




Aurora

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

June 2021 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion (by area) of the municipality.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Aurora, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Aurora.

General Population Characteristics, 2015-2019

	Aurora	Kane County	CMAP Region
Total Population	199,927	531,376	8,483,267
Total Households	63,568	179,637	3,123,330
Average Household Size	3.1	2.9	2.7
Percent Population Change, 2010-19	1.0	3.1	0.6
Percent Population Change, 2000-19	39.8	31.5	4.1

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	71,202	35.6	303,165	57.1	4,331,282	51.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	86,726	43.4	169,364	31.9	1,952,500	23.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	19,778	9.9	28,109	5.3	1,406,500	16.6
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	17,576	8.8	21,320	4.0	610,365	7.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	4,645	2.3	9,418	1.8	182,620	2.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	14,259	7.1	34,078	6.4	518,065	6.1
5 to 19	48,771	24.4	117,579	22.1	1,644,152	19.4
20 to 34	39,713	19.9	97,492	18.3	1,794,152	21.1
35 to 49	47,545	23.8	109,507	20.6	1,701,494	20.1
50 to 64	31,401	15.7	102,770	19.3	1,635,766	19.3
65 to 74	11,549	5.8	42,350	8.0	691,947	8.2
75 to 84	4,995	2.5	20,313	3.8	346,833	4.1
85 and Over	1,694	0.8	7,287	1.4	150,858	1.8
Median Age	33.9		37.3		37.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	26,880	21.8	53,281	15.4	663,242	11.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	26,631	21.6	78,727	22.7	1,314,011	22.8
Some College, No Degree	22,557	18.3	73,311	21.2	1,100,596	19.1
Associate's Degree	8,564	7.0	26,071	7.5	404,417	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	23,504	19.1	73,838	21.3	1,377,160	23.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	14,894	12.1	40,845	11.8	906,665	15.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	149,148	74.6	438,430	82.5	6,857,014	80.8
Foreign Born	50,779	25.4	92,946	17.5	1,626,253	19.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	100,452	54.1	340,940	68.6	5,462,068	68.6
Spanish	66,437	35.8	128,443	25.8	1,469,511	18.4
Slavic Languages	1,687	0.9	5,062	1.0	275,630	3.5
Chinese	1,519	0.8	902	0.2	90,487	1.1
Tagalog	1,333	0.7	3,741	0.8	73,562	0.9
Arabic	660	0.4	1,442	0.3	61,851	0.8
Korean	486	0.3	659	0.1	36,522	0.5
Other Asian Languages	4,834	2.6	4,985	1.0	109,161	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	7,478	4.0	9,874	2.0	329,735	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	782	0.4	1,250	0.3	56,675	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	85,216	45.9	156,358	31.4	2,503,134	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	32,449	17.5	60,332	12.1	946,875	11.9

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	13,153	20.7	39,305	21.9	907,247	29.0
2-Person Household	16,310	25.7	56,047	31.2	962,910	30.8
3-Person Household	11,470	18.0	29,003	16.1	487,229	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	22,635	35.6	55,282	30.8	765,944	24.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	46,575	73.3	131,759	73.3	2,020,927	64.7
Single Parent with Child	6,892	10.8	15,565	8.7	255,505	8.2
Non-Family	16,993	26.7	47,878	26.7	1,102,403	35.3

