.

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Public Outreach Plan	Plan/Program	Q3 2015
Existing Conditions/Best Practices Report	Plan/Program	Q3 2015
Stakeholder Coordination	Plan/Program	Q1 2016
Draft Plan	Plan/Program	Q2 2016
Public Review/Comment	Outside Distribution	Q2 2016
Final Plan	Plan/Program	Q3 2016

Expense Breakdown

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Staff (including overhead) cost	\$	
Total Person Months		
Consultant Cost	\$400,000	
Other Costs	\$	
Total Project Cost	\$400,000	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure Consultant will be selected to perform all tasks of the CBP 2030, under the direction of the		

Consultant will be selected to perform all tasks of the CBP 2030, under the direction of the Chicago Department of Transportation. The consultant will develop the public outreach plan, complete the existing conditions reports, oversee stakeholder coordination, develop the draft and final plan, and present for public review/comment.

Please specify the purpose of other costs		

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	South Lakefront & Museum Campus Access Alternatives and Feasibility Assessment
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Department of Transportation (in cooperation with CTA)
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$400,000
Local Match Amount	\$100,000
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$500,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description

CDOT's recently completed Museum Campus Transportation Study has identified two key potential infrastructure investments that would significantly improve transit access and capacity to the cultural attractions and special event venues in the Campus. These recommendations are (1) to create a South Lakefront Busway by enhancing and extending the McCormick Place Busway, and (2) to investigate the opportunity to increase transit access and capacity to Museum Campus along the Cermak corridor. This study would assess alternatives and feasibility for adding new access points and stations to the existing McCormick Place Busway, transforming it into the South Lakefront Busway. This would allow CTA buses to use the facility to more effectively serve Museum Campus and nearby neighborhoods & destinations while also eliminating the extreme unreliability of travel times in this area for CTA customers on existing South Lakefront express bus routes. The study would also assess alternatives and feasibility for linking Museum Campus institutions with each other, CTA's Red and Green Lines, the proposed South Lakefront Busway, and the rapidly redeveloping Cermak Road corridor extending from McCormick Place to Motor Row and Chinatown in an intuitive and visitor-friendly manner that encourages increased transit use. This new facility would be designed to increase transit capacity, and more efficiently and reliably serve special event demand at Soldier Field and on Northerly Island. It would also create transit connections that relieve traffic pressure on nearby neighborhood streets, leverage remote parking options, and allow all Campus institutions to maintain convenient public access on special event days. Once the physical needs are determined, right-of-way along this corridor may be preserved to allow for this future investment.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- Evaluate McCormick Place Busway enhancement alternatives to accommodate CTA use, and their effectiveness for addressing existing bus service performance deficiencies.
 - a. Assess CTA bus performance in south lakefront corridor and options to improve

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

performance deficiencies.

- b. Investigate south lakefront corridor services as well as access to Museum Campus for ordinary activity and special events. Consider costs and benefits.
- c. Present recommended actions, design features, and estimated costs for enhancements to the existing McCormick Place Busway to accommodate CTA use.
- 2. Develop and assess alternatives for enhancing east-west transit access to Museum Campus and nearby destinations, especially considering increased capacity and reliability of transit connections to nearby major transit routes such as CTA's Red and Green Lines, Metra's Electric District and Rock Island Lines, and the proposed McCormick Place Busway enhancements described above.
 - a. Investigate relative merits of the Roosevelt and Cermak Road corridors for this improved east-west access. Consider factors such as transit operations, transit customer service, accommodating nearby neighborhood needs and opportunities, and other relevant conditions. Recommend a preferred corridor.
 - b. Quantify the benefits of this potential East-West transitway improvement in terms of travel times, ridership demand, capacity, and service reliability.
 - c. Establish the physical feasibility of fitting potential transitway infrastructure into the space available, and identify a suitable type of transit vehicle (mode) to achieve the desired benefits.
 - d. Assess alternatives for adequate and appropriate potential funding sources for design, construction, and operation of the recommended transit improvements.
- 3. Engage community and institutional stakeholders through an appropriate public involvement process.
- 4. Prepare interim and final reports as needed to effectively communicate ideas and support decision-making.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) **Modernization of the Public Transit System**

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Strategic investment in a South Lakefront Busway and increasing transit access and capacity to Museum Campus along the Cermak corridor is key to a further developing a multimodal regional transportation system that's accessible, affordable, coordinated with nearby land use, and supports existing communities. Regarding cost and investment efficiency, current conditions result in extreme delays and inefficient use of transit operating resources, especially during special events. Improved transit infrastructure in this area has the potential to significantly improve service reliability for existing customers as well as better accommodate growth in transit demand – both for everyday commuters as well as special event traffic.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

The proposed improvements hold promise for encouraging transit trips by improving transit infrastructure in ways that enhance service reliability, increase capacity, and support neighborhood redevelopment. This supports regional environmental goals (i.e., more competitive transit service), and land use/housing/economic development goals (i.e., more TOD opportunities).

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

This project supports two Go To 2040 recommendations: 10. Invest Strategically in Transportation (this study will allow decision-makers to better assess and prioritize key enhancements/modernizations of the existing system); and 11. Increase Commitment to Public Transit (this study will support improving transit's fiscal and operating performance, and is consistent with the goal of supporting land use planning and making focused infrastructure investments to help transit work better).

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This proposal builds upon key recommendations of CDOT's recently completed Museum Campus Transportation Study, which in turn is a primary component of the Chicago Park District's forthcoming Museum Campus Framework Plan.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

The primary beneficiaries of the study will be the existing and prospective transit customers residing in, working/studying in, traveling through, or visiting the neighborhoods where the proposed improvements are located. Their interests will be served by CDOT, CTA, Park District, and other related agencies as this study is completed and its findings are used to inform ongoing capital investment decision-making.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? **City Funds**

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Technical Paper – South lakefront bus performance assessment	In-house	Fall 2015
Technical Paper – Busway enhancement alternatives assessment and recommendations	In-house	Winter 2016
Technical Paper – Alternatives and recommendations for enhancing eastwest transit access to Museum Campus and nearby destinations	In-house	Spring 2016
Public involvement	Meetings and online	Ongoing

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Draft Final Report	In-house	Summer 2016
Final Report	Plan/Program	Summer 2016

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$50,000	
Total Person Months	6	
Consultant Cost	\$450,000	
Other Costs	\$	
Total Project Cost	\$500,000	

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

The consultant will be responsible for conducting the analyses described in the tasks set out above in order to assess alternatives and feasibility for improved south lakefront & Museum Campus access.

