

Evergreen Park

Community Data Snapshot Municipality Series July 2023 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Evergreen Park, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Evergreen Park.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Evergreen Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
Total Population	19,943	5,275,541	8,577,735
Total Households	7,259	2,086,940	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.7	2.5	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	0.5	1.6	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-4.2	-1.9	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	11,012	55.4	2,192,123	41.6	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	2,916	14.7	1,346,949	25.6	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	4,871	24.5	1,192,435	22.6	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	423	2.1	392,743	7.5	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	662	3.3	141,148	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	Everg	Evergreen Park		County	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	1,422	7.2	312,408	5.9	502,928	5.9
5 to 19	3,819	19.2	964,227	18.3	1,662,052	19.4
20 to 34	3,676	18.5	1,176,731	22.3	1,774,853	20.7
35 to 49	3,886	19.5	1,056,786	20.1	1,724,098	20.1
50 to 64	3,984	20.0	980,967	18.6	1,659,323	19.4
65 to 74	2,112	10.6	455,547	8.7	746,030	8.7
75 to 84	604	3.0	218,495	4.1	347,665	4.1
85 and Over	381	1.9	100,237	1.9	153,584	1.8
Median Age	40.2		37.3		37.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2017-2021

	Evergreen Park		Cook	County	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	727	5.4	439,740	12.0	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	2,642	19.4	820,019	22.4	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	3,527	26.0	660,520	18.1	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	1,328	9.8	246,183	6.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	3,319	24.4	869,960	23.8	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	2,045	15.1	619,971	17.0	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual. Universe: Population 25 years and older

Universe: Population 5 years and older

Nativity, 2017-2021

	Evergr	Evergreen Park		County	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	18,046	90.8	4,163,385	79.1	6,938,399	81.0
Foreign Born	1,838	9.2	1,102,013	20.9	1,632,134	19.0
aurea 2017 2021 American Community Current film user estimates				Linivaraa, Tat		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Evergr	een Park	Cook	County	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	15,779	85.5	3,215,693	64.9	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	2,014	10.9	1,020,049	20.6	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	134	0.7	212,400	4.3	289,350	3.6
Chinese	0	0.0	66,455	1.3	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	154	0.8	47,051	0.9	73,710	0.9
Arabic	11	0.1	48,750	1.0	63,720	0.8
Korean	13	0.1	26,946	0.5	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	31	0.2	66,005	1.3	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	206	1.1	203,120	4.1	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	120	0.6	46,521	0.9	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	2,683	14.5	1,737,297	35.1	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	639	3.5	673,502	13.6	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 * For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2017-2021

Evergreen Park		Cook	County	CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
2,285	30.5	684,709	33.5	948,087	29.4
2,049	27.3	613,454	30.0	993,509	30.8
1,244	16.6	302,975	14.8	503,236	15.6
1,917	25.6	443,520	21.7	775,919	24.1
	Count 2,285 2,049 1,244	Count Percent 2,285 30.5 2,049 27.3 1,244 16.6	Count Percent Count 2,285 30.5 684,709 2,049 27.3 613,454 1,244 16.6 302,975	Count Percent Count Percent 2,285 30.5 684,709 33.5 2,049 27.3 613,454 30.0 1,244 16.6 302,975 14.8	CountPercentCountPercentCount2,28530.5684,70933.5948,0872,04927.3613,45430.0993,5091,24416.6302,97514.8503,236

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2017-2021

Evergr	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
5,066	67.6	1,207,289	59.0	2,062,968	64.1	
662	8.8	171,747	8.4	257,853	8.0	
2,429	32.4	837,369	41.0	1,157,783	35.9	
	Count 5,066 662	Count Percent 5,066 67.6 662 8.8	Count Percent Count 5,066 67.6 1,207,289 662 8.8 171,747	Count Percent Count Percent 5,066 67.6 1,207,289 59.0 662 8.8 171,747 8.4	Count Percent Count Percent Count 5,066 67.6 1,207,289 59.0 2,062,968 662 8.8 171,747 8.4 257,853	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2017-2021