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	8,456	13.3	22,682	12.6	529,858	17.0
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13,123	20.6	31,846	17.7	567,834	18.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11,649	18.3	30,202	16.8	490,586	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	8,376	13.2	24,073	13.4	395,676	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	11,566	18.2	35,554	19.8	533,771	17.1
\$150,000 and Over	10,398	16.4	35,280	19.6	605,605	19.4
Median Income	\$71,749		\$79,394		\$73,572	
Per Capita Income*	\$31,112		\$36,270		\$39,058	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	59,375	93.4	168,135	93.6	2,844,477	91.1
Smartphone(s) Only	5,143	8.1	10,476	5.8	189,503	6.1
No Computing Devices	4,193	6.6	11,502	6.4	278,853	8.9
Internet Access	56,753	89.3	161,944	90.2	2,741,960	87.8
Broadband Subscription	55,417	87.2	157,941	87.9	2,640,864	84.6
No Internet Access	6,815	10.7	17,693	9.8	381,370	12.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	63,568	94.4	179,637	95.6	3,123,330	91.6
Owner-Occupied*	40,996	64.5	132,690	73.9	1,996,297	63.9
Renter-Occupied*	22,572	35.5	46,947	26.1	1,127,033	36.1
Vacant Housing Units	3,744	5.6	8,330	4.4	286,760	8.4

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	5,259	8.4	15,026	8.5	346,898	11.4
Less than 20 Percent	174	0.3	462	0.3	8,867	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	320	0.5	1,031	0.6	25,618	0.8
30 Percent or More	4,765	7.6	13,533	7.7	312,413	10.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	15,426	24.7	37,141	21.0	684,002	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	2,045	3.3	4,494	2.5	77,326	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	3,163	5.1	8,058	4.6	145,913	4.8
30 Percent or More	10,218	16.3	24,589	13.9	460,763	15.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11,608	18.6	30,006	17.0	485,439	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	3,052	4.9	9,298	5.3	151,167	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	5,000	8.0	11,101	6.3	173,246	5.7
30 Percent or More	3,556	5.7	9,607	5.4	161,026	5.3
\$75,000 or More	30,276	48.4	94,543	53.5	1,527,241	50.2
Less than 20 Percent	21,103	33.7	61,917	35.0	1,022,835	33.6
20 to 29 Percent	7,534	12.0	26,030	14.7	382,768	12.6
30 Percent or More	1,639	2.6	6,596	3.7	121,638	4.0

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	29	36
Transportation Costs	21	23
TOTAL H+T COSTS	50	58

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Aurora.

Housing Type, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	40,144	59.6	130,612	69.5	1,710,011	50.1
Single Family, Attached	10,040	14.9	20,430	10.9	254,103	7.5
2 Units	3,486	5.2	7,464	4.0	237,977	7.0
3 or 4 Units	2,917	4.3	6,660	3.5	268,945	7.9
5 to 9 Units	2,743	4.1	7,041	3.7	270,643	7.9
10 to 19 Units	3,313	4.9	4,863	2.6	151,076	4.4
20 or More Units	4,589	6.8	9,705	5.2	490,331	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	80	0.1	1,192	0.6	27,004	0.8

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	7,694	11.4	15,758	8.4	548,002	16.1
2 Bedrooms	19,591	29.1	46,272	24.6	965,749	28.3
3 Bedrooms	24,399	36.2	65,649	34.9	1,133,210	33.2
4 Bedrooms	12,971	19.3	49,666	26.4	597,921	17.5
5 or More Bedrooms	2,657	3.9	10,622	5.7	165,208	4.8
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6		6.3		6.0	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	13,647	20.3	46,128	24.5	463,304	13.6
Built 1970 to 1999	28,880	42.9	74,025	39.4	1,161,616	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	12,836	19.1	39,756	21.2	1,044,859	30.6
Built Before 1940	11,949	17.8	28,058	14.9	740,311	21.7
Median Year Built	1982		1981		1968	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Aurora.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	2,505	3.9	8,123	4.5	399,783	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	18,453	29.0	47,699	26.6	1,111,243	35.6
2 Vehicles Available	27,522	43.3	77,698	43.3	1,098,207	35.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	15,088	23.7	46,117	25.7	514,097	16.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	4,153	4.1	14,568	5.5	226,183	5.4
Drive Alone	77,097	76.9	209,068	79.6	2,865,893	68.4
Carpool	11,855	11.8	25,192	9.6	323,457	7.7
Transit	4,558	4.5	6,430	2.4	557,002	13.3
Walk or Bike	1,443	1.4	3,871	1.5	164,065	3.9
Other	1,087	1.1	3,488	1.3	53,525	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96,040	95.9	248,049	94.5	3,963,942	94.6
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	29.2		29.1		32.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Aurora	Kane County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	21,168	22,219	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Aurora	Kane County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	35.3%	19.7%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	39.8%	32.2%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	24.9%	48.0%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Aurora.