Please specify the purpose of other costs

Though not be charged against this grant, City staff will be responsible for managing and facilitating the project and providing information as needed.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Integrated Safety Data Portal and Investment Prioritization Tool
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Department of Transportation (CDOT)
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$150,000
Local Match Amount	\$37,500
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$187,500
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description

This project will create a common, web-accessible shared data platform for crash data analysis and visualization. Applications will map and summarize crashes by type (vehicle, pedestrian, injury, etc.), severity, and behavior in conjunction with detailed traffic signals data, real-time traffic conditions, traffic volumes, and pedestrian counts. The proposed platform will have internal applications for complete streets planning and engineering analysis, project evaluation, system management and operations, and investment in decision-making. The platform will also allow external users to view crash maps and statistics, meeting data-sharing goals outlined in the Chicago Pedestrian Plan.

The project would build on, and be integrated with, geographic databases and web mapping applications created for the CDOT Project Coordination Office (PCO). The PCO produces and organizes various data relating to current and planned capital and operational projects. The PCO uses these data to coordinate projects by CDOT, Chicago Department of Water Management, utilities, and other public and private entities in order to mitigate conflicts and increase the value of capital investments in the public way. The existing platform integrates incoming proposals and detects projects that overlap in time and space, generating notifications and maps for the PCO and associated project managers. This project will supplement the data currently in the PCO database to enable development of additional functionality and applications for planning, engineering, operations analysis, and project prioritization. This project will integrate existing crash, traffic, and pedestrian data with the existing PCO cloudbased data archive. In addition, at least one analytical application and one project implementation process (based on CDOT's complete streets delivery process) will be designed, developed, implemented, and tested as a model for other applications and processes. The data and application outputs will be viewable through an easy to understand web-based mapping interface.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Integration of IDOT annual crash extracts with existing PCO database
- 2. Integration of CDOT Division of Traffic Safety and Division of Project Development signals, traffic, and pedestrian activity data with existing PCO database
- 3. Design, development, implementation, and testing of intersection crash analysis tool and data viewer
- 4. Design, development, implementation, and testing of high-crash priority location recognition to be evaluated for use with existing PCO processes
- 5. Design, development, implementation, and testing of internal web-mapping platform
- 6. Design, development, implementation, and testing of external, publicly-facing webmapping platform
- 7. Create documentation for integrating additional data streams and developing analysis tools
- 8. Conduct training and development sessions
- 9. Publish web-mapping platforms

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project)

-Improving Decision-Making Models and Evaluation Criteria for Project Selection

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

The tool, combined with the existing PCO platform, will enable CDOT to realize efficiencies through existing projects by identifying opportunities to install safety countermeasures through resurfacing projects and other work in the public way. The final product will provide CDOT staff the ability to vet projects against crash data regardless of proficiencies with GIS software. Crash history is a major concern for project selection, planning, and evaluation but is time-consuming and technically challenging. This project would improve compliance with crash data and project scoping best practices laid out in CDOT's complete streets delivery process and speed and red light camera siting decisions. Additional cost savings would be realized through reduced consulting fees on typical roadway design projects.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

This project will allow CDOT and other organizations to better identify opportunities to improve roadway safety and better meet the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists. These targeted improvements to safer, complete streets and street-level environment will contribute to regional mobility, foster livable communities, and improve public health through increased levels of personal activity.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

- -Regional Mobility: Invest Strategically in Transportation, Creating Cost and Investment Efficiencies
- -Efficient Governance: Improve Access to Information

Better project investment decisions will be made through the integration of existing databases in a single data archive and through the development of analytical tools proposed by this project. Lessons learned from this project will aid in creating data collection and warehousing best practices for the agency. The public will also be able to explore select elements of the finished database through a web viewer, improving knowledge of crash problems to inform community transportation planning priorities.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This project will build on the platform originally created for CDOT's PCO as described in the "Brief Description" section of this application. In addition, the project furthers crash analysis work currently performed by CDOT's Division of Traffic Safety and Division of Project Development, putting better, easier to use tools at the hands of decision makers, project managers, and the public.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Data archives and tools developed for this project will benefit all Chicagoans and Northeast Illinois by providing CDOT improved means to prioritize investment in safety and complete streets. With these flexible tools CDOT can guide public and private construction in the public right of way in a way that maximizes long-term benefits in value, safety, walkability, and public health. The external crash mapping applications will provide a direct benefit to the public by giving communities and elected officials the ability to view and analyze high crash locations and behaviors.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? City of Chicago funding

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Concept of Operation	Draft and Final Technical Memo	Winter 2015

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Data Integration Control Document	Draft and Final Technical Memo	Winter 2015
Interface Design Specifications	Draft and Final Technical Memo	Spring 2016
Data Integration	Database	Summer 2016
Data Integration Test Procedures	Technical Memo and Testing Compliance Matrix	Fall 2016
Data Archive and Mapping Software	Database and Mapping Interface	Fall 2016
Application Development and Testing	Software and Acceptance Matrix	Winter 2016
Application Results	Draft and Final Tables, Graphs, and Report	Winter 2016
Project Report	Draft and Final Technical Report	Spring 2017

Expense Breakdown			
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$0		
Total Person Months			
Consultant Cost	\$187,500		
Other Costs	\$0		
Total Project Cost	\$187,500		
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure Database integration; software development; application tool design and testing. Please specify the purpose of other costs N/A			

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Pedestrian and Bicyclist Automated Counting Technology Study
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Department of Transportation (CDOT)
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$160,000
Local Match Amount	\$40,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$200,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description

The City of Chicago currently lacks robust, continuous data on daily, seasonal, and spatial variations in walking and bicycling. This project would pilot and evaluate the use of automated counting equipment at representative locations across Chicago's central business district and neighborhoods. Feasibility studies would be conducted for several technologies capable of continuously logging pedestrian and bicyclist activity over long time periods. Observed trends across a full year of data would be used to calculate pedestrian and bicyclist activity curves and compare findings across counters. Manual counts following established CDOT methods would be concurrently taken at automated counting sites to validate observations and determine accuracy. Findings would be recorded and explained in a final technical report, contributing to regional and national efforts to quantify non-motorized activity and document the efficacy of emerging technologies. These findings would be used to inform CDOT's adoption of nonmotorized automated counting systems. Collected data would be made available to the public at the end of the project through CDOT's Chicago Traffic Tracker web mapping application (www.chicagotraffictracker.com). The final recommendations will contribute to CDOT's complete streets project planning and evaluation processes, determining appropriate technologies for improving scoping practices and enabling project managers to measure the effects of specific roadway improvements on non-motorized mode share.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Evaluate existing traffic count data for geographic reach, temporal coverage, modes, and recording consistency
- 2. Improve traffic count data warehousing processes and formatting
- 3. Identify automated counter technologies and vendors
- 4. Scope representative counting locations based on geographic area, roadway operational characteristics, and land use

