




Chicago Lawn

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

June 2021 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Chicago Lawn.

General Population Characteristics, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	51,352	2,709,534	8,483,267
Total Households	16,368	1,066,829	3,123,330
Average Household Size	3.1	2.5	2.7
Percent Population Change, 2010-19	-7.7	0.5	0.6
Percent Population Change, 2000-19	-16.4	-6.4	4.1

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	1,525	3.0	901,769	33.3	4,331,282	51.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	26,481	51.6	780,167	28.8	1,952,500	23.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	22,177	43.2	790,893	29.2	1,406,500	16.6
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	265	0.5	177,195	6.5	610,365	7.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	904	1.8	59,510	2.2	182,620	2.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	4,343	8.5	171,323	6.3	518,065	6.1
5 to 19	11,320	22.0	462,093	17.1	1,644,152	19.4
20 to 34	12,190	23.7	739,281	27.3	1,794,152	21.1
35 to 49	9,481	18.5	546,045	20.2	1,701,494	20.1
50 to 64	7,692	15.0	453,823	16.7	1,635,766	19.3
65 to 74	3,911	7.6	195,049	7.2	691,947	8.2
75 to 84	1,946	3.8	100,949	3.7	346,833	4.1
85 and Over	469	0.9	40,971	1.5	150,858	1.8
Median Age	32.1		34.6		37.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	8,208	25.9	278,926	14.9	663,242	11.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	12,955	40.9	422,420	22.5	1,314,011	22.8
Some College, No Degree	6,138	19.4	324,789	17.3	1,100,596	19.1
Associate's Degree	1,740	5.5	108,645	5.8	404,417	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	1,886	6.0	435,959	23.3	1,377,160	23.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	749	2.4	304,281	16.2	906,665	15.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	39,578	77.1	2,150,295	79.4	6,857,014	80.8
Foreign Born	11,774	22.9	559,239	20.6	1,626,253	19.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	24,587	52.3	1,623,906	64.0	5,462,068	68.6
Spanish	21,836	46.5	612,318	24.1	1,469,511	18.4
Slavic Languages	16	0.0	74,198	2.9	275,630	3.5
Chinese	60	0.1	47,994	1.9	90,487	1.1
Tagalog	131	0.3	20,637	0.8	73,562	0.9
Arabic	52	0.1	18,142	0.7	61,851	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,147	0.3	36,522	0.5
Other Asian Languages	12	0.0	26,878	1.1	109,161	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	261	0.6	80,513	3.2	329,735	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	54	0.1	25,478	1.0	56,675	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	22,422	47.7	914,305	36.0	2,503,134	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	9,122	19.4	371,929	14.7	946,875	11.9

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	4,960	30.3	396,359	37.2	907,247	29.0
2-Person Household	3,754	22.9	317,167	29.7	962,910	30.8
3-Person Household	2,403	14.7	147,469	13.8	487,229	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	5,251	32.1	205,834	19.3	765,944	24.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	10,917	66.7	566,804	53.1	2,020,927	64.7
Single Parent with Child	2,386	14.6	97,357	9.1	255,505	8.2
Non-Family	5,451	33.3	500,025	46.9	1,102,403	35.3

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	6,013	36.7	259,714	24.3	529,858	17.0
\$25,000 to \$49,999	4,945	30.2	212,433	19.9	567,834	18.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,552	15.6	160,900	15.1	490,586	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,117	6.8	119,199	11.2	395,676	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,219	7.4	146,765	13.8	533,771	17.1
\$150,000 and Over	522	3.2	167,818	15.7	605,605	19.4
Median Income	\$34,273		\$58,247		\$73,572	
Per Capita Income*	\$16,632		\$37,103		\$39,058	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	13,139	80.3	938,271	87.9	2,844,477	91.1
Smartphone(s) Only	2,008	12.3	86,702	8.1	189,503	6.1
No Computing Devices	3,229	19.7	128,558	12.1	278,853	8.9
Internet Access	12,490	76.3	891,212	83.5	2,741,960	87.8
Broadband Subscription	11,298	69.0	840,676	78.8	2,640,864	84.6
No Internet Access	3,878	23.7	175,617	16.5	381,370	12.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	16,368	86.0	1,066,829	87.8	3,123,330	91.6
Owner-Occupied*	7,080	43.3	480,001	45.0	1,996,297	63.9
Renter-Occupied*	9,288	56.7	586,828	55.0	1,127,033	36.1
Vacant Housing Units	2,660	14.0	147,621	12.2	286,760	8.4

