




## Lake County

Community Data Snapshot

County Series

June 2021 Release



## About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at [cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots](http://cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots). The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to [info@cmap.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmap.illinois.gov).

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

## User Notes

### Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

### Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

### Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

### Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

## Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Lake County.

### General Population Characteristics, 2015-2019

	Lake County	CMAP Region
<b>Total Population</b>	701,473	8,483,267
<b>Total Households</b>	246,122	3,123,330
<b>Average Household Size</b>	2.8	2.7
<b>Percent Population Change, 2010-19</b>	-0.3	0.6
<b>Percent Population Change, 2000-19</b>	8.9	4.1

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>White (Non-Hispanic)</b>	432,361	61.6	4,331,282	51.1
<b>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</b>	152,141	21.7	1,952,500	23.0
<b>Black (Non-Hispanic)</b>	45,923	6.5	1,406,500	16.6
<b>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</b>	53,654	7.6	610,365	7.2
<b>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</b>	17,394	2.5	182,620	2.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Under 5</b>	40,703	5.8	518,065	6.1
<b>5 to 19</b>	152,672	21.8	1,644,152	19.4
<b>20 to 34</b>	128,316	18.3	1,794,152	21.1
<b>35 to 49</b>	137,299	19.6	1,701,494	20.1
<b>50 to 64</b>	146,144	20.8	1,635,766	19.3
<b>65 to 74</b>	57,332	8.2	691,947	8.2
<b>75 to 84</b>	26,661	3.8	346,833	4.1
<b>85 and Over</b>	12,346	1.8	150,858	1.8
<b>Median Age</b>	38.4		37.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

**Educational Attainment\*, 2015-2019**

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	42,861	9.4	663,242	11.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	95,007	20.8	1,314,011	22.8
Some College, No Degree	83,348	18.2	1,100,596	19.1
Associate's Degree	29,020	6.3	404,417	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	121,501	26.5	1,377,160	23.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	85,939	18.8	906,665	15.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

**Nativity, 2015-2019**

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	570,075	81.3	6,857,014	80.8
Foreign Born	131,398	18.7	1,626,253	19.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

**Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019**

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	470,577	71.2	5,462,068	68.6
Spanish	114,481	17.3	1,469,511	18.4
Slavic Languages	19,308	2.9	275,630	3.5
Chinese	7,726	1.2	90,487	1.1
Tagalog	6,045	0.9	73,562	0.9
Arabic	1,092	0.2	61,851	0.8
Korean	5,397	0.8	36,522	0.5
Other Asian Languages	10,410	1.6	109,161	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	22,603	3.4	329,735	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	3,131	0.5	56,675	0.7
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	<b>190,193</b>	<b>28.8</b>	<b>2,503,134</b>	<b>31.4</b>
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	67,033	10.1	946,875	11.9

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>1-Person Household</b>	55,252	22.4	907,247	29.0
<b>2-Person Household</b>	79,353	32.2	962,910	30.8
<b>3-Person Household</b>	41,834	17.0	487,229	15.6
<b>4-or-More-Person Household</b>	69,683	28.3	765,944	24.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Family</b>	180,068	73.2	2,020,927	64.7
Single Parent with Child	19,589	8.0	255,505	8.2
<b>Non-Family</b>	66,054	26.8	1,102,403	35.3

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$25,000</b>	29,993	12.2	529,858	17.0
<b>\$25,000 to \$49,999</b>	39,275	16.0	567,834	18.2
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	35,950	14.6	490,586	15.7
<b>\$75,000 to \$99,999</b>	30,200	12.3	395,676	12.7
<b>\$100,000 to \$149,999</b>	44,543	18.1	533,771	17.1
<b>\$150,000 and Over</b>	66,161	26.9	605,605	19.4
<b>Median Income</b>	\$89,427		\$73,572	
<b>Per Capita Income*</b>	\$45,766		\$39,058	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>One or More Computing Devices</b>	231,828	94.2	2,844,477	91.1
Smartphone(s) Only	11,494	4.7	189,503	6.1
<b>No Computing Devices</b>	14,294	5.8	278,853	8.9
<b>Internet Access</b>	226,066	91.9	2,741,960	87.8
Broadband Subscription	220,965	89.8	2,640,864	84.6
<b>No Internet Access</b>	20,056	8.1	381,370	12.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	246,122	93.2	3,123,330	91.6
Owner-Occupied*	179,679	73.0	1,996,297	63.9
Renter-Occupied*	66,443	27.0	1,127,033	36.1
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	17,956	6.8	286,760	8.4

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units  
\*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	19,250	8.0	346,898	11.4
Less than 20 Percent	697	0.3	8,867	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	1,447	0.6	25,618	0.8
30 Percent or More	17,106	7.1	312,413	10.3
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	46,480	19.2	684,002	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	4,959	2.1	77,326	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	9,569	4.0	145,913	4.8
30 Percent or More	31,952	13.2	460,763	15.1
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	35,493	14.7	485,439	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	10,168	4.2	151,167	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	12,922	5.3	173,246	5.7
30 Percent or More	12,403	5.1	161,026	5.3
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	140,397	58.1	1,527,241	50.2
Less than 20 Percent	93,505	38.7	1,022,835	33.6
20 to 29 Percent	33,725	14.0	382,768	12.6
30 Percent or More	13,167	5.4	121,638	4.0

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
<b>Housing Costs</b>	39	48
<b>Transportation Costs</b>	23	24
<b>TOTAL H+T COSTS</b>	62	72

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

\*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

\*\*"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

\*\*\*"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

## Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Lake County.