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- 5. Coordinate pilot studies with vendors, deploy and trouble-shoot automated counting equipment
- 6. Collect automated and manual pedestrian and bicyclist counts at identified sites for at least one year
- 7. Validate automated counter accuracy with manual counts
- 8. Using automated counts, create and compare pedestrian and bicyclist activity curves based on time of day, season, land use, and roadway operational characteristics
- 9. Create automated counting technology evaluation document for CDOT and other partners
- 10. Format and upload data to CDOT database
- 11. Upload new and historical data to web platform for external viewing

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project)

-Improving Decision-Making Models and Evaluation Criteria for Project Selection

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Robust pedestrian and bicyclist activity models will allow CDOT to better measure existing non-motorized mode share in the City of Chicago. City-specific adjustment factors will impact the value and generalizability of typical peak-hour studies. Finding from this study will guide CDOT in deploying technologies to better evaluate the impact of infrastructural improvements to the walking and biking networks on activity and safety as well as to prioritize complete streets design at critical locations.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

This project will provide CDOT and other organizations best practices with emerging automated counting technologies. Once experienced, CDOT will be able to use these counters to better identify opportunities to improve roadway safety and better meet the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists. These systems will allow CDOT to target and evaluate improvements for safer, complete streets and supportive land uses, thereby contributing to regional mobility, livable communities, and improved public health.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

- -Regional Mobility: Invest Strategically in Transportation, Creating Cost and Investment Efficiencies
- -Regional Mobility: Other Actions (Municipal)

Better project investment decisions will be made through the adoption of automated counting

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

technologies. The spatiotemporal understanding of pedestrian and bicyclist activity levels will allow CDOT to better plan for and promote biking and walking. This data stream will provide further benefits through before and after evaluation of projects in comparison to city-wide trends.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This project expands on CDOT's Downtown Pedestrian Counts, bi-monthly volunteer bicyclist and pedestrian counts, and CDOT's pilot traffic count database. Comprehensive activity curves will allow more accurate extrapolation of pedestrian and bicyclist counts to daily and annual volumes, adding value to existing resources.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Findings and final data resulting from this project will benefit all Chicagoans and Northeast Illinois by providing thorough review of automated counting technologies to inform later widespread adoption. Future automated counting programs will help CDOT to prioritize investment in pedestrian and bicycle networks and supportive land uses. The availability of accurate activity estimations will increase knowledge of existing conditions while keeping data collection costs at sustainable levels. Improved count coverage will allow CDOT to guide construction in the public right of way in a way that maximizes long-term benefits in value, safety, walkability, and public health. Temporal trends will be made available to interested users, improving knowledge of variations in levels of walking and biking. The external webmapping application will provide a direct benefit to the public. Community groups and local officials will be able to better visualize priority walking and biking areas as well as gaps in local networks. The business community can use the published data to determine the most advantageous location for housing, office space, and retail.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

City of Chicago funding

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Existing Count Inventory and Coverage	Draft and Final Technical Memo	Summer 2015
Representative Locations Assessment	Draft and Final Technical Memo	Fall 2015

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Identification of Pilot Non-Motorized	Professional Services, Draft and	Fall 2015
Automated Counting Technologies	Technical Memo	
and Vendors		
Automated Counter Deployment and	Professional Services	Spring 2016
Troubleshooting		
Manual count validation	Professional Services, Draft and	Fall 2016
	Technical Memo	
Pedestrian and Bicyclist	Draft and Final Tables, Graphs,	Winter 2016/2017
Spatiotemporal Activity Curves and	Metrics, and Technical Report	
Adjustment Factors		
Automated Counting Technology	Draft and Final Tables, Graphs,	Winter 2016/2017
Recommendations and Technical	Metrics, and Technical Report	
Analysis		
Collected Data Package for Web	Final Data Set	Spring 2017
Application and Warehousing		

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$0	
Total Person Months		
Consultant Cost	\$200,000	
Other Costs	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$200,000	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs a	and time line for expenditure	
Data evaluation; Project coordination; Professional data collection and QA/QC services;		
Quantitative analysis and modelling; Report writing		
Please specify the purpose of other costs		

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Chicago Truck Routes Planning Study Supplemental
Sponsoring Agency	CDOT
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$ 48,000
Local Match Amount	\$ 12,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$ 60,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification - To provide City planning support for determining and providing the Private Sector-Trucking Industry and City, State and the regional 7 county area defined by the northeastern Illinois counties of Lake, McHenry, Cook, DuPage, Will and Kane with valuable designated truck route information.

Brief Description

This will allow CDOT to complete the Chicago Truck Route Planning Study which will identify and develop a rational system of designated truck routes in the City of Chicago to supplement the State of Illinois and surrounding counties designated Class I and Class II truck routes in the region.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- Develop presentation materials and participate in meetings with City and other agency stakeholders,
- 2. Respond to City of Chicago comments and make revisions to Chicago Truck Routes Planning Study Draft Report,
- 3. Develop proactive approach to outreach, talking points and collateral material that may be used to educate external stakeholders and internal staff on the project, and
- 4. Develop a Chicago Truck Route Fact Sheet for industry.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) This proposal will address regional mobility and community livability as it will facilitate the removal of trucks from areas where there activity is not appropriate.

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principle of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

With increasing freight demand that would promote the role of the trucking industry as an employment generator, the Truck Route Planning Study will further increase the safety of the trucking industry which would support the continued economic development of the Chicago Region.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) The Truck Route Planning Study is aiming to provide Economic Development Opportunities

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

that drives community growth and improve the environment by facilitating more efficient truck route movements that would decrease diesel emissions thus revitalizing neighborhoods.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

The Truck Route Planning Study will contribute to the GO TO 2040 Freight Recommendation of "reducing congestion, improve safety, reduce emissions, and make deliveries to local suppliers more efficient, the region should pursue truck transportation improvements. These should include…an analysis and update of truck routes and restrictions."

