




Fuller Park

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

August 2021 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick [survey](#)** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Fuller Park.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Fuller Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	2,567	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	1,140	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.2	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-10.7	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-24.9	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	87	3.6	901,769	33.3	4,331,282	51.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	133	5.6	780,167	28.8	1,952,500	23.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	2,162	90.3	790,893	29.2	1,406,500	16.6
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	7	0.3	177,195	6.5	610,365	7.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	6	0.3	59,510	2.2	182,620	2.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	120	5.0	171,323	6.3	518,065	6.1
5 to 19	356	14.9	462,093	17.1	1,644,152	19.4
20 to 34	428	17.9	739,281	27.3	1,794,152	21.1
35 to 49	320	13.3	546,045	20.2	1,701,494	20.1
50 to 64	539	22.5	453,823	16.7	1,635,766	19.3
65 to 74	470	19.6	195,049	7.2	691,947	8.2
75 to 84	129	5.4	100,949	3.7	346,833	4.1
85 and Over	34	1.4	40,971	1.5	150,858	1.8
Median Age	48.5		34.6		37.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	373	21.4	278,926	14.9	663,242	11.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	736	42.2	422,420	22.5	1,314,011	22.8
Some College, No Degree	292	16.8	324,789	17.3	1,100,596	19.1
Associate's Degree	171	9.8	108,645	5.8	404,417	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	114	6.5	435,959	23.3	1,377,160	23.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	57	3.3	304,281	16.2	906,665	15.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	2,280	95.2	2,150,295	79.4	6,857,014	80.8
Foreign Born	119	5.0	559,239	20.6	1,626,253	19.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	2,107	92.6	1,623,906	64.0	5,462,068	68.6
Spanish	150	6.6	612,318	24.1	1,469,511	18.4
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	74,198	2.9	275,630	3.5
Chinese	2	0.1	47,994	1.9	90,487	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	20,637	0.8	73,562	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	18,142	0.7	61,851	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,147	0.3	36,522	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	26,878	1.1	109,161	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	15	0.7	80,513	3.2	329,735	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	25,478	1.0	56,675	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	168	7.4	914,305	36.0	2,503,134	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	65	2.8	371,929	14.7	946,875	11.9

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	617	53.6	396,359	37.2	907,247	29.0
2-Person Household	291	25.3	317,167	29.7	962,910	30.8
3-Person Household	113	9.8	147,469	13.8	487,229	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	130	11.3	205,834	19.3	765,944	24.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	490	42.6	566,804	53.1	2,020,927	64.7
Single Parent with Child	86	7.5	97,357	9.1	255,505	8.2
Non-Family	661	57.4	500,025	46.9	1,102,403	35.3

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	637	55.3	259,714	24.3	529,858	17.0
\$25,000 to \$49,999	294	25.6	212,433	19.9	567,834	18.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	123	10.7	160,900	15.1	490,586	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	22	1.9	119,199	11.2	395,676	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	69	6.0	146,765	13.8	533,771	17.1
\$150,000 and Over	5	0.4	167,818	15.7	605,605	19.4
Median Income	\$23,148		\$58,247		\$73,572	
Per Capita Income*	\$16,519		\$37,103		\$39,058	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	802	69.7	938,271	87.9	2,844,477	91.1
Smartphone(s) Only	191	16.6	86,702	8.1	189,503	6.1
No Computing Devices	349	30.3	128,558	12.1	278,853	8.9
Internet Access	756	65.7	891,212	83.5	2,741,960	87.8
Broadband Subscription	684	59.4	840,676	78.8	2,640,864	84.6
No Internet Access	395	34.3	175,617	16.5	381,370	12.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	1,151	73.2	1,066,829	87.8	3,123,330	91.6
Owner-Occupied*	271	23.6	480,001	45.0	1,996,297	63.9
Renter-Occupied*	884	76.8	586,828	55.0	1,127,033	36.1
Vacant Housing Units	418	26.6	147,621	12.2	286,760	8.4