Employment Status, 2015-2019

	Aurora		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	108,073	72.4	282,187	68.7	4,546,758	67.3
Employed†*	102,401	94.8	268,488	95.1	4,260,595	93.7
Unemployed*	5,619	5.2	13,589	4.8	274,246	6.0
Not in Labor Force	41,258	27.6	128,442	31.3	2,213,132	32.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2020

	Aurora		Kane County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	57,374	N/A	174,075	N/A	3,574,346	N/A
Job Change, 2010-20	5,611	10.8	18,410	11.8	441,295	14.1
Job Change, 2002-20	2,280	4.1	9,736	5.9	215,986	6.4
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	0.90		0.97		1.14	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2020).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Aurora Residents*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	9,600	11.9
2. Retail Trade	9,348	11.6
3. Health Care	9,204	11.4
4. Administration	7,533	9.4
5. Professional	6,802	8.4
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Aurora	14,015	17.4
2. Chicago	9,693	12.0
3. Naperville	6,611	8.2
4. Batavia	2,284	2.8
5. St. Charles	1,607	2.0

Employment in Aurora*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	9,425	15.0
2. Administration	8,077	12.9
3. Manufacturing	7,292	11.6
4. Education	6,528	10.4
5. Retail Trade	6,186	9.8
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Aurora	14,015	22.3
2. Chicago	3,995	6.4
3. Naperville	3,072	4.9
4. Oswego	1,848	2.9
5. Joliet	1,726	2.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2018).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Aurora.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	9,782.5	33.4
Multi-Family Residential	558.3	1.9
Commercial	1,701.7	5.8
Industrial	2,114.7	7.2
Institutional	2,055.8	7.0
Mixed Use	25.4	0.1
Transportation and Other	6,183.5	21.1
Agricultural	1,890.2	6.5
Open Space	3,589.7	12.3
Vacant	1,391.4	4.7
TOTAL	29,293.3	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Aurora	Kane County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	7.65	9.87	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2015

	Aurora	Kane County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	3.7%	6.2%	40.5%
Moderate Walkability	46.3%	31.6%	23.8%
Low Walkability	50.0%	62.2%	35.8%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Revenue

The revenue tables include Aurora revenues based on sales and current land use.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2020

	Aurora	Kane County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$1,708,490,036	\$5,596,096,893	\$93,778,223,174
Total Retail Sales	\$2,172,201,982	\$7,294,449,545	\$122,031,206,863
Total Sales per Capita*	\$10,865	\$13,727	\$14,385

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2020.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2019

Residential	\$3,049,059,487
Commercial	\$672,035,061
Industrial	\$435,193,926
Railroad	\$2,539,028
Farm	\$1,369,398
Mineral	\$150
TOTAL	\$4,160,197,050

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2019.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	52.1	41.1	35.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	32.6	40.8	43.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	10.8	10.2	9.9
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	3.0	6.3	8.8
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.6	1.6	2.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
19 and Under	34.5	34.5	31.5
20 to 34	26.8	23.3	19.9
35 to 49	22.3	23.0	23.8
50 to 64	10.1	12.7	15.7
65 and Over	6.3	6.5	9.1
Median Age	29.3	30.6	33.9