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.,

Yes, this supplemental work will further the development of the original Task Order that aimed to provide a cohesive understanding of the truck route system ensuring that the system is eligible for Federal funding; allows truckers to find the most effective routing from origin to destination; enables truckers to comply with the law; enables the city to enforce size, weight and route laws; is consistent with routes designated by neighboring jurisdictions; and encourages economic development.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project? The primary beneficiaries of final product of this Truck Route Planning Study will be the State of Illinois, the 7 County multi-jurisdictional area of NE Illinois, the City of Chicago and the thousands of private sector trucking entities impacted by the designations of truck route system.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? City Corporate Funding

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Chicago Truck Route Planning Study REPORT	Outside Distribution	March 2016

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$ N/A	
Total Person Months	9 Months	
Consultant Cost	\$ 60,000	
Other Costs	\$ N/A	
Total Project Cost	\$ 60,000	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure The consultant will be responsible for conducting the analyses described in the tasks set out above in order to complete the truck route study.		
Please specify the purpose of other costs		

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Improve the efficiency of transit service by upgrading scheduling software
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Transit Authority
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$540,480
Local Match Amount	\$135,120
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$675,600

Description and Justification

Brief Description

The goal of this project is improve the efficiency of CTA's bus and rail service by upgrading the scheduling software. This software upgrade will allow CTA to take advantage of improved optimization tools that will save labor and maintenance costs. The upgrade will also enhance rail scheduling's ability to alter rail service for major construction activities more quickly through a trip shifting feature. The upgraded software also includes an improved calendar functions to help CTA manage creating supplemental service for special events, which is critical for the interface with CTA's new payroll and daily operations system, TOPS.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Software vendor submits final upgrade proposal to CTA. CTA and software vendor agree to user requirements and customizations over and above the base application.
- Contract proposal, negotiation and acceptance. The 2015 software version is formally
 offered to CTA with pricing. Final negotiations on customizations and costs precede
 contract acceptance. A schedule of project milestones and vendor onsite visits will be
 established.
- 3. Project scope, customizations, vendor programming. The length of this phase depends on customizations requested. Calendar functions will be customized by the vendor. The new calendar module in the upgraded software is needed to program special school dismissal service, service to sporting venues and special events, and multiple construction events. The interface to the dispatch system will also be developed during this phase.
- 4. Software installation and user acceptance testing. CTA will install the ugraded

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

scheduling software application and verify that the application has all specified deliverables. User acceptance testing constitutes the majority of the work in this phase since Scheduling staff will need to conduct an abbreviated version of full schedule construction along with the production of all hard-copy and electronic material including schedule bulletins.

5. Training, parallel testing, final user acceptance. This phase begins with a new application based on changes from initial testing. CTA employees will be trained in the new appplication features. Parallel testing with the current application will be conducted as a final step in order to verify the integrity of the software. The final step will be acceptance of the application and full integration with daily operations.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project)

Modernization of the Public Transit System

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project closely aligns with the stated principle to "identify methods and technologies to improve operational efficiency of the transit system." Specifically, the project enables CTA to more efficiently schedule its 1,800 buses and 1,100 rail cars on a daily basis, saving operating costs through improved operations. Finally, the upgrade will enable CTA to utilize an improved calendar and events function in the scheduling system needed to interface with the new CTA dispatch and payroll system.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or sub regional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

CTA's transportation service is vital to the region to mitigate congestion and improve mobility. Rail construction activities are sometimes necessary to repair, rebuild and expand the transit network. CTA's proposed software upgrade will automate a manual process to create alternative rail schedules for construction work. This will help CTA to provide the necessary service to minimize disruption to our customers while minimizing extra costs.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

Funding of this project will support the goal of investing strategically in transportation technologies. Through improved methods and technology, CTA can take advantage of the most powerful optimization tools to schedule transit services more efficiently. The efficiencies gained in modernizing this process can increase available operating funds to bus and train service.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This project will build existing configurations and requirements of the existing scheduling

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

software version. The upgraded software will enhance the scheduling of day-to-day bus and rail service as well as alternative schedules for construction and special events. The new software has features that will improve the interface with a new dispatch and payroll system, called TOPS.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

This project will facilitate improvements that will help provide travel options more efficiently, and thereby provide congestion relief to the city and region. Current and future CTA customers from all over the region will benefit from more efficient and reliable daily bus and rail service. It will help support CTA's rail construction projects through alternative schedules that minimize disruptions to customers.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) Operating funds

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Task 1: Application offering, user requirements	Plan/Program	3/15
Task 2: Contract proposal, negotiation and acceptance	Plan/Program	6/15
Task 3: Project scope, software customizations, and vendor programming	Plan/Program	9/15
Task 4: Software installation and user acceptance	In-House	2/16
Task 5: Training, parallel testing, and user acceptance	In-House	5/16

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Expense Breakdown	
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$180,000
Total Person Months	14
Consultant Cost	\$345,500
Other Costs	\$150,100
Total Project Cost	\$675,600

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Consultant time to upgrade software, create and test configurations, develop interface between the scheduling and dispatch/payroll systems, and train staff.

Please specify the purpose of other costs

Licensing fees for upgraded software.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Expand Brown Line Core Capacity
Sponsoring Agency	Chicago Transit Authority
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$500,000
Local Match Amount	\$125,000
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$625,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description

The purpose of this project is to provide support for conceptual planning for a Brown Line Core Capacity project, including expansion of Kimball Yard, signal upgrades, and infrastructure realignments to improve travel time. This need for this project is supported by increasing ridership on the Brown Line, correlating with population shifts and new development along the Brown Line. This growth has taken place since the completion of the 2009 Brown Line Modernization Project, which improved stations and increased platform lengths to allow 8-car trains to operate on the branch. The 2009 project did not include the key supporting infrastructure improvements proposed here.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Contract proposal, negotiation and acceptance. A schedule of specific project milestones will be established.
- 2. Project management for a study that would consider costs, benefits, funding strategies and preliminary planning for alignment and signal improvements on the branch, and yard improvements at the terminal.
- 3. Planning of a rehabilitated Kimball Yard that could accommodate additional capacity. This will include identification of site needs and a proposed layout for improved yard operations. For example, current service requires 7 trains per day operate from another CTA yard because of inadequate storage space, and the maintenance shop is sized for 6-car trains rather than 8-car trains.
- 4. Travel speed improvements will be identified by segments. For each segment, semimathemetized/graphic alternatives will be developed to allow a tangible review and

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

prioritization of segments. Simulation model runs to quantify travel time gains may be performed. Best candidates for improvement will be surveyed, along with some structural analysis and related signal analysis to determine feasibility.