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	3,595	22.5	174,171	16.9	346,898	11.4
Less than 20 Percent	116	0.7	5,287	0.5	8,867	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	285	1.8	15,140	1.5	25,618	0.8
30 Percent or More	3,194	20.0	153,744	15.0	312,413	10.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	6,973	43.7	264,323	25.7	684,002	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	783	4.9	29,670	2.9	77,326	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	1,468	9.2	57,150	5.6	145,913	4.8
30 Percent or More	4,722	29.6	177,503	17.3	460,763	15.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,540	15.9	159,009	15.5	485,439	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	998	6.3	48,662	4.7	151,167	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	956	6.0	58,869	5.7	173,246	5.7
30 Percent or More	586	3.7	51,478	5.0	161,026	5.3
\$75,000 or More	2,843	17.8	430,779	41.9	1,527,241	50.2
Less than 20 Percent	2,248	14.1	289,651	28.2	1,022,835	33.6
20 to 29 Percent	555	3.5	107,968	10.5	382,768	12.6
30 Percent or More	40	0.3	33,160	3.2	121,638	4.0

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Chicago Lawn.

Housing Type, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	7,775	40.9	314,710	25.9	1,710,011	50.1
Single Family, Attached	156	0.8	42,675	3.5	254,103	7.5
2 Units	4,620	24.3	174,799	14.4	237,977	7.0
3 or 4 Units	3,680	19.3	181,073	14.9	268,945	7.9
5 to 9 Units	1,484	7.8	142,713	11.8	270,643	7.9
10 to 19 Units	598	3.1	51,221	4.2	151,076	4.4
20 or More Units	658	3.5	304,535	25.1	490,331	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	57	0.3	2,724	0.2	27,004	0.8

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	3,014	15.8	321,097	26.4	548,002	16.1
2 Bedrooms	7,029	36.9	419,689	34.6	965,749	28.3
3 Bedrooms	5,954	31.3	325,679	26.8	1,133,210	33.2
4 Bedrooms	1,862	9.8	104,976	8.6	597,921	17.5
5 or More Bedrooms	1,169	6.1	43,009	3.5	165,208	4.8
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	373	2.0	128,973	10.6	463,304	13.6
Built 1970 to 1999	2,063	10.8	201,958	16.6	1,161,616	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	10,445	54.9	376,000	31.0	1,044,859	30.6
Built Before 1940	6,147	32.3	507,519	41.8	740,311	21.7
Median Year Built	1952		1949		1968	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Chicago Lawn.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	4,477	27.4	287,072	26.9	399,783	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	6,083	37.2	472,057	44.2	1,111,243	35.6
2 Vehicles Available	3,867	23.6	232,613	21.8	1,098,207	35.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,941	11.9	75,087	7.0	514,097	16.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	531	2.8	68,671	5.2	226,183	5.4
Drive Alone	11,033	59.2	648,851	48.8	2,865,893	68.4
Carpool	2,712	14.5	101,703	7.7	323,457	7.7
Transit	3,634	19.5	374,906	28.2	557,002	13.3
Walk or Bike	495	2.7	109,443	8.2	164,065	3.9
Other	241	1.3	24,865	1.9	53,525	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	18,115	97.2	1,259,768	94.8	3,963,942	94.6
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	38.7		35.1		32.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	12,079	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Chicago Lawn.

Employment Status, 2015-2019

	Chicago Lawn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	24,108	62.1	1,474,402	66.9	4,546,758	67.3
Employed†*	19,469	80.8	1,354,831	91.9	4,260,595	93.7
Unemployed*	4,628	19.2	118,802	8.1	274,246	6.0
Not in Labor Force	14,734	37.9	728,329	33.1	2,213,132	32.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Employment of Chicago Lawn Residents*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,220	13.2
2. Administration	2,101	12.5
3. Manufacturing	1,953	11.6
4. Accommodation and Food Service	1,827	10.8
5. Retail Trade	1,815	10.8
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	7,413	44.0
2. The Loop	2,375	14.1
3. Near North Side	995	5.9
4. Near West Side	747	4.4
5. West Lawn	359	2.1