### Housing Type, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	177,495	67.2	1,710,011	50.1
Single Family, Attached	26,724	10.1	254,103	7.5
2 Units	6,800	2.6	237,977	7.0
3 or 4 Units	7,880	3.0	268,945	7.9
5 to 9 Units	9,831	3.7	270,643	7.9
10 to 19 Units	11,309	4.3	151,076	4.4
20 or More Units	19,484	7.4	490,331	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	4,555	1.7	27,004	0.8

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
 \*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

### Housing Size, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	24,772	9.4	548,002	16.1
2 Bedrooms	60,860	23.0	965,749	28.3
3 Bedrooms	89,360	33.8	1,133,210	33.2
4 Bedrooms	70,161	26.6	597,921	17.5
5 or More Bedrooms	18,925	7.2	165,208	4.8
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3		6.0	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.  
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	45,957	17.4	463,304	13.6
Built 1970 to 1999	131,490	49.8	1,161,616	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	63,865	24.2	1,044,859	30.6
Built Before 1940	22,766	8.6	740,311	21.7
Median Year Built	1981		1968	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

## Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Lake County.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	12,425	5.0	399,783	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	67,000	27.2	1,111,243	35.6
2 Vehicles Available	109,395	44.4	1,098,207	35.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	57,302	23.3	514,097	16.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	24,486	6.9	226,183	5.4
Drive Alone	273,445	76.8	2,865,893	68.4
Carpool	29,529	8.3	323,457	7.7
Transit	15,805	4.4	557,002	13.3
Walk or Bike	9,347	2.6	164,065	3.9
Other	3,306	0.9	53,525	1.3
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	<b>331,432</b>	<b>93.1</b>	<b>3,963,942</b>	<b>94.6</b>
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	<b>30.4</b>		<b>32.5</b>	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2017

	Lake County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	22,231	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

\*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Lake County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	13.1%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	34.9%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	51.9%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

\*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



## Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Lake County.

### Employment Status, 2015-2019

	Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	380,960	69.0	4,546,758	67.3
Employed†*	351,926	92.4	4,260,595	93.7
Unemployed*	19,357	5.1	274,246	6.0
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	170,843	31.0	2,213,132	32.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force

### Private Sector Employment\*, 2020

	Lake County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Private Sector Employment</b>	288,487	N/A	3,574,346	N/A
<b>Job Change, 2010-20</b>	30,532	11.8	441,295	14.1
<b>Job Change, 2002-20</b>	17,454	6.4	215,986	6.4
<b>Private Sector Jobs per Household***</b>	1.17		1.14	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2020).

\*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

\*\*Data is not available for Kendall County.

\*\*\*Based on households from 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Employment of Lake County Residents\*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	40,973	13.3
2. Health Care	33,921	11.0
3. Retail Trade	32,777	10.7
4. Education	26,984	8.8
5. Professional	25,782	8.4
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Lake County	146,420	47.6
2. Cook County	105,879	34.4
3. DuPage County	16,811	5.5
4. McHenry County	7,653	2.5
5. Kane County	4,569	1.5

### Employment in Lake County\*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	54,538	17.3
2. Retail Trade	35,552	11.3
3. Health Care	31,782	10.1
4. Education	28,376	9.0
5. Administration	25,078	8.0
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Lake County	146,420	46.5
2. Cook County	84,755	26.9
3. McHenry County	20,513	6.5
4. DuPage County	11,246	3.6
5. Kane County	8,438	2.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2018).

\*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

## Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Lake County.

### General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	99,301.2	33.0
Multi-Family Residential	3,040.4	1.0
Commercial	12,473.0	4.1
Industrial	7,695.4	2.6
Institutional	11,622.3	3.9
Mixed Use	157.8	0.1
Transportation and Other	49,373.0	16.4
Agricultural	41,390.4	13.7
Open Space	56,635.2	18.8
Vacant	19,500.4	6.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>301,189.1</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

### Park Access, 2015

	Lake County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	9.49	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

\*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2015

	Lake County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	1.4%	40.5%
Moderate Walkability	31.6%	23.8%
Low Walkability	67.0%	35.8%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Walkability Layer](#).

\*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

## Revenue

The revenue tables include Lake County revenues based on sales and current land use.

### General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2020

	Lake County	CMAP Region
<b>General Merchandise</b>	\$9,754,620,433	\$93,778,223,174
<b>Total Retail Sales</b>	\$11,935,965,091	\$122,031,206,863
<b>Total Sales per Capita*</b>	\$17,016	\$14,385

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2020.

