


# **Palos Hills**

Community Data Snapshot Municipality Series July 2023 Release





### **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

### **User Notes**

### Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

#### **Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties**

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

#### **Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region**

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Palos Hills, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

### **Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots**

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



## **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Palos Hills.

### **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

Deles Hills	Cook Country	
Palos Hills	Cook County	CMAP Region
18,530	5,275,541	8,577,735
7,375	2,086,940	3,266,741
2.5	2.5	2.6
6.0	1.6	1.7
4.9	-1.9	5.3
	7,375 2.5 6.0	18,530 5,275,541   7,375 2,086,940   2.5 2.5   6.0 1.6

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	14,716	80.1	2,192,123	41.6	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	1,737	9.5	1,346,949	25.6	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1,083	5.9	1,192,435	22.6	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	465	2.5	392,743	7.5	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	378	2.1	141,148	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	Р	Palos Hills		County	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	770	4.2	312,408	5.9	502,928	5.9
5 to 19	3,087	16.8	964,227	18.3	1,662,052	19.4
20 to 34	2,486	13.5	1,176,731	22.3	1,774,853	20.7
35 to 49	3,749	20.4	1,056,786	20.1	1,724,098	20.1
50 to 64	3,992	21.7	980,967	18.6	1,659,323	19.4
65 to 74	2,466	13.4	455,547	8.7	746,030	8.7
75 to 84	1,315	7.2	218,495	4.1	347,665	4.1
85 and Over	514	2.8	100,237	1.9	153,584	1.8
Median Age	46.7		37.3		37.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



### Educational Attainment\*, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	1,627	11.9	439,740	12.0	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	4,105	30.1	820,019	22.4	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	2,833	20.8	660,520	18.1	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	1,542	11.3	246,183	6.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	2,197	16.1	869,960	23.8	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,344	9.8	619,971	17.0	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual. Universe: Population 25 years and older

### Nativity, 2017-2021

				CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
3,699	74.5	4,163,385	79.1	6,938,399	81.0
4,680	25.5	1,102,013	20.9	1,632,134	19.0
	3,699 4,680	3,699 74.5   4,680 25.5	3,699 74.5 4,163,385   4,680 25.5 1,102,013	3,699 74.5 4,163,385 79.1   4,680 25.5 1,102,013 20.9	3,699 74.5 4,163,385 79.1 6,938,399

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Palo	os Hills	Cook C	County	CMAP F	legion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	10,662	60.5	3,215,693	64.9	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	962	5.5	1,020,049	20.6	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	2,873	16.3	212,400	4.3	289,350	3.6
Chinese	0	0.0	66,455	1.3	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	97	0.6	47,051	0.9	73,710	0.9
Arabic	1,406	8.0	48,750	1.0	63,720	0.8
Korean	327	1.9	26,946	0.5	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	42	0.2	66,005	1.3	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	1,228	7.0	203,120	4.1	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	12	0.1	46,521	0.9	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	6,947	39.5	1,737,297	35.1	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	2,970	16.9	673,502	13.6	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Universe: Population 5 years and older



### Household Size, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook	County	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	2,782	36.0	684,709	33.5	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	2,508	32.5	613,454	30.0	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	994	12.9	302,975	14.8	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,443	18.7	443,520	21.7	775,919	24.1

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, 2017-2021

	Pal	Palos Hills		County	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	4,582	59.3	1,207,289	59.0	2,062,968	64.1
Single Parent with Child	388	5.0	171,747	8.4	257,853	8.0
Non-Family	3,145	40.7	837,369	41.0	1,157,783	35.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Income, 2017-2021

	Palo	Palos Hills		Cook County		<b>CMAP</b> Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	1,254	16.2	370,516	18.1	486,172	15.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,534	19.9	368,765	18.0	532,670	16.5	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,267	16.4	317,344	15.5	491,960	15.3	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	997	12.9	252,760	12.4	407,959	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,446	18.7	330,041	16.1	575,992	17.9	
\$150,000 and Over	1,229	15.9	405,232	19.8	725,998	22.5	
Median Income	\$72,570		\$72,121		\$81,102		
Per Capita Income*	\$36,985		\$41,706		\$43,128		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

### Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook Co	ounty	CMAP R	CMAP Region		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
One or More Computing Devices	7,103	91.9	1,892,469	92.6	3,019,317	93.7		
Smartphone(s) Only	734	9.5	179,898	8.8	240,075	7.5		
No Computing Devices	624	8.1	152,189	7.4	201,434	6.3		
Internet Access	7,008	90.7	1,828,303	89.4	2,935,545	91.1		
Broadband Subscription	6,851	88.7	1,768,389	86.5	2,855,152	88.6		
No Internet Access	719	9.3	216,355	10.6	285,206	8.9		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

Palos Hills		Cook County		CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
7,727	94.9	2,044,658	90.7	3,220,751	92.3
6,037	78.1	1,175,143	57.5	2,075,416	64.4
1,690	21.9	869,515	42.5	1,145,335	35.6
417	5.1	209,278	9.3	267,011	7.7
	Count 7,727 6,037 1,690	Count Percent   7,727 94.9   6,037 78.1   1,690 21.9	Count Percent Count   7,727 94.9 2,044,658   6,037 78.1 1,175,143   1,690 21.9 869,515	Count Percent Count Percent   7,727 94.9 2,044,658 90.7   6,037 78.1 1,175,143 57.5   1,690 21.9 869,515 42.5	Count Percent Count Percent Count   7,727 94.9 2,044,658 90.7 3,220,751   6,037 78.1 1,175,143 57.5 2,075,416   1,690 21.9 869,515 42.5 1,145,335

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2017-2021

	Palo	os Hills	Cook C	County	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	740	9.9	242,335	12.2	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	11	0.1	5,976	0.3	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	52	0.7	18,646	0.9	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	677	9.0	217,713	11.0	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,900	25.3	442,444	22.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	325	4.3	49,187	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	346	4.6	86,091	4.3	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	1,229	16.4	307,166	15.5	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,231	16.4	313,812	15.8	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	407	5.4	91,942	4.6	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	418	5.6	111,583	5.6	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	406	5.4	110,287	5.6	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	3,636	48.4	981,931	49.6	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	2,616	34.8	657,556	33.2	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	967	12.9	240,808	12.2	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	53	0.7	83,567	4.2	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	30	37
Transportation Costs	21	22
TOTAL H+T COSTS	51	59

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Location Affordability Index (2012-2016).

\*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

\*\*"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

\*\*\*"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.



### **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Palos Hills.

### Housing Type, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook	Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	3,464	42.5	907,611	40.3	1,745,022	50.0	
Single Family, Attached	955	11.7	118,796	5.3	259,184	7.4	
2 Units	55	0.7	215,305	9.6	239,727	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	1,027	12.6	237,198	10.5	274,341	7.9	
5 to 9 Units	894	11.0	221,214	9.8	270,594	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	1,568	19.3	106,604	4.7	155,969	4.5	
20 or More Units	181	2.2	429,026	19.0	513,327	14.7	
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	18,182	0.8	29,598	0.8	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook	Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	867	10.6	458,099	20.3	566,228	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	3,188	39.1	696,022	30.9	973,190	27.9	
3 Bedrooms	2,803	34.4	715,259	31.7	1,156,700	33.2	
4 Bedrooms	1,094	13.4	284,956	12.6	612,171	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	192	2.4	99,600	4.4	179,473	5.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.3		5.2		6.0		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2017-2021

	Pa	los Hills	Cook	County	CMAP F	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	307	3.8	239,893	10.6	509,505	14.6
Built 1970 to 1999	5,836	71.7	589,387	26.1	1,189,334	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	1,917	23.5	783,686	34.8	1,048,502	30.1
Built Before 1940	84	1.0	640,970	28.4	740,421	21.2
Median Year Built	1976		1960		1969	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Universe: Housing units



## **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Palos Hills.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	Pa	Palos Hills		Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	445	5.8	357,375	17.5	405,467	12.6	
1 Vehicle Available	2,949	38.2	832,535	40.7	1,152,274	35.8	
2 Vehicles Available	2,760	35.7	606,249	29.7	1,119,802	34.8	
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,573	20.4	248,499	12.2	543,208	16.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Palos Hills		Cook C	Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	575	7.0	287,499	11.3	486,188	11.5	
Drive Alone	6,665	81.1	1,492,291	58.5	2,743,345	64.9	
Carpool	490	6.0	197,933	7.8	321,231	7.6	
Transit	292	3.6	404,067	15.8	465,784	11.0	
Walk or Bike	140	1.7	126,305	5.0	151,257	3.6	
Other	57	0.7	42,425	1.7	62,008	1.5	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	7,644	93.0	2,263,021	88.7	3,743,625	88.5	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	33.0		32.7		31.7		
Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey	five year estimates			L le	vivorso: Workors 16 vo	are and older	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time. Universe: Workers 16 years and older

### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2021

	Palos Hills	Cook County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	17,186	13,234	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

\*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Palos Hills	Cook County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	69.6%	79.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	30.4%	12.2%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	8.5%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

\*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

## **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Palos Hills.

### Employment Status, 2017-2021

	Pal	os Hills	Cook Co	Cook County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	9,002	58.9	2,809,310	66.2	4,614,158	67.3	
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	8,565	95.1	2,603,767	92.7	4,306,443	93.3	
Unemployed*	437	4.9	203,970	7.3	295,199	6.4	
Not in Labor Force	6,285	41.1	1,434,949	33.8	2,237,246	32.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.  $^\dagger\textsc{Does}$  not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

### Private Sector Employment\*, 2022

	Palos Hills		Cook	County	6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	N/A	N/A	2,192,974	N/A	3,497,215	N/A
Job Change, 2012-22	N/A	N/A	119,416	5.8	235,962	7.2
Job Change, 2002-22	N/A	N/A	-23,984	-1.1	138,855	4.1
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	N/A		1.07		1.09	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2022).

\*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

\*\*Data is not available for Kendall County.

\*\*\*Based on households from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### **Employment of Palos Hills Residents\*, 2019**

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	1,054	13.6
2. Retail Trade	850	11.0
3. Education	715	9.2
4. Manufacturing	692	8.9
5. Professional	638	8.2
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	1,997	25.8
2. Palos Hills	381	4.9
3. Bridgeview	225	2.9
4. Oak Lawn	198	2.6
5. Bedford Park	162	2.1

#### **Employment in Palos Hills\*, 2019**

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Education	1,513	33.2
2. Professional	732	16.0
3. Health Care	647	14.2
4. Accommodation and Food Service	289	6.3
5. Transportation	254	5.6
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	643	14.1
2. Palos Hills	381	8.3
3. Orland Park	260	5.7
4. Oak Lawn	223	4.9
5. Tinley Park	151	3.3
(2019)		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

\*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



### Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Palos Hills.

### **General Land Use, 2018**

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	993.4	36.2
Multi-Family Residential	283.7	10.3
Commercial	86.3	3.1
Industrial	29.8	1.1
Institutional	419.6	15.3
Mixed Use	7.7	0.3
Transportation and Other	489.5	17.8
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	217.8	7.9
Vacant	218.0	7.9
TOTAL	2,745.7	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

### Park Access, 2015

	Palos Hills	Cook County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.09	3.57	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

\*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

#### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Palos Hills	Cook County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	67.8%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	36.5%	19.0%	24.8%
Low Walkability	63.5%	13.3%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

\*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance;

population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



### **Tax Base**

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Palos Hills.

### **General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2022**

	Palos Hills	Cook County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$105,780,390	\$74,353,221,785	\$137,918,287,566
Total Retail Sales	\$129,602,321	\$92,978,637,153	\$168,382,810,939
Total Sales per Capita*	\$7,052	\$17,658	\$19,647

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2022.

\*Per capita calculations based on population from 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Equalized Assessed Value, 2021

Residential	\$294,315,722
Commercial	\$62,871,814
Industrial	\$6,469,961
Railroad	\$0
Farm	\$233,904
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$363,891,401

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.



## **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS. Historic data may not be available for municipalities that were incorporated after 2000.

### **Race and Ethnicity, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	84.3	85.2	80.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	4.8	6.2	9.5
Black (Non-Hispanic)	5.4	4.5	5.9
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	2.6	3.9	2.5
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	2.8	0.2	2.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	21.3	20.2	21.0
20 to 34	20.3	17.8	13.5
35 to 49	21.1	20.2	20.4
50 to 64	20.3	23.2	21.7
65 and Over	17.1	18.6	23.4
Median Age	41.5	43.6	46.7

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	14.8	12.2	11.9
High School Diploma or Equivalent	31.8	29.1	30.1
Some College, No Degree	22.9	26.4	20.8
Associate's Degree	6.4	10.3	11.3
Bachelor's Degree	16.1	14.2	16.1
Graduate or Professional Degree	8.1	7.8	9.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Population 25 years and older \*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



### Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Native	72.3	74.5
Foreign Born	27.7	25.5
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
English Only	59.4	60.5
Spanish	5.0	5.5
Slavic Languages	10.3	16.3
Chinese	0.2	0.0
Tagalog	0.3	0.6
Arabic	10.9	8.0
Korean	0.0	1.9
Other Asian Languages	1.0	0.2
Other Indo-European Languages	12.9	7.0
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.1
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	40.6	39.5
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	17.9	16.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



### Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	35.5	36.0
2-Person Household	29.4	32.5
3-Person Household	15.6	12.9
4-or-More-Person Household	19.5	18.7
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	61.4	59.3
Single Parent with Child	6.4	5.0
Non-Family	38.6	40.7
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$66,137	\$72,570
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	97.7	95.1	94.9
Owner-Occupied*	77.7	81.1	78.1
Renter-Occupied*	22.3	18.9	21.9
Vacant Housing Units	2.3	4.9	5.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units



### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	12.4	9.9
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.1
20 to 29 Percent	0.0	0.7
30 Percent or More	12.4	9.0
\$20,000 to \$49,999	31.4	25.3
Less than 20 Percent	5.2	4.3
20 to 29 Percent	7.8	4.6
30 Percent or More	18.4	16.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	19.7	16.4
Less than 20 Percent	7.5	5.4
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	5.6
30 Percent or More	5.7	5.4
\$75,000 or More	36.0	48.4
Less than 20 Percent	23.4	34.8
20 to 29 Percent	9.4	12.9
30 Percent or More	3.2	0.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



### Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	43.4	42.5
Single Family, Attached	12.2	11.7
2 Units	1.0	0.7
3 or 4 Units	11.0	12.6
5 or More Units	32.1	32.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0.4	0.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc. Universe: Housing units

### Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	7.4	10.6
2 Bedrooms	43.2	39.1
3 Bedrooms	35.7	34.4
4 Bedrooms	10.8	13.4
5 or More Bedrooms	2.9	2.4
Median Number of Rooms*	5.4	5.3

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	3.3	3.8
Built 1970 to 1999	73.7	71.7
Built 1940 to 1969	20.7	23.5
Built Before 1940	2.3	1.0
Median Year Built	1976	1976

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units



### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3.8	5.8
1 Vehicle Available	47.1	38.2
2 Vehicles Available	28.9	35.7
3 or More Vehicles Available	20.2	20.4
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	2.3	7.0
Drive Alone	83.7	81.1
Carpool	6.9	6.0
Transit	5.9	3.6
Walk or Bike	1.2	1.7
Other	0.1	0.7
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.7	93.0
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	32.9	33.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. \*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

#### Universe: Workers 16 years and older

### **Employment Status, Over Time**

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	63.9	58.9
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	89.1	95.1
Unemployed*	10.9	4.9
Not in Labor Force	36.1	41.1

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. <sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

## Community Data Snapshot | Palos Hills | Water Supply



## Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains more information about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

### Water Source and Demand Trends of Palos Hills\*

Primary Water Source: Lake Michigan\*\*

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	1.92	1.44	-24.9
Residential Sector	1.29	1.00	-22.5
Non-Residential Sector	0.63	0.44	-30.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

\*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

\*\*The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

\*\*\*Millions of gallons per day.

\*\*\*\*Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

### Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

		Palos Hills			C	CMAP Region
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	73.8	56.9	-22.8	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

\*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

\*\*Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

### **Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends\***

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014 (2021 Dollars)	2020 (2021 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$50.59	\$54.70	8.1	1.3
Sewer	\$13.16	\$12.04	-8.5	-1.5
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

\*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

\*\*Monthly water bills are calculated as: monthly base charge + ((5,000 gallons – water provision included in base charge) × \$/1,000 gallons). Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

\*\*\*Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.



### Water Loss\*

Reporting utility: Palos Hills

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	0.18
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	\$256,957
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	13.0

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

\*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

\*\*Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

\*\*\*The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.



## **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Palos Hills	CMAP Region		
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	37.2%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	79.0%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	18.5%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.15	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	56.9	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$267.49	\$379.91*	N/A**	CMAP, 2021
	ls per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	No	Yes for 79% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2021
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	69.1%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	70.6%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	18.2%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021

\*Median value of CMAP region's 284 municipalities.

\*\*ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row.