	Evergre	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	970	12.9	370,516	18.1	486,172	15.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,078	14.4	368,765	18.0	532,670	16.5	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,201	16.0	317,344	15.5	491,960	15.3	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,167	15.6	252,760	12.4	407,959	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,701	22.7	330,041	16.1	575,992	17.9	
\$150,000 and Over	1,378	18.4	405,232	19.8	725,998	22.5	
Median Income	\$85,328		\$72,121		\$81,102		
Per Capita Income*	\$37,440		\$41,706		\$43,128		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	7,055	94.1	1,892,469	92.6	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	443	5.9	179,898	8.8	240,075	7.5
No Computing Devices	440	5.9	152,189	7.4	201,434	6.3
Internet Access	6,853	91.4	1,828,303	89.4	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	6,592	88.0	1,768,389	86.5	2,855,152	88.6
No Internet Access	642	8.6	216,355	10.6	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

	Evergro	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	7,495	96.6	2,044,658	90.7	3,220,751	92.3	
Owner-Occupied*	6,240	83.3	1,175,143	57.5	2,075,416	64.4	
Renter-Occupied*	1,255	16.7	869,515	42.5	1,145,335	35.6	
Vacant Housing Units	267	3.4	209,278	9.3	267,011	7.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2017-2021

	Evergre	en Park	Cook	County	CMAP R	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	657	8.9	242,335	12.2	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	29	0.4	5,976	0.3	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	0	0.0	18,646	0.9	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	628	8.5	217,713	11.0	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,274	17.3	442,444	22.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	120	1.6	49,187	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	278	3.8	86,091	4.3	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	876	11.9	307,166	15.5	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,201	16.3	313,812	15.8	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	341	4.6	91,942	4.6	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	286	3.9	111,583	5.6	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	574	7.8	110,287	5.6	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	4,246	57.5	981,931	49.6	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	2,953	40.0	657,556	33.2	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	1,036	14.0	240,808	12.2	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	257	3.5	83,567	4.2	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	31	39
Transportation Costs	21	22
TOTAL H+T COSTS	51	60

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Location Affordability Index (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Evergreen Park.

Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	6,559	84.5	907,611	40.3	1,745,022	50.0
Single Family, Attached	125	1.6	118,796	5.3	259,184	7.4
2 Units	129	1.7	215,305	9.6	239,727	6.9
3 or 4 Units	99	1.3	237,198	10.5	274,341	7.9
5 to 9 Units	540	7.0	221,214	9.8	270,594	7.8
10 to 19 Units	165	2.1	106,604	4.7	155,969	4.5
20 or More Units	145	1.9	429,026	19.0	513,327	14.7
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	18,182	0.8	29,598	0.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Everg	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	409	5.3	458,099	20.3	566,228	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	1,728	22.3	696,022	30.9	973,190	27.9	
3 Bedrooms	3,983	51.3	715,259	31.7	1,156,700	33.2	
4 Bedrooms	1,331	17.1	284,956	12.6	612,171	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	311	4.0	99,600	4.4	179,473	5.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3		5.2		6.0		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Everg	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	205	2.6	239,893	10.6	509,505	14.6	
Built 1970 to 1999	762	9.8	589,387	26.1	1,189,334	34.1	
Built 1940 to 1969	6,081	78.3	783,686	34.8	1,048,502	30.1	
Built Before 1940	714	9.2	640,970	28.4	740,421	21.2	
Median Year Built	1955		1960		1969		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Universe: Housing units



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Evergreen Park.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Everg	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	511	6.8	357,375	17.5	405,467	12.6	
1 Vehicle Available	2,543	33.9	832,535	40.7	1,152,274	35.8	
2 Vehicles Available	2,891	38.6	606,249	29.7	1,119,802	34.8	
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,550	20.7	248,499	12.2	543,208	16.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	944	9.7	287,499	11.3	486,188	11.5
Drive Alone	7,423	76.2	1,492,291	58.5	2,743,345	64.9
Carpool	413	4.2	197,933	7.8	321,231	7.6
Transit	697	7.2	404,067	15.8	465,784	11.0
Walk or Bike	133	1.4	126,305	5.0	151,257	3.6
Other	131	1.3	42,425	1.7	62,008	1.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	8,797	90.3	2,263,021	88.7	3,743,625	88.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	32.6		32.7		31.7	
Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey	five-vear estimates			Llais	verse: Workers 16 ve	are and older

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2021

	Evergreen Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	17,155	13,234	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Evergreen Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	79.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	12.2%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	8.5%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Evergreen Park.