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	24.4	22.0	21.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	21.9	23.0	21.6
Some College, No Degree	17.8	17.7	18.3
Associate's Degree	6.0	6.2	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	20.6	19.8	19.1
Graduate or Professional Degree	9.3	11.2	12.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
Native	72.8	74.6
Foreign Born	27.2	25.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population
*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
English Only	57.3	54.1
Spanish	34.9	35.8
Slavic Languages	0.9	0.9
Chinese	0.5	0.8
Tagalog	0.5	0.7
Arabic	0.2	0.4
Korean	0.2	0.3
Other Asian Languages	1.6	2.6
Other Indo-European Languages	3.7	4.0
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.2	0.4
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	42.7	45.9
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	21.6	17.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
1-Person Household	21.7	20.7
2-Person Household	25.5	25.7
3-Person Household	17.2	18.0
4-or-More-Person Household	35.5	35.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Family	73.0	73.3
Single Parent with Child	11.6	10.8
Non-Family	27.0	26.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2019 Dollars)	2015-2019 (2019 Dollars)
Median Income	\$71,154	\$71,749

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	95.3	93.8	94.4
Owner-Occupied*	70.1	71.1	64.5
Renter-Occupied*	29.9	28.9	35.5
Vacant Housing Units	4.7	6.2	5.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than \$20,000	10.3	8.4
Less than 20 Percent	0.4	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	0.8	0.5
30 Percent or More	9.2	7.6
\$20,000 to \$49,999	29.1	24.7
Less than 20 Percent	3.2	3.3
20 to 29 Percent	5.1	5.1
30 Percent or More	20.8	16.3
\$50,000 to \$74,999	19.4	18.6
Less than 20 Percent	4.0	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	6.7	8.0
30 Percent or More	8.7	5.7
\$75,000 or More	39.5	48.4
Less than 20 Percent	19.9	33.7
20 to 29 Percent	14.1	12.0
30 Percent or More	5.5	2.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Single Family, Detached	59.9	59.6
Single Family, Attached	13.8	14.9
2 Units	5.3	5.2
3 or 4 Units	4.3	4.3
5 or More Units	16.5	15.8
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	11.0	11.4
2 Bedrooms	27.9	29.1
3 Bedrooms	37.4	36.2
4 Bedrooms	20.7	19.3
5 or More Bedrooms	3.0	3.9
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7	5.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	19.5	20.3
Built 1970 to 1999	40.0	42.9
Built 1940 to 1969	21.6	19.1
Built Before 1940	18.9	17.8
Median Year Built	1979	1982

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
No Vehicle Available	5.9	3.9
1 Vehicle Available	31.1	29.0
2 Vehicles Available	44.3	43.3
3 or More Vehicles Available	18.7	23.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Work at Home*	3.6	4.1
Drive Alone	77.4	76.9
Carpool	10.6	11.8
Transit	4.8	4.5
Walk or Bike	1.5	1.4
Other	2.1	1.1
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.4	95.9
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	29.1	29.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
In Labor Force	74.8	72.4
Employed ^{†*}	91.5	94.8
Unemployed*	8.4	5.2
Not in Labor Force	25.2	27.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Aurora*

Primary Water Source: Fox River**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	18.04	18.17	0.7
Residential Sector	14.04	14.27	1.6
Non-Residential Sector	4.00	3.90	-2.5

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

	Aurora			CMAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	85.4	71.3	-16.5	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

REAL PRICE PER 1,000 GALLONS	2008 (2018 Dollars)	2018 (2018 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$4.47	\$6.88	54.0	4.4
Sewer	\$1.14	\$6.24	449.2	18.6
Combined** (if Applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

**Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: nan

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Aurora	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	4.6%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.7%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	38.2%	46.6%	64.9%	ACS, 2015-2019
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	83.0%	80.8%	83.4%	ACS, 2015-2019
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	67.3%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	26.7%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.18	0.18	0.15	USGS NLCD, 2016
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	71.3	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$324.17	\$324.17*	N/A**	CMAP, 2020
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 78% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2020
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	36.6%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	31.2%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	22.0%	30.3%	37.3%	ACS, 2015-2019

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

**ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.