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	425	38.4	174,171	16.9	346,898	11.4
Less than 20 Percent	27	2.4	5,287	0.5	8,867	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	106	9.6	15,140	1.5	25,618	0.8
30 Percent or More	292	26.4	153,744	15.0	312,413	10.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	462	41.8	264,323	25.7	684,002	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	55	4.9	29,670	2.9	77,326	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	124	11.2	57,150	5.6	145,913	4.8
30 Percent or More	284	25.7	177,503	17.3	460,763	15.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	123	11.1	159,009	15.5	485,439	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	45	4.1	48,662	4.7	151,167	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	32	2.9	58,869	5.7	173,246	5.7
30 Percent or More	45	4.1	51,478	5.0	161,026	5.3
\$75,000 or More	96	8.7	430,779	41.9	1,527,241	50.2
Less than 20 Percent	86	7.8	289,651	28.2	1,022,835	33.6
20 to 29 Percent	9	0.8	107,968	10.5	382,768	12.6
30 Percent or More	1	0.1	33,160	3.2	121,638	4.0

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Fuller Park.

Housing Type, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	255	16.2	314,710	25.9	1,710,011	50.1
Single Family, Attached	106	6.8	42,675	3.5	254,103	7.5
2 Units	529	33.6	174,799	14.4	237,977	7.0
3 or 4 Units	190	12.1	181,073	14.9	268,945	7.9
5 to 9 Units	14	0.9	142,713	11.8	270,643	7.9
10 to 19 Units	10	0.6	51,221	4.2	151,076	4.4
20 or More Units	462	29.4	304,535	25.1	490,331	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	7	0.4	2,724	0.2	27,004	0.8

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	509	32.3	321,097	26.4	548,002	16.1
2 Bedrooms	455	28.9	419,689	34.6	965,749	28.3
3 Bedrooms	465	29.6	325,679	26.8	1,133,210	33.2
4 Bedrooms	88	5.6	104,976	8.6	597,921	17.5
5 or More Bedrooms	57	3.6	43,009	3.5	165,208	4.8
Median Number of Rooms*	4.9		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	105	6.7	128,973	10.6	463,304	13.6
Built 1970 to 1999	247	15.7	201,958	16.6	1,161,616	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	558	35.5	376,000	31.0	1,044,859	30.6
Built Before 1940	662	42.1	507,519	41.8	740,311	21.7
Median Year Built	1952		1949		1968	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Fuller Park.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	680	59.1	287,072	26.9	399,783	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	320	27.8	472,057	44.2	1,111,243	35.6
2 Vehicles Available	120	10.4	232,613	21.8	1,098,207	35.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	30	2.6	75,087	7.0	514,097	16.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	3	0.4	68,671	5.2	226,183	5.4
Drive Alone	331	52.8	648,851	48.8	2,865,893	68.4
Carpool	49	7.9	101,703	7.7	323,457	7.7
Transit	218	34.9	374,906	28.2	557,002	13.3
Walk or Bike	24	3.9	109,443	8.2	164,065	3.9
Other	1	0.1	24,865	1.9	53,525	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	623	99.6	1,259,768	94.8	3,963,942	94.6
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	36.5		35.1		32.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Fuller Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	7,902	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Fuller Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Fuller Park.

Employment Status, 2015-2019

	Fuller Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	889	44.8	1,474,402	66.9	4,546,758	67.3
Employed†*	679	76.4	1,354,831	91.9	4,260,595	93.7
Unemployed*	210	23.6	118,802	8.1	274,246	6.0
Not in Labor Force	1,093	55.2	728,329	33.1	2,213,132	32.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Employment of Fuller Park Residents*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	154	18.0
2. Accommodation and Food Service	96	11.2
3. Retail Trade	94	11.0
4. Administration	93	10.9
5. Transportation	65	7.6
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	294	34.3
2. The Loop	138	16.1
3. Near North Side	87	10.2
4. Near West Side	68	7.9
5. New City	20	2.3

Employment in Fuller Park*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	433	37.4
2. Wholesale Trade	291	25.1
3. Health Care	164	14.2
4. Accommodation and Food Service	138	11.9
5. Retail Trade	78	6.7
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	517	44.6
2. Chicago Lawn	26	2.2
3. Austin	24	2.1
4. Auburn Gresham	23	2.0
5. West Englewood	21	1.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2018).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Fuller Park.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	27.5	6.0
Multi-Family Residential	27.1	5.9
Commercial	17.5	3.8
Industrial	35.8	7.8
Institutional	20.7	4.5
Mixed Use	1.3	0.3
Transportation and Other	262.8	57.5
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	11.3	2.5
Vacant	53.2	11.6
TOTAL	457.2	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Fuller Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	3.32	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2015