Employment Status, 2017-2021

	Evergre	Evergreen Park		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	10,421	66.1	2,809,310	66.2	4,614,158	67.3	
Employed [†] *	10,064	96.6	2,603,767	92.7	4,306,443	93.3	
Unemployed*	357	3.4	203,970	7.3	295,199	6.4	
Not in Labor Force	5,336	33.9	1,434,949	33.8	2,237,246	32.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. $^\dagger\textsc{Does}$ not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2022

	Evergreen Park		Cook County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	33,901	N/A	2,192,974	N/A	3,497,215	N/A
Job Change, 2012-22	18,446	119.4	119,416	5.8	235,962	7.2
Job Change, 2002-22	25,411	299.3	-23,984	-1.1	138,855	4.1
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	4.52		1.07		1.09	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2022).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Evergreen Park Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	1,538	16.0
2. Education	1,218	12.7
3. Retail Trade	880	9.2
4. Accommodation and Food Service	739	7.7
5. Professional	691	7.2
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	3,988	41.5
2. Evergreen Park	752	7.8
3. Oak Lawn	368	3.8
4. Alsip	148	1.5
5. Bedford Park	136	1.4

Employment in Evergreen Park*, 2019							
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent					
1. Health Care	2,311	30.9					
2. Retail Trade	2,269	30.4					
3. Accommodation and Food Service	755	10.1					
4. Education	517	6.9					
5. Public Administration	274	3.7					
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS							
1. Chicago	2,471	33.1					
2. Evergreen Park	752	10.1					
3. Oak Lawn	435	5.8					
4. Orland Park	195	2.6					
5. Tinley Park	175	2.3					
(2010)							

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Evergreen Park.

General Land Use, 2018

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	903.4	44.6
Multi-Family Residential	27.2	1.3
Commercial	188.3	9.3
Industrial	6.3	0.3
Institutional	213.7	10.6
Mixed Use	7.7	0.4
Transportation and Other	576.9	28.5
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	89.3	4.4
Vacant	11.3	0.6
TOTAL	2,024.1	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	Evergreen Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.04	3.57	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Evergreen Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	99.8%	67.8%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.2%	19.0%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	13.3%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance;

population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Tax Base

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Evergreen Park.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2022

	Evergreen Park	Cook County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$525,774,876	\$74,353,221,785	\$137,918,287,566
Total Retail Sales	\$734,684,833	\$92,978,637,153	\$168,382,810,939
Total Sales per Capita*	\$36,949	\$17,658	\$19,647


Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2022.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2021

Residential	\$300,539,359
Commercial	\$139,133,785
Industrial	\$5,917,300
Railroad	\$132,746
Farm	\$0
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$445,723,190

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS. Historic data may not be available for municipalities that were incorporated after 2000.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	85.9	67.0	55.4
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	4.0	11.0	14.7
Black (Non-Hispanic)	7.8	20.1	24.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	1.2	1.1	2.1
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	0.7	3.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	30.0	28.1	26.4
20 to 34	16.3	18.6	18.5
35 to 49	24.6	17.9	19.5
50 to 64	11.6	21.1	20.0
65 and Over	17.5	14.3	15.6
Median Age	37.3	38.2	40.2