- 5. Signals will be analyzed for available improvements to signal block lengths and locations; thereby allowing more trains and additional capacity.
- 6. Summary analysis of cumulative capacity gains and other benefits (agency cost savings, operating and travel speed improvements, reliability improvements, etc.) from proposed components will be performed.
- 7. Financial Planning will be conducted; various strategies will be explored, including various Federal FTA/FHWA sources, state and local source, as well as TOD/TIF and P3 opportunities will be explored.
- 8. Stakeholder and/or Public Outreach.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project)

Modernization of the Public Transit System

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project prioritizes planning work for the modernization of the existing transit system. It develops plans to better utilize existing right-of-way, optimize use of current CTA assets, and improve rail infrastructure to accommodate ridership growth on a branch that has some of the oldest components in the system, including signal systems dating back to 1976.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

Yes, this project will continue to support infill development and economic redevelopment in the urban area. It will facilitate intensifying land use along the Brown Line, which has 5 of the top 10 stations for new construction building permits within a quarter mile since 2009. It supports increasing transit ridership goals both in terms of enabling expanded capacity and increased service quality through time savings and improved customer comfort from a smoother ride.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

This project contributes to the CMAP regional mobility recommendations to 'increase commitment to public transportation' and also to 'invest strategically.' Specifically, the project is providing behind the scenes infrastructure improvements to increase capacity on a branch that

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

that has greatly benefited from recent station improvements and longer trains — 43% peak ridership growth from 2009-2013.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Yes, this project builds on the recent Brown Line Modernization Project (completed in 2009). That project provided customer-facing improvements by reconstructing stations and increasing platform length. This project would support the background infrastructure, such as improving and expanding the capacity of the yard, signal system and alignments. The need for this project has been accelerated due to the success of the earlier project.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

This project will facilitate improvements to a key transit corridor that will enhance travel options and thereby provide congestion relief to the city and region. The Brown Line provides access between downtown and the northwest side, linking the rest of the CTA system with neighborhoods, commercial corridors, employment centers, schools/universities, and other destinations. Current and future CTA Brown Line customers—including residents, workers, and visitors—will benefit from improved Brown Line rail services.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) Operating Funds

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Task 1: Contract proposal, negotiation and acceptance	Plan/Program	Oct-Dec 2015
Task 2: Project management	In-House	Dec 2015 - Dec 2016
Task 3: Planning for improvements to Kimball Yard	Outside Distribution	Jul-Sept 2016
Task 4: Planning for travel speed improvements through realignment	Outside Distribution	Apr-Jun 2016
Task 5: Planning for signal improvements	Outside Distribution	Jan-Mar 2016
Task 6: Summary of capacity gains and other agency benefits	Outside Distribution	Oct-Dec 2016
Task 7: Financial planning of proposed project elements	Outside Distribution	Oct-Dec 2016

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

side Distribution Apr-Dec 2016	Outside Distribution	Task 8: Stakeholder/public outreach

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$80,000	
Total Person Months	12	
Consultant Cost	\$545,000	
Other Costs	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$625,000	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure		
Consultant time to support planning tasks.		
Please specify the purpose of other costs		
None.		

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Lake County Amtrak Station Feasibility	
Sponsoring Agency	Lake County Division of Transportation	
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$160,000	\$
Local Match Amount	\$40,000	\$
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$200,000	\$

Description and Justification

Brief Description

Lake County is interested in determining the feasibility of a station stop in the County for trains on the Chicago-Milwaukee passenger rail service operated by Amtrak. The service is financed by the Wisconsin DOT and Illinois DOT. There are presently seven daily trains (six on Sundays) and a study is underway of expanding service as the existing trains are operating near capacity, particularly on peak hour trips. There was a significant increase in ridership after a stop was established at the Milwaukee Airport, a facility which is very popular among Lake County residents. The track used by the service is owned by Metra as far north as Rondout and by the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) beyond.

The County would like to conduct a feasibility study of adding a station within the County. Stations are currently located in Downtown Chicago, Glenview, Sturtevant, WI, Milwaukee Airport and Downtown Milwaukee. The feasibility study would identify potential sites for a station stop and rank the sites based on established criteria in order to identify a preferred site. The station could be integrated with existing Metra commuter rail stations or serve as a standalone Amtrak Station. Conceptual design plans of the site layout could be included as part of the feasibility site also.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Data Collection -
- Land use data
- Roadway access and circulation
- Passenger data- origin and destinations
- Environmental data
- Review of Metra stations along the Amtrak corridor
- Review of Pace routes intersecting the Amtrak corridor

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

- 2. Develop Alternatives
- Identify potential sites (potentially Lake Forest, Rondout, Waukegan, Gurnee, Wadsworth)
- Compatibility with railroad operations
- Access by various modes (including space for parking)
- Compatibility with surrounding land uses
- 3. Prioritize Alternatives
- Establish criteria
- Prioritize sites based on criteria
- Select a preferred site(s).
- 4. Project Coordination
- Coordination with the three railroads (CPR, Amtrak, and Metra)
- Coordination with County and municipality staff

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) This effort coincides with the goals stated in the Regional Mobility theme of GO TO 2040. Identifying the appropriate location for an Amtrak station in Lake County is of regional significance in connecting residents from both southeast Wisconsin and parts of northeastern Illinois to major employment centers in Lake County. This effort also echoes the Plan's goal of strategic investments in transit that result in a high benefit to cost ratio by focusing on the railway currently used by Amtrak and the possibility of using an existing commuter station.

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

- Modernization of the Public Transit System Coordinating existing Lake County public transit with Amtrak.
- Improving Decision-Making Models and Evaluation Criteria for Project Selection Lake County and various municipalities within Lake County would like to provide Amtrak service within the County by adding a station. Lake County would like to complete a feasibility study that will make an informed location decision.
- Planning Work Toward Implementation of GO TO 2040 Major Capital Projects GO TO 2040 recommends improvements to the Metra Milwaukee District North Line and the extension of the Metra Milwaukee District North Line in Lake County from Rondout to Wadsworth along the existing Canadian Pacific Railroad line that Amtrak operates on. By adding an Amtrak station along this line, the need for the improvements and the extension will be emphasized.
- Local Technical Assistance and the Formation of Collaborative Planning Efforts Lake

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

County is interested in providing organized planning to assist in the identification of an Amtrak station location within the County to promote economic development.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

Providing an Amtrak station in Lake County will improve access to major employment centers in Lake County for residents of southeast Wisconsin, Chicago and northern Cook County. The station will provide economic development opportunities in Lake County and provide access to Wisconsin and from Lake County.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

GO TO 2040 recommends improvements to the Metra Milwaukee District North Line and the extension of the Metra Milwaukee District North Line in Lake County from Rondout to Wadsworth along the existing Canadian Pacific Railroad line that Amtrak operates on. By adding an Amtrak station along this line, the need for the improvements and the extension will be emphasized.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Individual municipalities have considered Amtrak stations in their communities but this project will determine the ideal location to all within Lake County. The project is consistent with GO TO 2040, Metra Strategic Plan, and the Lake County 2040 Transportation Plan.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Employers and employees alike who are looking for expanded public transportation mode choices to access employment centers in Lake County, access to other regional transit services and to Amtrak's national service to the northwest.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

The source for funds is local Lake County funds drawing from Lake County Matching Tax Fund, Motor Fuel Tax Fund, or 1/4% Sales Tax for Transportation and Public Safety Fund.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Feasibility Study	Report	6/30/17

Expense Breakdown	
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$0
Total Person Months	0
Consultant Cost	\$200,000
Other Costs	\$0
Total Project Cost	\$200,000

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Preparation of feasibility study estimated to begin September 1, 2015 and complete by June 30, 2017.