Employment in Chicago Lawn*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	1,426	33.5
2. Retail Trade	1,426	33.5
3. Accommodation and Food Service	360	8.5
4. Other Service	220	5.2
5. Administration	151	3.5
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,136	50.2
2. Chicago Lawn	251	5.9
3. Ashburn	119	2.8
4. West Lawn	116	2.7
5. Auburn Gresham	95	2.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2018).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Chicago Lawn.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	671.5	29.8
Multi-Family Residential	255.7	11.3
Commercial	105.1	4.7
Industrial	57.5	2.5
Institutional	65.5	2.9
Mixed Use	33.5	1.5
Transportation and Other	706.5	31.3
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	308.4	13.7
Vacant	52.4	2.3
TOTAL	2,256.2	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.48	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2015

	Chicago Lawn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	94.1%	94.6%	40.5%
Moderate Walkability	5.7%	3.5%	23.8%
Low Walkability	0.2%	1.9%	35.8%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	10.1	4.7	3.0
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	35.1	38.5	51.6
Black (Non-Hispanic)	52.5	55.5	43.2
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.6	0.5	0.5
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.7	0.9	1.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
19 and Under	37.2	30.5
20 to 34	22.7	23.7
35 to 49	19.5	18.5
50 to 64	14.1	15.0
65 and Over	6.5	12.3
Median Age	28.2	32.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	31.6	25.9
High School Diploma or Equivalent	32.0	40.9
Some College, No Degree	21.5	19.4
Associate's Degree	5.4	5.5
Bachelor's Degree	7.2	6.0
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.4	2.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
Native	77.7	77.1
Foreign Born	22.3	22.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population
*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
English Only	63.0	52.3
Spanish	35.2	46.5
Slavic Languages	0.1	0.0
Chinese	0.1	0.1
Tagalog	0.2	0.3
Arabic	0.6	0.1
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.6	0.6
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.3	0.1
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	37.0	47.7
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	17.7	19.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
1-Person Household	22.6	30.3
2-Person Household	21.0	22.9
3-Person Household	17.3	14.7
4-or-More-Person Household	39.1	32.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Family	74.1	66.7
Single Parent with Child	20.1	14.6
Non-Family	25.9	33.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2019 Dollars)	2015-2019 (2019 Dollars)
Median Income	\$44,485	\$34,273

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	82.3	86.0
Owner-Occupied*	47.3	43.3
Renter-Occupied*	52.7	56.7
Vacant Housing Units	17.7	14.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than \$20,000	24.0	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	0.3	0.7
20 to 29 Percent	0.3	1.8
30 Percent or More	23.4	20.0
\$20,000 to \$49,999	36.6	43.7
Less than 20 Percent	4.3	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	7.6	9.2
30 Percent or More	24.6	29.6
\$50,000 to \$74,999	16.7	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	7.8	6.3
20 to 29 Percent	2.9	6.0
30 Percent or More	5.9	3.7
\$75,000 or More	19.5	17.8
Less than 20 Percent	12.3	14.1
20 to 29 Percent	5.6	3.5
30 Percent or More	1.7	0.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Single Family, Detached	41.6	40.9
Single Family, Attached	1.9	0.8
2 Units	22.7	24.3
3 or 4 Units	15.5	19.3
5 or More Units	18.1	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	18.3	15.8
2 Bedrooms	33.5	36.9
3 Bedrooms	31.0	31.3
4 Bedrooms	10.2	9.8
5 or More Bedrooms	6.9	6.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.8	5.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2.5	2.0
Built 1970 to 1999	11.2	10.8
Built 1940 to 1969	54.4	54.9
Built Before 1940	32.0	32.3
Median Year Built	N/A	1952

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
No Vehicle Available	24.7	27.4
1 Vehicle Available	41.5	37.2
2 Vehicles Available	24.2	23.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	9.6	11.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Work at Home*	3.0	2.8
Drive Alone	57.2	59.2
Carpool	13.4	14.5
Transit	24.1	19.5
Walk or Bike	2.1	2.7
Other	0.2	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.0	97.2
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	38.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
In Labor Force	61.2	62.1
Employed ^{†*}	88.1	80.8
Unemployed*	11.9	19.2
Not in Labor Force	38.8	37.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Chicago Lawn	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	93.9%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	96.1%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher	13.8%	46.6%	64.9%	ACS, 2015-2019
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	76.0%	80.8%	83.4%	ACS, 2015-2019
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.09	0.18	0.15	USGS NLCD, 2016
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	39.5%	30.3%	37.3%	ACS, 2015-2019