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	11.7	8.6	5.4
High School Diploma or Equivalent	27.9	29.5	19.4
Some College, No Degree	26.2	22.5	26.0
Associate's Degree	6.1	8.2	9.8
Bachelor's Degree	19.5	19.7	24.4
Graduate or Professional Degree	8.6	11.5	15.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Population 25 years and older *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Native	93.8	90.8
Foreign Born	6.2	9.2
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
English Only	85.9	85.5
Spanish	10.2	10.9
Slavic Languages	0.9	0.7
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.8	0.8
Arabic	0.1	0.1
Korean	0.0	0.1
Other Asian Languages	0.1	0.2
Other Indo-European Languages	1.8	1.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.1	0.6
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	14.1	14.5
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4.2	3.5

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	28.5	30.5
2-Person Household	24.8	27.3
3-Person Household	16.7	16.6
4-or-More-Person Household	30.0	25.6
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	68.5	67.6
Single Parent with Child	9.1	8.8
Non-Family	31.5	32.4
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$77,822	\$85,328
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	98.1	91.8	96.6
Owner-Occupied*	85.4	85.6	83.3
Renter-Occupied*	14.6	14.4	16.7
Vacant Housing Units	1.9	8.2	3.4

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021	
	Percent	Percent	
Less than \$20,000	11.2	8.9	
Less than 20 Percent	0.3	0.4	
20 to 29 Percent	1.3	0.0	
30 Percent or More	9.7	8.5	
\$20,000 to \$49,999	23.4	17.3	
Less than 20 Percent	5.7	1.6	
20 to 29 Percent	5.6	3.8	
30 Percent or More	12.1	11.9	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	21.3	16.3	
Less than 20 Percent	7.9	4.6	
20 to 29 Percent	4.0	3.9	
30 Percent or More	9.5	7.8	
\$75,000 or More	41.3	57.5	
Less than 20 Percent	24.5	40.0	
20 to 29 Percent	12.5	14.0	
30 Percent or More	4.3	3.5	

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	83.1	84.5
Single Family, Attached	2.4	1.6
2 Units	1.1	1.7
3 or 4 Units	2.1	1.3
5 or More Units	11.2	11.0
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	0.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc. Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	6.4	5.3
2 Bedrooms	22.7	22.3
3 Bedrooms	49.8	51.3
4 Bedrooms	16.1	17.1
5 or More Bedrooms	5.1	4.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.0	6.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2.3	2.6
Built 1970 to 1999	8.3	9.8
Built 1940 to 1969	79.4	78.3
Built Before 1940	10.1	9.2
Median Year Built	1954	1955

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	8.2	6.8
1 Vehicle Available	35.1	33.9
2 Vehicles Available	38.4	38.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	18.3	20.7
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	4.0	9.7
Drive Alone	77.6	76.2
Carpool	5.9	4.2
Transit	9.5	7.2
Walk or Bike	2.4	1.4
Other	0.7	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.0	90.3
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	33.0	32.6
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	67.0	66.1
Employed [†] *	87.4	96.6
Unemployed*	12.1	3.4
Not in Labor Force	33.0	33.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. [†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Community Data Snapshot | Evergreen Park | Water Supply



Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains more information about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Evergreen Park*

Primary Water Source: Lake Michigan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	2.47	1.79	-27.3
Residential Sector	1.85	1.33	-28.1
Non-Residential Sector	0.62	0.46	-25.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

		Evergreen Park			C	CMAP Region
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	90.6	66.6	-26.5	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014 (2021 Dollars)	2020 (2021 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$52.22	\$46.46	-11.0	-1.9
Sewer	\$18.54	\$10.99	-40.7	-8.3
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

**Monthly water bills are calculated as: monthly base charge + ((5,000 gallons – water provision included in base charge) × \$/1,000 gallons). Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.



Water Loss*

Reporting utility: Evergreen Park

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	0.27
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	\$398,731
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	15.9

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Evergreen Park	CMAP Region		
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	99.4%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	49.2%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	80.7%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	9.6%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	9.6%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.17	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	66.6	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$587.63	\$379.91*	N/A**	CMAP, 2021
	ls per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 79% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2021
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	22.5%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021

*Median value of CMAP region's 284 municipalities.

**ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.