Please specify the purpose of other costs

N/A

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Origin-Destination Survey
Sponsoring Agency	Metra
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$560,000
Local Match Amount	\$140,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$700,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description

Update the existing (2014, 2006, 2002) data on:

- Metra riders' modes of access to and egress from all 240 Metra non-downtown year-round stations,
- locations of Metra riders' homes ("productions") and non-home destinations ("attractions"),
- Metra riders' trip purposes, and
- usage of different ticket types,

concurrently with Metra "Station/Train Boarding and Alighting Counts" data-collection project .

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Design survey instrument.
- 2. Distribute survey to all Metra riders on all weekday trains between start-of service and noon.
- 3. Tabulate survey data.
- 4. Geocode origin and destination addresses.
- 5. Document methodology.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Congestion Relief (Multi-Modal (Management, Research, Planning))

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

Mode-of-station-access, mode-of-station-egress, origin, and destination data are used to more accurately predict future ridership and access needs for each station on new and upgrade rail line projects for the RTP, and for existing and new "infill" stations for the TIP. FTA's New Starts program requires this survey in 2011 (no less than once every 5 years) for updating the Chicago Transit New Starts ridership forecast model for Metra's New Starts funding applications. The data are used to support FTA-required Title VI reporting.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) Project's data will support CMAP's, RTA's and others' transit-oriented design studies, and support decisions for expanding transit as efficiently as possible to induce auto users to shift modes and to better connect underserved populations to jobs

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?) The 2040 Plan has three recommendations for improving regional mobility; all three will be supported by this project. Understanding where Metra passengers are traveling, why they are traveling, and how they are accessing the stations is absolutely necessary in order to make strategic investments in regional rail thereby increasing the region's commitment to public transit. Additional, as many Metra lines share freight corridors, understanding changing service needs and usage along these lines can help make better decisions to create a more efficient freight network.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Similar surveys have been taken in 2002, 2006 and 2014, and more limited surveys were done several times in the 1990s. The origin-destination survey is to be coordinated with a separate system-wide count of passenger boardings and alightings for every weekday train and every station.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Directly: the region's transit agencies and DOTs, and CMAP. Indirectly: the region's transit and highway users.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Metra operating.

Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Tabulations	In-house	March 2017
Tables to CMAP for model validations, etc.	Plan/Program	March 2017
Modes-of-Access feed to RTA's RTAMS website	Outside distribution	June 2017

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Expense Breakdown	
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$20,000
Total Person Months	4
Consultant Cost	\$ 618 ,000
Other Costs	\$62,000
Total Project Cost	\$700,000

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Assist with questionnaire design. Print questionnaires. Deploy survey teams on Metra trains to uniformly distribute questionnaires. Collect/receive questionnaires. Record data from questionnaires. Do initial tabulation of results.

Please specify the purpose of other costs

~10% contingent for follow-up surveys and data processing.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Station/Train Boarding and Alighting Count
Sponsoring Agency	Metra
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$480,000
Local Match Amount	\$120,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$600,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description

Measure weekday passenger use at the rail station/train level, complementing other regularly collected ridership data which are at more aggregate levels of detail.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. An outside contractor would be engaged to conduct the count of passengers boarding and alighting each weekday train at each station for an entire rail line's schedule of service. All trains would be covered on most lines in a single day, limited to Tuesdays, Wednesdays or Thursdays. The entire system would be counted in about a 10-week period. On the lines with the highest service levels, as many as 200 personnel are required, including counters, back-up counters and supervisors. Counts are taken onboard, with survey personnel placed at each rail car door.
- 2. Metra staff will provide pre-printed survey forms, and validate, collate, and report on the data. (This proposal does not include weekend counts.)

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Congestion Relief (Multi-Modal (Management, Research, etc.)

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities) Weekday ridership data are used to more accurately predict future ridership and access needs for each station on new and upgrade rail line projects for CMAP's regional transportation plan, and for existing and new "infill" stations for the TIP. CMAP's air quality modeling efforts are also supported with this data. FTA's New Starts program requires this survey in 2011 (no less than once every 5 years) for updating the Chicago Transit New Starts ridership forecast model for Metra's New Starts funding applications. The data are used to support FTA-required Title VI reporting.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) Project's data will support CMAP's, RTA's and others' transit-oriented design studies, and support decisions for expanding transit as efficiently as possible to induce auto users to shift

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

modes and to better connect underserved populations to jobs

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?) The 2040 Plan has three recommendations for improving regional mobility; all three will be supported by this project. Understanding where and when passengers board and alight Metra trains is absolutely necessary in order to make strategic investments in regional rail thereby increasing the region's commitment to public transit. Additional, as many Metra lines share freight corridors, understanding changing service needs and usage along these lines can help make better decisions to create a more efficient freight network.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

Similar surveys have been taken in 2002, 2006 and 2014, and more limited surveys were done several times in the 1990s. The counts are to be conducted at the same time as an origin and destination survey.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Directly: the region's transit agencies and DOTs, and CMAP. Indirectly: the region's transit and highway users.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Metra operating.

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Report: Boarding/Alighting Count - Station Summary	In-house	March 2017
Report: Boarding/Alighting Count - Station Summary	Plan/Program	March 2017
Feed to RTA's RTAMS website	Outside distribution	April 2017
Tables to CMAP for model validation, etc.	Plan/Program	April 2017

Expense Breakdown

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016)

Staff (including overhead) cost	\$30,000
Total Person Months	6
Consultant Cost	\$518,000
Other Costs	\$52,000
Total Project Cost	\$600,000

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

On the lines with the highest service levels, as many as 200 personnel are required, including counters, back-up counters and supervisors. Counts are taken on-board, with consultant personnel placed at each rail car door.