	Fuller Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	79.9%	94.6%	40.5%
Moderate Walkability	20.1%	3.5%	23.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.9%	35.8%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.5	3.2	3.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	3.4	2.2	5.6
Black (Non-Hispanic)	94.3	92.7	90.3
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.2	0.8	0.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.6	1.1	0.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
19 and Under	28.4	19.9
20 to 34	17.4	17.9
35 to 49	26.0	13.3
50 to 64	15.5	22.5
65 and Over	12.8	26.4
Median Age	38.1	48.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	33.3	21.4
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.2	42.2
Some College, No Degree	24.6	16.8
Associate's Degree	4.4	9.8
Bachelor's Degree	6.1	6.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.3	3.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
Native	96.8	95.2
Foreign Born	3.2	5.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
English Only	96.6	92.6
Spanish	0.9	6.6
Slavic Languages	0.1	0.0
Chinese	0.4	0.1
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.0	0.7
Other/Unspecified Languages	1.9	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	3.4	7.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	2.1	2.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
1-Person Household	32.1	53.6
2-Person Household	24.6	25.3
3-Person Household	25.6	9.8
4-or-More-Person Household	17.5	11.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Family	59.6	42.6
Single Parent with Child	21.4	7.5
Non-Family	40.3	57.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2019 Dollars)	2015-2019 (2019 Dollars)
Median Income	\$18,235	\$23,148

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	69.8	73.2
Owner-Occupied*	35.8	23.6
Renter-Occupied*	64.5	76.8
Vacant Housing Units	29.9	26.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	49.1	38.4
Less than 20 Percent	1.1	2.4
20 to 29 Percent	11.3	9.6
30 Percent or More	36.7	26.4
\$20,000 to \$49,999	32.5	41.8
Less than 20 Percent	4.1	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	7.2	11.2
30 Percent or More	21.2	25.7
\$50,000 to \$74,999	3.6	11.1
Less than 20 Percent	1.6	4.1
20 to 29 Percent	1.3	2.9
30 Percent or More	0.7	4.1
\$75,000 or More	4.7	8.7
Less than 20 Percent	3.7	7.8
20 to 29 Percent	0.8	0.8
30 Percent or More	0.2	0.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Single Family, Detached	21.6	16.2
Single Family, Attached	4.9	6.8
2 Units	29.0	33.6
3 or 4 Units	12.1	12.1
5 or More Units	27.9	30.9
Mobile Home/Other*	4.5	0.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	27.8	32.3
2 Bedrooms	24.2	28.9
3 Bedrooms	32.0	29.6
4 Bedrooms	7.9	5.6
5 or More Bedrooms	8.2	3.6
Median Number of Rooms*	5.4	4.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	4.2	6.7
Built 1970 to 1999	18.9	15.7
Built 1940 to 1969	42.9	35.5
Built Before 1940	34.1	42.1
Median Year Built	N/A	1952

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
No Vehicle Available	52.8	59.1
1 Vehicle Available	29.6	27.8
2 Vehicles Available	11.4	10.4
3 or More Vehicles Available	5.9	2.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Work at Home*	7.7	0.4
Drive Alone	33.0	52.8
Carpool	7.1	7.9
Transit	44.0	34.9
Walk or Bike	4.8	3.9
Other	3.1	0.1
TOTAL COMMUTERS	92.3	99.6
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	36.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
In Labor Force	50.0	44.8
Employed ^{†*}	60.8	76.4
Unemployed*	39.1	23.6
Not in Labor Force	50.0	55.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Fuller Park	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	74.6%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	93.6%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	19.3%	46.6%	64.9%	ACS, 2015-2019
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	64.8%	80.8%	83.4%	ACS, 2015-2019
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	39.4%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.28	0.18	0.15	USGS NLCD, 2016
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	47.1%	30.3%	37.3%	ACS, 2015-2019