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About the Community Data Snapshots
The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! Please take a quick survey to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes
Definitions
For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error
The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values
Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values
The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties
County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality’s population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region
Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Wood Dale, which does not extend beyond the CMAP region.
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Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Wood Dale.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>14,012</td>
<td>932,877</td>
<td>8,577,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>5,402</td>
<td>348,216</td>
<td>3,266,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Household Size</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Population Change, 2010-20</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Population Change, 2000-20</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>8,565</td>
<td>612,736</td>
<td>4,276,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</td>
<td>3,813</td>
<td>132,736</td>
<td>1,952,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>44,091</td>
<td>1,391,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>112,225</td>
<td>620,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>24,217</td>
<td>209,283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>54,462</td>
<td>508,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 19</td>
<td>2,443</td>
<td>178,372</td>
<td>1,624,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 34</td>
<td>2,869</td>
<td>173,998</td>
<td>1,781,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 49</td>
<td>2,736</td>
<td>181,429</td>
<td>1,688,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 64</td>
<td>2,728</td>
<td>193,720</td>
<td>1,625,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>85,414</td>
<td>713,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>40,886</td>
<td>348,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 and Over</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>17,724</td>
<td>160,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Total population
## Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than High School Diploma</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>42,826</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>635,735</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Diploma or Equivalent</td>
<td>3,332</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>115,694</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1,291,465</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, No Degree</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>111,707</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1,092,322</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate's Degree</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>46,012</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>407,241</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree</td>
<td>1,807</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>194,631</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>1,412,295</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or Professional Degree</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>125,421</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>934,423</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

## Nativity, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>10,066</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>746,613</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>6,847,148</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Born</td>
<td>3,717</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>179,392</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>1,604,390</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Total population

## Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Only</td>
<td>7,252</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>628,126</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>5,459,244</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>2,503</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>91,243</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1,449,991</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavic Languages</td>
<td>2,124</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>31,557</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>278,826</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11,070</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>90,225</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9,566</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>71,472</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7,208</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>62,859</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>35,656</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian Languages</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>21,327</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>110,907</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>65,089</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>326,948</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unspecified Languages</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3,092</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>56,515</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</td>
<td>5,655</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>243,417</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>2,483,399</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English Less than “Very Well”**</td>
<td>2,164</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>77,310</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>925,362</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. **For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English “very well,” “well,” “not well,” or “not at all.”
## Household Size, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale Count</th>
<th>Wood Dale Percent</th>
<th>DuPage County Count</th>
<th>DuPage County Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Person Household</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>85,713</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>921,119</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Person Household</td>
<td>1,791</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>113,667</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>975,488</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Person Household</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>56,336</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>490,784</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-or-More-Person Household</td>
<td>1,501</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>88,598</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>765,988</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units.

## Household Type, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale Count</th>
<th>Wood Dale Percent</th>
<th>DuPage County Count</th>
<th>DuPage County Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>3,684</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>240,761</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>2,029,180</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent with Child</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>20,366</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>252,992</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Family</td>
<td>1,451</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>103,553</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>1,124,199</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units.

## Household Income, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale Count</th>
<th>Wood Dale Percent</th>
<th>DuPage County Count</th>
<th>DuPage County Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $25,000</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>34,180</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>506,150</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $49,999</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>49,713</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>548,808</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $74,999</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>51,903</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>495,919</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 to $99,999</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>44,631</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>401,768</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 to $149,999</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>70,348</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>556,161</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 and Over</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>93,539</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>644,573</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Income</td>
<td>$75,521</td>
<td></td>
<td>$94,930</td>
<td></td>
<td>$76,606</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Capita Income*</td>
<td>$36,563</td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,501</td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units. *Universe: Total population

## Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale Count</th>
<th>Wood Dale Percent</th>
<th>DuPage County Count</th>
<th>DuPage County Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or More Computing Devices</td>
<td>4,705</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>326,390</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>2,923,718</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone(s) Only</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>14,099</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>226,403</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Computing Devices</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>17,924</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>229,661</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access</td>
<td>4,698</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>323,610</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>2,832,841</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadband Subscription</td>
<td>4,562</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>317,344</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>2,750,632</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Internet Access</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>20,704</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>320,538</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units.
### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupied Housing Units</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied*</td>
<td>5,135</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>344,314</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>3,153,379</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter-Occupied*</td>
<td>3,936</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>251,301</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>2,024,218</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vacant Housing Units</strong></td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>93,013</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>1,129,161</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,135</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>344,314</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>3,153,379</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Universe: Occupied housing units

### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less than $20,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>20,985</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>329,533</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8,809</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>19,684</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>296,790</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$20,000 to $49,999</strong></td>
<td>869</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>57,997</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>658,312</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5,337</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>76,378</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10,678</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>137,095</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>41,982</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>444,839</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$50,000 to $74,999</strong></td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>51,542</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>490,893</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>13,574</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>150,576</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>18,501</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>174,242</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>19,467</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>166,075</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$75,000 or More</strong></td>
<td>2,586</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>207,670</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>1,594,882</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>140,571</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>1,074,238</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>49,965</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>392,538</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>17,134</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>128,106</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

### Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median-Income Family**</th>
<th>Moderate-Income Family***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Costs</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Costs</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL H+T COSTS</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**“Median-income family” assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***“Moderate-income family” assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.
Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Wood Dale.

### Housing Type, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Detached</td>
<td>2,840</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>213,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Attached</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>42,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Units</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 4 Units</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9 Units</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>24,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 19 Units</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>22,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or More Units</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>41,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home/Other*</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

### Housing Size, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Size</th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1 Bedroom</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>46,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bedrooms</td>
<td>1,816</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>86,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bedrooms</td>
<td>2,383</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>118,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bedrooms</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>87,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More Bedrooms</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>22,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Number of Rooms*</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Age</th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 2000 or Later</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>40,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1970 to 1999</td>
<td>2,583</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>205,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1940 to 1969</td>
<td>2,094</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>94,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Before 1940</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Year Built</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Wood Dale.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Vehicle Available</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13,891</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>399,153</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Vehicle Available</td>
<td>1,607</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>105,842</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>1,116,123</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vehicles Available</td>
<td>2,196</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>152,180</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>1,103,633</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or More Vehicles Available</td>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>72,401</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>534,470</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work at Home*</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>49,518</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>342,653</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive Alone</td>
<td>5,959</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>353,652</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>2,785,976</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>30,942</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>321,528</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>33,170</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>517,952</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk or Bike</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8,923</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>153,729</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4,191</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>57,069</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMMUTERS</td>
<td>6,765</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>430,878</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>3,836,254</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Workers 16 years and older
*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year</td>
<td>22,037</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,878</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.
*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th></th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th></th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Transit Availability</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Transit Availability</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Transit Availability</td>
<td>60.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.
*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.
**Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Wood Dale.

**Employment Status, 2016-2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>7,673</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>512,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed†</td>
<td>7,328</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>489,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed*</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>22,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in Labor Force</td>
<td>3,543</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>228,641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

**Private Sector Employment*, 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>6-County Region**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector Employment</td>
<td>11,957</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>526,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Change, 2011-21</td>
<td>-1,550</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>25,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Change, 2001-21</td>
<td>-7,180</td>
<td>-37.5</td>
<td>-6,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector Jobs per Household***</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


†Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Employment of Wood Dale Residents*, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Manufacturing</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Retail Trade</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Health Care</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Administration</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chicago</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wood Dale</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Elk Grove Village</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Bensenville</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Addison</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

**Employment in Wood Dale*, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>3,555</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Manufacturing</td>
<td>2,366</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Administration</td>
<td>1,803</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Transportation</td>
<td>1,701</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Health Care</td>
<td>1,252</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chicago</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wood Dale</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Schaumburg</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Elk Grove Village</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Addison</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.
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Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Wood Dale.

General Land Use, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family Residential</td>
<td>966.1</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>573.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Other</td>
<td>691.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>454.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>3,098.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Walkability</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Walkability</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Walkability</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.
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**Tax Base**

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Wood Dale.