Please specify the purpose of other costs

~10% contingent for follow-up counts, needed recounts, and data cleaning.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Harlem Avenue Transit Amenities Enhancement Project
Sponsoring Agency	Pace
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$200,000
Local Match Amount	\$50,000
Total Project Cost (Local	фэ <u>го</u> 000
Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$250,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description: A land use/transit access study that identifies ways to improve transit accessibility along Harlem Avenue between Harlem Avenue/Lake Street in Oak Park/River Forest and Harlem Avenue/71st Street in Bridgeview/Bedford Park. The recommendations from this study will be used to prepare this portion of the Harlem Avenue corridor for future Arterial Rapid Transit (ART) service.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Review existing Pace, CTA and Metra ridership data as well as any previous plans or studies that are relevant to the study area.
- Catalogue existing land use/transit infrastructure conditions within the corridor including but not limited to bus stop locations, bus stop pad/shelter locations, sidewalk conditions, the accessibility of bus stops and pedestrian connectivity to surrounding land uses.
- 3. Based on Pace's current and future service plans, identify posted bus stop locations and potential future ART station locations using the stop selection process outlined in Pace's Posted Stops Program.
- 4. Recommend locations where transit supportive infrastructure is needed or should be improved.
- 5. Work with the various stakeholders within the corridor to solicit feedback regarding any proposed improvements.
- 6. Develop a land use/transit access plan for the Harlem Avenue corridor that includes capital cost estimates, a funding plan and an implementation strategy for transit supportive infrastructure improvements.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) **Modernization of the Public Transit System**

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

- Improved coordination of transit services
- Improved mobility for seniors
- Improve the integration of transportation and land use

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

This project will help improve housing, environmental and economic development. As this

project's goal is to prep the corridor for Arterial Rapid Transit, the ultimate goal is to improve housing, environmental and economic development. New transit service will allow residents without access to cars the ability to have regional mobility and make it easier for low income residents to find housing. The improvement of transit service in the corridor will reduce greenhouse gas emissions from single-occupancy vehicles. Finally, the eventual implementation of an ART will bring employees more access to suburban jobs.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

Expansion of the Public Transit System

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

The project is new, however it will expound on and compliment previous projects including: the south Harlem SW Council study with the RTA, the Cook/DuPage Council of Mayors study, and the Roosevelt/Harlem Forest Park Study.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Public transit riders through service implementation and non-customers through improved regional connectivity, leading to an improved economic development climate.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Pace Funds

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Review Transit Ridership and Previous Plans/Studies	Outside Distribution	March 16
Catalogue Existing Conditions	Outside Distribution	June 16
Identification of Posted Bus Stop Locations	Outside Distribution	Sept 16

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Recommended Infrastructure Needs	Outside Distribution	Nov 16
Stakeholder Outreach	Outside Distribution	Jan 17
Land Use/Transit Access Plan	Plan/Program	Feb 17

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$25.000	
Total Person Months	6	
Consultant Cost	\$225,000	
Other Costs	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$250,000	

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Project timeline is 12 months. The purpose is to conduct the study and pull together the components of the study. The Agency does not have the resources to conduct the study inhouse.

Please specify	the purpose of	other costs
----------------	----------------	-------------

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Will County-Naperville/Warrenville Fixed Route Planning
Sponsoring Agency	Pace
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$160,000
Local Match Amount	\$40,000
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$200,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description

A market analysis of current travel patterns and corridor analysis to investigate the feasibility of a fixed route transit service in the Will County-Naperville/Warrenville corridor and to make alignment recomendations.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Introduction to the Will County-Naperville/Warrenville corridor including existing transit options.
- 2. Conduct a Market Analysis indicating which street segments have the greatest potential for ridership generation based on current area travel patterns and demographic data, including an analysis of CTPP data from the US Census.
- 3. Develop schedule and service alternatives based on the market analysis for potential routes connecting Will County and Naperville. Estimate ridership on each alternative.
- 4. Make an overall feasibility recommendation for fixed route transit service in this corridor and prepare a cost-constrained implementation plan, including a timeline for phased implementation if applicable.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Modernization of the public transit system.

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

In addition to helping moderize the Public transport system, this project will provide new mobility choices to a large population of the region that currently have few or no such transit

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

options. In addition, it will connect residents to new job opportunities that are currently not available to them.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.)

This project will help with housing, environmental, and economic development. New transit service will allow residents without access to cars the ability to have regional mobility and make it easier for low income residents to find housing. This project will divert more travelers out of private cars and onto transit, improving the environement. The new route will allow workers to access worksites that are currently not accessible to those without cars, fostering economic development by giving employers access to a larger labor pool.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

Expansion of the transit system to include corridor development to match changing patterns of where people live and where they travel to work.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

While this project could be seen to be peripherally related to the South Cook - Will Restructuring Initiative, it is a distinct project in most respects. The project is also a further development of some of the key components of the Will County Long Range Plan.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Public transit customers through service implementation and non-customers through improved regional connectivity, leading to an improved economic development climate. The region is also likely to benefit from emission reductions through the diversion of auto traffic onto transit.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project?

Pace Funds

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Investigation of Existing Conditions	Outside distribution	12/15
Market Analysis	Outside Distribution	2/16
Service Alternatives Analysi	Outside Distribution	4/16

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Overall Service Reccomendation	Outside Distribution	6/16

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$20,000	
Total Person Months	6	
Consultant Cost	\$180,000	
Other Costs	\$	
Total Project Cost	\$200,000	
Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure The purpose is to conduct the study and pull together the components of the study. The Agency does not have the resources to conduct the study in-house.		
Please specify the purpose of other costs N/A		

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Pace Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study
Sponsoring Agency	Pace
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$140,000
Local Match Amount	\$35,000
Total Project Cost (Local Match Amount must be at least 20% of Total Project Cost)	\$175,000

Description and Justification

Brief Description

Pace is seeking a qualified Contractor to conduct a Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study of Pace's fixed route services. The overarching goal of the study is to provide insights and perception analysis from the Hispanic population in the Pace service area, so that Hispanic population transportation needs can be better met, loyalty strengthened, and ridership increased.

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Review Existing Pace Research and Industry Best Practices and Recommendations;
- 2. Develop and Validate a Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis survey tool.
- 3. Develop Sampling and Survey Administration Plans that covers the Hispanic population in the six county Pace service area.
- 4. Design, Print, Package, and Ship Survey and Promotional Materials;
- 5. Administer Surveys, Collect Data, and Enter Responses into Secure Data Files.
- 6. Create an Analysis Plan and Analyze Data.
- 7. Generate Reports of Findings and Actionable Recommendations.

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Modernization of the Public Transit System.

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project will inform the future expansion and modernization of the public transit system. This project will also inform improved coordination of transit services, improved mobility for

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

seniors, improve transit's role in economic and community development, and improve the integration of transportation and land use.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) This project will improve efficiency of the transit system and coordination between land use and transit supportive development.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

The expansion, coordination, and modernization of the transit system. Transportation needs will be better met, loyalty strengthened resulting in increased ridership.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

This is a new study which will focus on the Hispanci rider/non rider in the Pace service area.

