



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT  
LISLE, MUNICIPALITY  
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

## About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

## User Notes

### Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

### Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

### Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

### Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

### Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

### Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



## POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Lisle.

### GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
<b>Total Population</b>	23,024	931,826	8,522,948
<b>Total Households</b>	9,670	340,669	3,095,093
<b>Average Household Size</b>	2.4	2.7	2.8
<b>% Population Change, 2000-10</b>	5.7	1.4	3.5
<b>% Population Change, 2010-17</b>	2.8	1.6	1.1
<b>% Population Change, 2000-17</b>	8.7	3.1	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>White non-Hispanic</b>	16,691	72.5	632,342	67.9	4,400,011	51.6
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	1,597	6.9	131,290	14.1	1,935,376	22.7
<b>Black non-Hispanic</b>	949	4.1	42,462	4.6	1,431,406	16.8
<b>Asian non-Hispanic</b>	3,215	14.0	105,232	11.3	586,990	6.9
<b>All other categories</b>	572	2.5	20,500	2.2	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

### AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>19 and under</b>	5,055	22.0	240,461	25.8	2,217,385	26.0
<b>20 to 34</b>	5,154	22.4	176,834	19.0	1,813,921	21.3
<b>35 to 49</b>	4,531	19.7	185,730	19.9	1,725,677	20.2
<b>50 to 64</b>	4,826	21.0	198,498	21.3	1,641,327	19.3
<b>65 to 74</b>	1,843	8.0	76,567	8.2	647,212	7.6
<b>75 to 84</b>	928	4.0	36,383	3.9	329,087	3.9
<b>85 and Older</b>	687	3.0	17,353	1.9	148,339	1.7
<b>Median Age*</b>	39.1		39.1		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than High School Graduate</b>	458	2.8	48,155	7.6	705,215	12.3
<b>High School Graduate or Equivalency</b>	2,400	14.8	117,126	18.5	1,324,196	23.1
<b>Some College, No Degree</b>	2,991	18.4	118,437	18.7	1,119,856	19.5
<b>Associate's Degree</b>	1,030	6.3	45,287	7.2	395,340	6.9
<b>Bachelor's Degree</b>	5,319	32.7	183,959	29.1	1,328,622	23.2
<b>Graduate or Professional Degree</b>	4,066	25.0	119,948	19.0	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

### NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Native</b>	18,378	84.2		80.0		79.7
<b>Foreign Born</b>	3,460	15.8		20.0		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

### LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>English Only</b>	17,734	81.2	643,980	73.5	5,505,064	68.9
<b>Language other than English</b>	4,104	18.8	232,554	26.5	2,485,504	31.1
<b>Speak English less than "very well"</b>	1,251	5.7	80,752	9.2	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

### LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>English</b>	17,734	81.2	643,980	73.5	5,505,064	68.9
<b>Spanish</b>	882	4.0	91,968	10.5	1,463,328	18.3
<b>Slavic Languages</b>	453	2.1	26,971	3.1	277,040	3.5
<b>Chinese</b>	1,049	4.8	11,487	1.3	88,225	1.1
<b>Tagalog</b>	118	0.5	10,303	1.2	75,330	0.9
<b>Arabic</b>	21	0.1	4,581	0.5	60,403	0.8
<b>Korean</b>	182	0.8	2,774	0.3	37,451	0.5
<b>Other Asian Languages</b>	526	2.4	18,463	2.1	101,327	1.3
<b>Other Indo-European Languages</b>	871	4.0	63,357	7.2	327,819	4.1
<b>Other / Unspecified Languages</b>	2	0.0	2,650	0.3	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>1 Person Household</b>	3,333	34.5	85,322	25.0	890,038	28.8
<b>2 People Household</b>	3,195	33.0	109,696	32.2	939,153	30.3
<b>3 People Household</b>	1,383	14.3	56,568	16.6	486,277	15.7
<b>4 or More People Household</b>	1,759	18.2	89,083	26.1	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

### HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
<b>Family</b>	5,674	58.7	70.1	65.2
<b>Single Parent with Child</b>	333	3.4	6.0	8.5
<b>Non-Family</b>	3,996	41.3	29.9	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

### HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$25,000</b>	1,045	10.8	39,746	11.7	578,549	18.7
<b>\$25,000 to \$49,999</b>	1,745	18.0	57,573	16.9	601,924	19.4
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	1,466	15.2	54,164	15.9	511,185	16.5
<b>\$75,000 to \$99,999</b>	1,261	13.0	46,788	13.7	392,259	12.7
<b>\$100,000 to \$149,999</b>	1,874	19.4	65,984	19.4	499,882	16.2
<b>\$150,000 and Over</b>	2,279	23.6	76,414	22.4	511,294	16.5
<b>Median Income</b>	\$83,745		\$84,442		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	9,670	95.3	340,669	95.0	3,095,093	91.3
<b>Owner-Occupied*</b>	5,665	55.8	249,800	69.7	1,975,418	58.2
<b>Renter-Occupied*</b>	4,005	39.5	90,869	25.4	1,119,675	33.0
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	478	4.7	17,752	5.0	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: \*Occupied housing units; Housing units.

### HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017\*

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	752	7.9		7.5		12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0		0.1		0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	35	0.4		0.4		0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	717	7.5		7.1		11.5
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	1,915	20.1		19.9		24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	104	1.1		1.7		2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	369	3.9		4.0		5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	1,442	15.1		14.2		15.8
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	1,466	15.4		16.1		16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	311	3.3		4.7		5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	583	6.1		5.7		5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	572	6.0		5.7		5.5
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	5,414	56.7		56.5		46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3,639	38.1		37.0		30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	1,192	12.5		14.5		11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	583	6.1		5.0		3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

\*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

### HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019\*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
<b>Housing Costs</b>	33	42
<b>Transportation Costs</b>	20	22
<b>H + T Costs</b>	54	63

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

\*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit [hudexchange.org](http://hudexchange.org).

\*\*Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

\*\*\*Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Lisle.

#### HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017\*

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Single Family, Detached</b>	4,897	48.3	214,673	59.9	1,704,708	50.3
<b>Single Family, Attached</b>	944	9.3	43,308	12.1	251,659	7.4
<b>2 Units</b>	47	0.5	2,676	0.7	236,677	7.0
<b>3 or 4 Units</b>	294	2.9	10,871	3.0	271,850	8.0
<b>5 or more Units</b>	3,929	38.7	86,057	24.0	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
\*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

#### HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>0 to 1 Bedrooms</b>	2,377	23.4	43,120	12.0	545,190	16.1
<b>2 Bedrooms</b>	2,562	25.2	87,532	24.4	969,876	28.6
<b>3 Bedrooms</b>	2,239	22.1	120,656	33.7	1,131,968	33.4
<b>4 Bedrooms</b>	2,483	24.5	86,490	24.1	586,905	17.3
<b>5+ Bedrooms</b>	487	4.8	20,623	5.8	157,362	4.6
<b>Median Number of Rooms*</b>	5.6		6.2		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
\*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

#### HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Built 2000 or Later</b>	1,147	11.3	36,013	10.0	434,527	12.8
<b>Built 1970 to 1999</b>	7,023	69.2	206,650	57.7	1,150,207	33.9
<b>Built 1940 to 1969</b>	1,733	17.1	95,186	26.6	1,056,069	31.1
<b>Built Before 1940</b>	245	2.4	20,572	5.7	750,498	22.1
<b>Median Year Built</b>	1980		1977		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Lisle.

#### VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>No Vehicle Available</b>	649	6.7	13,726	4.0	393,398	12.7
<b>1 Vehicle Available</b>	3,618	37.4	105,231	30.9	1,107,676	35.8
<b>2 Vehicles Available</b>	3,973	41.1	152,975	44.9	1,098,330	35.5
<b>3 or More Vehicles Available</b>	1,430	14.8	68,737	20.2	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

#### MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Work at Home**</b>	868	N/A	28,690	N/A	202,734	N/A
<b>Drive Alone</b>	9,448	81.9	369,778	82.3	2,840,547	72.6
<b>Carpool</b>	596	5.2	33,805	7.5	321,276	8.2
<b>Transit</b>	1,082	9.4	32,671	7.3	540,300	13.8
<b>Walk or Bike</b>	348	3.0	9,324	2.1	163,733	4.2
<b>Other</b>	69	0.6	3,481	0.8	48,918	1.2
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	11,543	100.0	449,059	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
<b>Mean Commute Time (minutes)</b>	29.1		29.3		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

\* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

\*\* Not included in "total commuters."

#### ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
<b>Average Vehicle Miles Traveled</b>	18,613	20,878	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.



## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Lisle.

#### EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Lisle		DuPage County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	13,512	70.2	513,814	69.3	4,541,928	67.2
<b>Employed**</b>	12,670	93.8	486,850	94.8	4,187,289	92.2
<b>Unemployed*</b>	826	6.1	26,614	5.2	342,324	7.5
<b>Not In Labor Force</b>	5,742	29.8	227,560	30.7	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  
 \*Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: \*In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

#### PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017\*

	Lisle		DuPage County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Private Employment</b>	24,987	N/A	565,134	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
<b>Job Change (2001-11)</b>	-3,615	-15.7	-32,395	-6.1	-296,468	-8.5
<b>Job Change (2011-17)</b>	5,625	29.1	65,006	13.0	363,553	11.4
<b>Job Change (2001-17)</b>	2,010	8.7	32,611	6.1	67,085	1.9
<b>Private Sector Jobs per Household</b>	2.58		1.66		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).  
 \*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.  
 \*\*Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

#### EMPLOYMENT OF LISLE RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
<b>Professional</b>	1,422	12.9
<b>Health Care</b>	1,210	11.0
<b>Education</b>	1,026	9.3
<b>Retail Trade</b>	999	9.1
<b>Manufacturing</b>	799	7.2
By Employment Location		
<b>Chicago</b>	1,820	16.5
<b>Naperville</b>	1,083	9.8
<b>Lisle</b>	901	8.2
<b>Downers Grove</b>	570	5.2
<b>Oak Brook</b>	265	2.4

#### EMPLOYMENT IN LISLE, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
<b>Professional</b>	8,829	34.5
<b>Management</b>	2,309	9.0
<b>Finance</b>	2,042	8.0
<b>Manufacturing</b>	1,792	7.0
<b>Education</b>	1,633	6.4
By Residence Location		
<b>Naperville</b>	2,595	10.1
<b>Chicago</b>	2,446	9.6
<b>Aurora</b>	1,334	5.2
<b>Lisle</b>	901	3.5
<b>Bolingbrook</b>	789	3.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Lisle. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

#### GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	<b>Acres</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Single-Family Residential</b>	1,507.9	33.4
<b>Multi-Family Residential</b>	246.8	5.5
<b>Commercial</b>	596.7	13.2
<b>Industrial</b>	153.3	3.4
<b>Institutional</b>	400.6	8.9
<b>Mixed Use</b>	2.1	0.0
<b>Transportation and Other</b>	1,008.5	22.3
<b>Agricultural</b>	2.1	0.0
<b>Open Space</b>	440.2	9.7
<b>Vacant</b>	156.8	3.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	4,514.9	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

#### PARK ACCESS, 2013

	<b>Lisle</b>	<b>DuPage County</b>	<b>CMAP Region</b>
<b>Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*</b>	11.2	8.3	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

\*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

#### WALK SCORE, 2018

<b>Walk Score*</b>	38.00
--------------------	-------

\*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](http://walkscore.com) (2018).

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

### REVENUE

The revenue tables include Lisle revenues based on sales and current land use.

#### GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Lisle	DuPage County	CMAP Region
<b>General Merchandise</b>	\$ 418,434,228	\$ 17,692,751,432	\$ 128,012,549,280
<b>Total Retail Sales</b>	\$ 458,747,868	\$ 20,964,660,017	\$ 159,567,303,804
<b>Total Sales per Capita*</b>	\$ 19,925	\$ 22,498	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

\*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

#### EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

<b>Residential</b>	\$ 637,013,452
<b>Commercial</b>	\$ 336,661,665
<b>Industrial</b>	\$ 42,678,250
<b>Railroad</b>	\$ 359,985
<b>Farm</b>	\$ 0
<b>Mineral</b>	\$ 0
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$ 1,016,713,352

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

## Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisle

### CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

### RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>White non-Hispanic</b>	80.0	73.8	72.5
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	5.5	5.8	6.9
<b>Black non-Hispanic</b>	3.4	5.5	4.1
<b>Asian non-Hispanic</b>	9.7	12.4	14.0
<b>All other categories</b>	1.4	2.5	2.5

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

### NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>Native</b>	84.3	84.2
<b>Foreign Born</b>	15.7	15.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

### AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>19 and under</b>	26.4	24.0	22.0
<b>20 to 34</b>	23.9	23.6	22.4
<b>35 to 49</b>	26.9	22.4	19.7
<b>50 to 64</b>	14.9	20.6	21.0
<b>65 and Older</b>	7.9	9.4	15.0
<b>Median Age*</b>	34.7	36.9	39.1

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

## Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisle

### EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>Less than High School Graduate</b>	4.6	4.3	2.8
<b>High School Graduate or Equivalency</b>	17.9	13.1	14.8
<b>Some College, No Degree</b>	21.9	18.1	18.4
<b>Associate's Degree</b>	5.6	7.0	6.3
<b>Bachelor's Degree</b>	30.0	32.9	32.7
<b>Graduate or Professional Degree</b>	20.0	24.5	25.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

### LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>English Only</b>	79.4	81.2
<b>Language other than English</b>	20.6	18.8
<b>Speak English less than "very well"</b>	6.4	5.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

### LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>English</b>	79.4	81.2
<b>Spanish</b>	4.7	4.0
<b>Slavic Languages</b>	3.2	2.1
<b>Chinese</b>	4.8	4.8
<b>Tagalog</b>	0.2	0.5
<b>Arabic</b>	0.0	0.1
<b>Korean</b>	0.4	0.8
<b>Other Asian Languages</b>	2.0	2.4
<b>Other Indo-European Languages</b>	5.1	4.0
<b>Other / Unspecified Languages</b>	0.3	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

## Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisle

### HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
<b>1 Person Household</b>	29.4	34.5
<b>2 People Household</b>	35.9	33.0
<b>3 People Household</b>	14.7	14.3
<b>4 or More People Household</b>	20.0	18.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

### HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
<b>Family</b>	61.8	58.7
<b>Single Parent with Child</b>	5.4	3.4
<b>Non-Family</b>	38.2	41.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

### HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
<b>Median Income</b>	\$ 87,253	\$ 83,745

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

## Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisle

### HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	96.3	92.1	95.3
<b>Owner-Occupied*</b>	55.0	59.0	55.8
<b>Renter-Occupied*</b>	41.3	33.1	39.5
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	3.7	7.9	4.7

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: \*Occupied housing units; Housing units.

### HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	8.2	7.9
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.3	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.2	0.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7.7	7.5
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	24.7	20.1
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1.8	1.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.3	3.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	17.7	15.1
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	15.0	15.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	4.9	3.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.2	6.1
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4.9	6.0
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	51.8	56.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	33.4	38.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	12.0	12.5
<i>30 percent or more</i>	6.4	6.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

## Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisle

### HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017\*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>Single Family, Detached</b>	48.0	48.3
<b>Single Family, Attached</b>	9.1	9.3
<b>2 Units</b>	0.9	0.5
<b>3 or 4 Units</b>	3.3	2.9
<b>5 or more Units</b>	38.6	38.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

\*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

### HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>0 to 1 Bedrooms</b>	22.8	23.4
<b>2 Bedrooms</b>	26.4	25.2
<b>3 Bedrooms</b>	21.6	22.1
<b>4 Bedrooms</b>	24.5	24.5
<b>5+ Bedrooms</b>	4.7	4.8
<b>Median Number of Rooms*</b>	5.5	5.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

\*Includes all rooms.

### HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>Built 2000 or Later</b>	9.2	11.3
<b>Built 1970 to 1999</b>	71.4	69.2
<b>Built 1940 to 1969</b>	14.8	17.1
<b>Built Before 1940</b>	4.6	2.4
<b>Median Year Built</b>	1979	1980

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.



## Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisle

### VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>No Vehicle Available</b>	4.7	6.7
<b>1 Vehicle Available</b>	32.5	37.4
<b>2 Vehicles Available</b>	45.6	41.1
<b>3 or More Vehicles Available</b>	17.2	14.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

### MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>Work at Home*</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Drive Alone</b>	80.7	81.9
<b>Carpool</b>	7.8	5.2
<b>Transit</b>	8.8	9.4
<b>Walk or Bike</b>	2.1	3.0
<b>Other</b>	0.5	0.6
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	11,651.0	11,543.0
<b>Mean Commute Time (minutes)</b>	28.7	29.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

\* Not included in "total commuters."

### EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	73.9	70.2
<b>Employed†</b>	95.2	93.8
<b>Unemployed*</b>	4.7	6.1
<b>Not In Labor Force</b>	26.1	29.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: \*In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

## Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Lisle

### WATER SUPPLY

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

### WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF LISLE\*

**Primary Water Source:** Lake Michigan\*\*

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
<b>Total Water Withdrawals****</b>	2.70	2.20	-18.80
<b>Residential Sector</b>	1.60	1.40	-11.90
<b>Non-Residential Sector</b>	1.10	0.80	-29.50

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

\* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

\*\* The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

\*\*\* Millions of gallons per day.

\*\*\*\* Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

### DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Lisle			CMAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
<b>Residential* (GPCD**)</b>	71.5	62.5	-13.6	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

\* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

\*\* Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

## Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Lisle

### WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS\*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
<b>Drinking Water</b>	\$ 3.24	\$ 6.60	68.2	7.4
<b>Sewer</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Combined** (if applicable)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

\* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

\*\* Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

### WATER LOSS\*

Reporting utility: Lisle

	2017
<b>Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**</b>	0.20
<b>Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)</b>	\$332,514.00
<b>Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***</b>	8.7

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

\* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

\*\* Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

\*\*\* The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

## Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Lisle

### ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit [cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators](http://cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators) to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Lisle	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	64.0%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	85.6%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	91.1%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	52.0%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.20	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	62.5	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$346.79	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	3.6%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.5%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	23.3%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

\*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

## Community Data Snapshot: Lisle

[For More Information](#)

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to [info@cmapi.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmapi.illinois.gov). To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.