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About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! Please take a quick survey to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year’s snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions
For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error
The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values
Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values
CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values
The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.
Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Pullman.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>6,820</td>
<td>2,746,388</td>
<td>8,577,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>2,995</td>
<td>1,142,725</td>
<td>3,266,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Household Size</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Population Change, 2010-20</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Population Change, 2000-20</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>901,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>780,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>5,544</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>790,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>177,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>59,510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>171,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 19</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>462,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 34</td>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>739,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 49</td>
<td>1,416</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>546,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 64</td>
<td>1,457</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>453,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>195,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>100,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 and Over</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>40,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Total population
### Educational Attainment*, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than High School Diploma</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>278,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Diploma or Equivalent</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>422,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, No Degree</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>324,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate’s Degree</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>108,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>435,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or Professional Degree</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>304,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

### Nativity, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>6,542</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>2,150,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Born</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>559,239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Only</td>
<td>5,824</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>1,623,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>612,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavic Languages</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>74,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian Languages</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>28,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>80,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unspecified Languages</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>25,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>914,305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English “very well,” “well,” “not well,” or “not at all.”

Universe: Population 5 years and older
### Household Size, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Person Household</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>396,359</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>907,247</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Person Household</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>317,167</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>962,910</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Person Household</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>147,469</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>487,229</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-or-More-Person Household</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>205,834</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>765,944</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Household Type, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>566,804</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>2,020,927</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent with Child</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>97,357</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>255,505</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Family</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>500,025</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1,102,403</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Household Income, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Range</th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $25,000</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>259,714</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>529,858</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $49,999</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>212,433</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>567,834</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $74,999</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>160,900</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>490,586</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 to $99,999</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>119,199</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>395,676</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 to $149,999</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>146,765</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>533,771</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 and Over</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>167,818</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>605,605</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Income</td>
<td>$43,539</td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,247</td>
<td></td>
<td>$73,572</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Capita Income*</td>
<td>$25,671</td>
<td></td>
<td>$37,103</td>
<td></td>
<td>$39,058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access Type</th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or More Computing Devices</td>
<td>2,776</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>938,271</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>2,844,477</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone(s) Only</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>86,702</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>189,503</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Computing Devices</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>128,558</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>278,853</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Access</td>
<td>2,601</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>891,212</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>2,741,960</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadband Subscription</td>
<td>2,394</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>840,676</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>2,640,864</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Internet Access</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>175,617</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>381,370</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Housing Units</td>
<td>3,147</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>1,066,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied*</td>
<td>1,369</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>480,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter-Occupied*</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>586,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Housing Units</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>147,621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Housing units

### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $20,000</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>174,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>15,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>153,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 to $49,999</td>
<td>1,131</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>264,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>29,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>57,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>177,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $74,999</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>159,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>48,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>58,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>51,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 or More</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>430,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>289,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>107,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>33,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community Data Snapshot | Pullman

**Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Pullman.

### Housing Type, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Detached</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>314,710</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>1,710,011</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Attached</td>
<td>1,538</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>42,675</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>254,103</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Units</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>174,799</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>237,977</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 4 Units</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>181,073</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>268,945</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9 Units</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>142,713</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>270,643</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 19 Units</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>51,221</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>151,076</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or More Units</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>304,535</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>490,331</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home/Other*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2,724</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>27,004</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

### Housing Size, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1 Bedroom</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>321,097</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>548,002</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bedrooms</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>419,689</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>965,749</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bedrooms</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>325,679</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>1,133,210</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bedrooms</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>104,976</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>597,921</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More Bedrooms</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>43,009</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>165,208</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Number of Rooms*</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built 2000 or Later</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>128,973</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>463,304</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1970 to 1999</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>201,958</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>1,161,616</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1940 to 1969</td>
<td>1,882</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>376,000</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>1,044,859</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Before 1940</td>
<td>1,494</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>507,519</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>740,311</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Year Built</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Pullman.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Vehicle Available</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>287,072</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>399,783</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Vehicle Available</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>472,057</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>1,111,243</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vehicles Available</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>232,613</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1,098,207</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or More Vehicles Available</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>75,087</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>514,097</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work at Home*</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>68,671</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>226,183</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive Alone</td>
<td>1,880</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>648,851</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>2,865,893</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>101,703</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>323,457</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>374,906</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>557,002</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk or Bike</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>109,443</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>164,065</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>24,865</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>53,525</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMMUTERS</td>
<td>3,125</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>1,259,768</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>3,963,942</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates. Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year</th>
<th>City of Chicago Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year</th>
<th>CMAP Region Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12,261</td>
<td>10,908</td>
<td>17,165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Transit Availability</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Transit Availability</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Transit Availability</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.
Community Data Snapshot | Pullman

**Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Pullman.

### Employment Status, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pullman Count</th>
<th>Pullman Percent</th>
<th>City of Chicago Count</th>
<th>City of Chicago Percent</th>
<th>CMAP Region Count</th>
<th>CMAP Region Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>3,983</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>1,474,402</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>4,546,758</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed*</td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>1,354,831</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>4,260,595</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed*</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>118,802</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>274,246</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in Labor Force</td>
<td>1,691</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>728,329</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>2,213,132</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

### Employment of Pullman Residents*, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Health Care</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Education</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Administration</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Retail Trade</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Accommodation and Food Service</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Employment in Pullman*, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Retail Trade</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transportation</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Education</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Manufacturing</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top Employment Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Outside of Chicago</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The Loop</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Near North Side</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Near West Side</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hyde Park</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.
Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Pullman.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Land Use, 2015</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family Residential</td>
<td>139.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>276.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Other</td>
<td>447.2</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>170.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1,354.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Access, 2015</th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2015</th>
<th>Pullman</th>
<th>City of Chicago</th>
<th>CMAP Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Walkability</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Walkability</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Walkability</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Walkability Layer.

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.
Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Age Cohorts, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19 and Under</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 34</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 49</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 64</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and Over</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Educational Attainment*, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than High School Diploma</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Diploma or Equivalent</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, No Degree</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate's Degree</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or Professional Degree</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.
## Community Data Snapshot | Pullman | Time Series

### Nativity, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010*</th>
<th></th>
<th>2015-2019</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Born</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Universe: Total population

**Universe: Population 5 years and older

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th></th>
<th>2015-2019</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Only</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavic Languages</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian Languages</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unspecified Languages</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak English Less than “Very Well”*</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Universe: Population 5 years and older

**For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English “very well,” “well,” “not well,” or “not at all.”
### Household Size, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2015-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Person Household</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Person Household</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Person Household</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-or-More-Person Household</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Household Type, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2015-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent with Child</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Family</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Household Income, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$46,975</td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupancy and Tenure</th>
<th>2006-2010</th>
<th>2015-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Housing Units</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied*</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter-Occupied*</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Housing Units</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less than $20,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$20,000 to $49,999</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$50,000 to $74,999</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$75,000 or More</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20 Percent</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 29 Percent</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Percent or More</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.
## Housing Type, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Detached</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family, Attached</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Units</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or 4 Units</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More Units</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home/Other*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

## Housing Size, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Size</th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1 Bedroom</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bedrooms</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bedrooms</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bedrooms</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More Bedrooms</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Number of Rooms*</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Universe: Housing units

## Housing Age, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Age</th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built 2000 or Later</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1970 to 1999</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built 1940 to 1969</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Before 1940</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Year Built</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Universe: Housing units
## Community Data Snapshot | Pullman | Time Series

### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Vehicle Available</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Vehicle Available</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vehicles Available</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or More Vehicles Available</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work at Home*</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive Alone</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk or Bike</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COMMUTERS</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Employment Status, Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-2010 Percent</th>
<th>2015-2019 Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed*</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed*</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in Labor Force</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Chapter</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Pullman Current</th>
<th>CMAP Region Current</th>
<th>2050 Target</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Population located in highly walkable areas</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jobs located in highly walkable areas</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosperity</td>
<td>Population aged 25+ with an associate’s degree or higher</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td>ACS, 2015-2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>ACS, 2015-2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impervious acres per household</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>USGS NLCD, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Population with at least moderately high transit availability</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>CMAP, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>ACS, 2015-2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>