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Pace's current and future customers. Pace, and other transportation agencies such as RTA, will benefit from the findings about Pace Hispanic rider/non rider experiences.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? Pace Funds

Products and Completion Schedule		
Product	Product Type	Completion Date
Summary report of key findings from recent Pace Fox Valley Market Analysis, Harlem Corridor Market Analysis, and Hispanic user/non user focus group studies, and recommended application of best practice models to the Pace Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study.	Outside Distribution	month 1
A recommended Pace Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study survey tool.	Outside Distribution	month 2
Sampling and survey administration plans for the survey tools that integrate options for incorporating an	Outside Distribution	month 3,4

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

online approach where appropriate.		
Form design proofs and final proofed copies of all survey materials.	Outside Distribution	month 4
Survey distribution and data collection process; One fixed-choice data file and one verbatim comments data file for the survey delivered in Excel or SPSS format.	Outside Distribution	month 5,6
Report of ad hoc preliminary and final survey findings upon request.	Outside Distribution	month 7
Final report of Pace Hispanic User/Non User Market Analysis Study findings.	Outside Distribution	month 8,9

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$25,000	
Total Person Months	N/A	
Consultant Cost	\$150,000	
Other Costs	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$175,000	

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

Pace does not have the resources in-house to conduct a user/non-user market analysis study, and analyize the results.

Please specify the purpose of other costs

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Project Title	Parking Estimation Tool for Transit-Oriented Development
Sponsoring Agency	Regional Transportation Authority
FHWA/FTA Amount Requested	\$136,000
Local Match Amount	\$34,000
Total Project Cost (Local	
Match Amount must be at least	\$170,000
20% of Total Project Cost)	

Description and Justification

Brief Description:

The purpose of this project is to collect data on parking utilization in transit-oriented development (TOD) areas in the RTA 6-County Region and to use this data to create an interactive online tool for calculating parking needs in local TOD areas. Parking regulations in municipalities throughout Chicagoland are frequently determined by using the ITE Parking Generation guide, which was developed for suburban areas at peak parking times without taking into account surrounding land uses, walkability or transit access. These guidelines do not incorporate recent data that indicates that TOD residents across the US require less parking (TCRP Report 128). Excess parking can have many negative impacts on transit-oriented development (TOD), such as dispersed land uses that are detrimental to walking and driving up the costs of housing. Excess parking can also lead to higher rates of car ownership and driving, fueling a cycle of auto-dependence along with increased VMT and greenhouse gas emissions. To address this issue in TOD areas in the Chicago region, the RTA is proposing to develop an interactive, web and GIS-based tool (integrated with the RTAMS site) to determine the most appropriate amount of off-street parking that should be required for multi-unit residential buildings in TOD areas. This tool will be based on real data collected from a sampling of rail TOD areas throughout the RTA's six-county service area (including the City of Chicago). Data considered will include actual parking utilization rates at existing multi-family residential buildings, transit frequency, parking costs, population and employment densities and surrounding land uses. This data will be compiled and used to build a tool that helps communities and developers calculate the number of parking spaces needed per unit. The tool will also produce calculations depicting the associated benefits of the recommended parking levels compared to parking levels recommended by the ITE Parking Generation Guide, such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions, impacts on affordable housing and benefits to transitoriented development (such as increased ridership or shifts in mode of access). The data collection effort and resulting tool will also address the potential for a spill-over effect of parking to on-street parking in more urban TOD areas.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Major Tasks (up to 20)

- 1. Convene project steering committee to guide tool development
- 2. Collect real-time parking utilization data from a minimum of 50 multi-unit, residential buildings in TOD areas
- 3. Collect data on transit frequency, mode of access to transit, ridership, parking costs, population and employment densities and surrounding land uses
- 4. Build database and interactive map to estimate appropriate per-unit parking spaces for residential developments
- 5. Develop additional tool functionality: calculations for reduced greenhouse gas emissions, impacts on affordable housing, benefits to transit-oriented development (i.e. increased ridership, shifts in mode of access).
- 6. Address potential for spill-over effect of off-street to on-street parking

Competitive Justification (please identify the regional focus area associated with this project) Local technical assistance and the formation of collaborative planning efforts

Competitive Justification (please identify at least one principal of the regional priorities associated with this project and/or the required MPO activities)

This project supports the regional focus area of "Local Technical Assistance and the Formation of Collaborative Planning Efforts" and aligns closely with the principal "formal planning efforts that focus on transportation and other interconnected issues of livability." The project also supports the regional focus area of "Planning Work Toward Implementation of GO TO 2040 Major Capital Projects, Including Supportive Land Use," particularly by planning for supportive land use around transportation and providing active technical assistance to local governments.

Competitive Justification (will this project inform or achieve regional or subregional land use, housing, environmental, economic development, or human services goals? Please explain.) This project most closely supports land use, housing, environmental and economic development goals. This tool will inform local municipalities and private developers on the most appropriate level of parking needed for residential development in TOD areas. Parking levels that reflect the availability of transit, a walkable environment and nearby services can lead to land uses that support transit and walking, reduce driving (and emissions) and increase transit use and walking, and make housing more affordable by lowering development and purchase costs.

Competitive Justification (which particular GO TO 2040 recommendation will this project contribute to or implement?)

This project will contribute to two major recommendations in Go To 2040. First, "Achieve greater livability through land use and housing," by created a tool that municipalities can use to inform parking needs for residential developments, which can lead to more affordable housing. Second, it will contribute to "increase commitment to public transit" by providing data and information on parking that can lead to more transit-supportive land uses.

Is this project a continuation of previous work? If so, please explain.

While this project is not a specific continuation of work, the RTA has done previous work in the area of right-sizing parking for TOD, most notably through funding TOD zoning revisions for municipalities that reflect lower parking requirements near transit. This tool will provide local data to inform zoning requirements.

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

Who will benefit from the interim or final products of this project?

Municipalities will be the main beneficiaries of this tool, as they will now how an easy way to estimate residential parking needs near transit using local data.

What is the source of funds for the local match portion of this project? RTA general fund.

Products and Completion Schedule			
Product	Product Type	Completion Date	
Develop Scope of Work	In-house	8/1/2015	
Conduct Procurement	In house / Outside Distribution	10/1/2015	
Data Collection	Outside Distribution	2/1/2016	
Parking Tool Database and Map	Outside Distribution	5/1/2016	
Additional Tool Functionality	Outside Distribution	7/1/2016	
Final Parking Tool	Outside Distribution	8/1/2016	

Expense Breakdown		
Staff (including overhead) cost	\$20,000	
Total Person Months	2	
Consultant Cost	\$150,000	
Other Costs	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$170,000	

Please specify the purpose of consultant costs and time line for expenditure

A consultant will be selected to conduct the main scope items and facilitate focus groups, key person interviews and bring outside knowledge to potential recommendations. The project is

Competitive Projects Proposal Form

State Fiscal Year (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016)

expected to take 12 months to complete.
Please specify the purpose of other costs
NA