### General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>DuPage County</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Merchandise</td>
<td>$227,082,356</td>
<td>$16,450,826,012</td>
<td>$93,569,186,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Retail Sales</td>
<td>$371,272,814</td>
<td>$20,167,167,765</td>
<td>$122,031,374,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sales per Capita*</td>
<td>$26,937</td>
<td>$21,779</td>
<td>$14,439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Per capita calculations based on population from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Equalized Assessed Value, 2020

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>$369,811,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>$49,475,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>$194,488,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$613,775,044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community Data Snapshot | Wood Dale | Time Series

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Age Cohorts, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 and Under</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 34</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 49</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 64</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and Over</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Educational Attainment*, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than High School Diploma</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Diploma or Equivalent</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, No Degree</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate's Degree</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or Professional Degree</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.
### Nativity, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010* Percent</th>
<th>2016-2020 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Born</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Universe: Population 5 years and older

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Type</th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2016-2020 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English Only</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavic Languages</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian Languages</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unspecified Languages</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Speak English Less than “Very Well”*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010* Percent</th>
<th>2016-2020 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Universe: Population 5 years and older

For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English “very well,” “well,” “not well,” or “not at all.”
### Household Size, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Person Household</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Person Household</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Person Household</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-or-More-Person Household</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Type, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent with Child</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Family</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units

### Household Income, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Income</td>
<td>$74,789</td>
<td>$75,521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units

### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Housing Units</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied*</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter-Occupied*</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Housing Units</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less than $20,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$20,000 to $49,999</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$50,000 to $74,999</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$75,000 or More</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units
**Community Data Snapshot | Wood Dale | Time Series**

### Housing Type, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2016-2020 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Detached</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Attached</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Units</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 4 Units</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More Units</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home/Other*</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Housing units

“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

### Housing Size, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2016-2020 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1 Bedroom</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bedrooms</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bedrooms</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bedrooms</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More Bedrooms</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Number of Rooms*</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2016-2020 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built 2000 or Later</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1970 to 1999</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1940 to 1969</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Before 1940</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Year Built</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Housing units
### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Vehicle Available</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Vehicle Available</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vehicles Available</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or More Vehicles Available</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work at Home*</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive Alone</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk or Bike</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMMUTERS</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Not included in total commuters or mean commute time. Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

### Employment Status, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed*</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed*</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in Labor Force</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community Data Snapshot | Wood Dale | Water Supply

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains more information about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Wood Dale*

Primary Water Source: Lake Michigan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2003 MGD***</th>
<th>2013 MGD***</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Water Withdrawals****</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Sector</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Residential Sector</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.
**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.
***Millions of gallons per day.
****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wood Dale</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Percent Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential* (GPCD**)</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.
**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**</th>
<th>2014 (2021 Dollars)</th>
<th>2020 (2021 Dollars)</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Annualized Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinking Water</td>
<td>$49.63</td>
<td>$62.52</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td>$41.39</td>
<td>$66.13</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined***</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).
**Monthly water bills are calculated as: monthly base charge + ((5,000 gallons - water provision included in base charge) × $/1,000 gallons). Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.
***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.
**Water Loss**

**Reporting utility:** Wood Dale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)</strong>**</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water</strong></td>
<td>$141,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied</strong>*</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.
ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Chapter</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Wood Dale Current</th>
<th>CMAP Region Current</th>
<th>2050 Target+</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Population located in highly walkable areas</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jobs located in highly walkable areas</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosperity</td>
<td>Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td>ACS, 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>ACS, 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impervious acres per household</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>USGS NLCD, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>ISWS IWIP, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>State revenue disbursement per capita</td>
<td>$474.62</td>
<td>$324.17*</td>
<td>N/A**</td>
<td>CMAP, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes for 78% of municipalities</td>
<td>Yes for 100% of municipalities</td>
<td>CMAP, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Population with at least moderately high transit availability</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>ACS, 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

**ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row. ***(Some indicator targets have been modified as part of the ON TO 2050 Plan Update process. Formal adoption of updated targets is expected in October 2022.))