\*Per capita calculations based on population from 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Equalized Assessed Value, 2019

<b>Residential</b>	\$21,755,345,014
<b>Commercial</b>	\$4,242,412,622
<b>Industrial</b>	\$1,064,623,662
<b>Railroad</b>	\$37,807,383
<b>Farm</b>	\$146,204,986
<b>Mineral</b>	\$0
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$27,246,393,667

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2019.

## Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

### Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>White (Non-Hispanic)</b>	73.4	66.4	61.6
<b>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</b>	14.4	19.1	21.7
<b>Black (Non-Hispanic)</b>	6.8	6.5	6.5
<b>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</b>	3.9	6.0	7.6
<b>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</b>	1.6	2.0	2.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>19 and Under</b>	30.9	27.6
<b>20 to 34</b>	17.6	18.3
<b>35 to 49</b>	23.5	19.6
<b>50 to 64</b>	18.1	20.8
<b>65 and Over</b>	9.9	13.7
<b>Median Age</b>	36.1	38.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Educational Attainment\*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>Less than High School Diploma</b>	11.5	9.4
<b>High School Diploma or Equivalent</b>	21.3	20.8
<b>Some College, No Degree</b>	19.9	18.2
<b>Associate's Degree</b>	6.0	6.3
<b>Bachelor's Degree</b>	24.8	26.5
<b>Graduate or Professional Degree</b>	16.5	18.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
<b>Native</b>	80.7	81.3
<b>Foreign Born</b>	19.3	18.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population  
\*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
<b>English Only</b>	72.8	71.2
<b>Spanish</b>	16.7	17.3
<b>Slavic Languages</b>	3.0	2.9
<b>Chinese</b>	0.9	1.2
<b>Tagalog</b>	1.2	0.9
<b>Arabic</b>	0.1	0.2
<b>Korean</b>	0.9	0.8
<b>Other Asian Languages</b>	1.0	1.6
<b>Other Indo-European Languages</b>	3.1	3.4
<b>Other/Unspecified Languages</b>	0.3	0.5
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	27.2	28.8
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	11.2	10.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>1-Person Household</b>	21.4	22.4
<b>2-Person Household</b>	30.0	32.2
<b>3-Person Household</b>	17.0	17.0
<b>4-or-More-Person Household</b>	31.5	28.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>Family</b>	74.4	73.2
Single Parent with Child	8.1	8.0
<b>Non-Family</b>	25.6	26.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2019 Dollars)	2015-2019 (2019 Dollars)
<b>Median Income</b>	\$92,562	\$89,427

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	92.7	93.2
Owner-Occupied*	78.4	73.0
Renter-Occupied*	21.6	27.0
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	7.3	6.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	8.7	8.0
Less than 20 Percent	0.3	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	0.7	0.6
30 Percent or More	7.6	7.1
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	21.2	19.2
Less than 20 Percent	2.5	2.1
20 to 29 Percent	4.8	4.0
30 Percent or More	13.9	13.2
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	17.1	14.7
Less than 20 Percent	4.3	4.2
20 to 29 Percent	5.0	5.3
30 Percent or More	7.8	5.1
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	53.0	58.1
Less than 20 Percent	26.2	38.7
20 to 29 Percent	16.6	14.0
30 Percent or More	10.2	5.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Single Family, Detached	67.5	67.2
Single Family, Attached	11.3	10.1
2 Units	2.7	2.6
3 or 4 Units	3.0	3.0
5 or More Units	13.7	15.4
Mobile Home/Other*	1.8	1.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
\*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	8.8	9.4
2 Bedrooms	23.5	23.0
3 Bedrooms	34.7	33.8
4 Bedrooms	26.4	26.6
5 or More Bedrooms	6.5	7.2
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3	6.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.  
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	14.6	17.4
Built 1970 to 1999	50.2	49.8
Built 1940 to 1969	25.6	24.2
Built Before 1940	9.6	8.6
Median Year Built	1979	1981

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
No Vehicle Available	4.5	5.0
1 Vehicle Available	26.8	27.2
2 Vehicles Available	46.4	44.4
3 or More Vehicles Available	22.3	23.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Work at Home*	5.9	6.9
Drive Alone	76.6	76.8
Carpool	9.0	8.3
Transit	4.1	4.4
Walk or Bike	3.3	2.6
Other	1.1	0.9
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	<b>94.1</b>	<b>93.1</b>
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	<b>30.4</b>	<b>30.4</b>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	<b>71.6</b>	<b>69.0</b>
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	89.5	92.4
Unemployed*	7.5	5.1
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	<b>28.4</b>	<b>31.0</b>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force

### ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit [cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators](http://cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators) to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Lake County	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	1.9%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.3%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	51.7%	46.6%	64.9%	ACS, 2015-2019
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	82.2%	80.8%	83.4%	ACS, 2015-2019
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	62.1%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	34.3%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.24	0.18	0.15	USGS NLCD, 2016
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	11.6%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	16.3%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	22.2%	30.3%	37.3%	ACS, 2015-2019