


Roseland

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2023 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The data is also available in table format at the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.

Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides specific guidance for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Roseland.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

Decelerat		CMADD
Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
38,816	2,746,388	8,577,735
15,080	1,142,725	3,266,741
2.5	2.4	2.6
-13.0	1.9	1.7
-26.4	-5.2	5.3
	15,080 2.5 -13.0	38,816 2,746,388 15,080 1,142,725 2.5 2.4 -13.0 1.9

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	663	1.7	907,499	33.1	4,289,683	50.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	582	1.5	787,795	28.7	2,005,239	23.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	37,118	94.9	788,673	28.8	1,402,691	16.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	96	0.2	185,202	6.8	636,825	7.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	669	1.7	72,950	2.7	236,095	2.8

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2017-2021

	R	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	2,108	5.4	163,123	5.9	502,928	5.9	
5 to 19	7,252	18.5	461,695	16.8	1,662,052	19.4	
20 to 34	7,979	20.4	741,032	27.0	1,774,853	20.7	
35 to 49	6,514	16.6	556,412	20.3	1,724,098	20.1	
50 to 64	8,632	22.1	469,414	17.1	1,659,323	19.4	
65 to 74	3,462	8.8	208,056	7.6	746,030	8.7	
75 to 84	2,283	5.8	99,230	3.6	347,665	4.1	
85 and Over	898	2.3	43,157	1.6	153,584	1.8	
Median Age	39.7		35.1		37.9		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	3,795	13.8	263,057	13.7	630,588	10.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	7,154	26.1	419,545	21.9	1,303,071	22.2
Some College, No Degree	7,977	29.1	326,785	17.0	1,090,002	18.6
Associate's Degree	2,529	9.2	110,376	5.7	418,936	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	3,797	13.8	462,522	24.1	1,443,539	24.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	2,169	7.9	337,486	17.6	978,676	16.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual. Universe: Population 25 years and older

Universe: Population 5 years and older

Nativity, 2017-2021

	Ro	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	38,435	98.2	2,188,765	79.8	6,938,399	81.0	
Foreign Born	693	1.8	553,354	20.2	1,632,134	19.0	
					Ling in some son The t	1 1.0	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2017-2021

	Ro	oseland	City of C	nicago	CMAP F	legion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	36,071	97.4	1,671,467	64.8	5,533,398	68.6
Spanish	248	0.7	606,594	23.5	1,479,334	18.3
Slavic Languages	71	0.2	75,676	2.9	289,350	3.6
Chinese	14	0.0	49,631	1.9	90,587	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	20,258	0.8	73,710	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	14,932	0.6	63,720	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,055	0.3	37,671	0.5
Other Asian Languages	33	0.1	29,153	1.1	113,684	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	200	0.5	77,526	3.0	328,784	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	383	1.0	25,704	1.0	57,367	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	949	2.6	907,529	35.2	2,534,207	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	288	0.8	371,513	14.4	940,619	11.7

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
I-Person Household	4,728	34.0	425,352	38.2	948,087	29.4
2-Person Household	3,647	26.3	328,536	29.5	993,509	30.8
3-Person Household	2,473	17.8	150,999	13.6	503,236	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	3,044	21.9	207,694	18.7	775,919	24.1
4-or-More-Person Household	3,044	21.9	207,694		775,919	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	8,611	62.0	574,825	51.7	2,062,968	64.1
Single Parent with Child	2,309	16.6	97,029	8.7	257,853	8.0
Non-Family	5,281	38.0	537,756	48.3	1,157,783	35.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2017-2021

	Ro	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	4,053	29.2	242,342	21.8	486,172	15.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3,454	24.9	204,520	18.4	532,670	16.5	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,429	17.5	166,043	14.9	491,960	15.3	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,586	11.4	129,883	11.7	407,959	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,625	11.7	163,331	14.7	575,992	17.9	
\$150,000 and Over	745	5.4	206,462	18.6	725,998	22.5	
Median Income	\$45,993		\$65,781		\$81,102		
Per Capita Income*	\$22,485		\$41,821		\$43,128		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of C	City of Chicago		egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	12,010	86.5	1,021,348	91.8	3,019,317	93.7
Smartphone(s) Only	2,196	15.8	112,128	10.1	240,075	7.5
No Computing Devices	1,882	13.5	91,233	8.2	201,434	6.3
Internet Access	10,965	78.9	979,268	88.0	2,935,545	91.1
Broadband Subscription	10,636	76.6	939,349	84.4	2,855,152	88.6
No Internet Access	2,927	21.1	133,313	12.0	285,206	8.9

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2017-2021

Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
13,892	79.0	1,112,581	88.8	3,220,751	92.3
7,233	52.1	507,809	45.6	2,075,416	64.4
6,659	47.9	604,772	54.4	1,145,335	35.6
3,692	21.0	139,897	11.2	267,011	7.7
	Count 13,892 7,233 6,659	Count Percent 13,892 79.0 7,233 52.1 6,659 47.9	CountPercentCount13,89279.01,112,5817,23352.1507,8096,65947.9604,772	CountPercentCountPercent13,89279.01,112,58188.87,23352.1507,80945.66,65947.9604,77254.4	CountPercentCountPercentCount13,89279.01,112,58188.83,220,7517,23352.1507,80945.62,075,4166,65947.9604,77254.41,145,335

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2017-2021

	Ro	seland	City of C	hicago	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	2,711	20.7	161,490	15.1	315,268	10.1
Less than 20 Percent	23	0.2	4,233	0.4	7,772	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	185	1.4	13,939	1.3	22,358	0.7
30 Percent or More	2,503	19.1	143,318	13.4	285,138	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	4,173	31.9	249,790	23.3	632,790	20.2
Less than 20 Percent	755	5.8	26,989	2.5	69,735	2.2
20 to 29 Percent	720	5.5	48,867	4.6	123,043	3.9
30 Percent or More	2,698	20.6	173,934	16.2	440,012	14.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,338	17.9	163,939	15.3	486,707	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	933	7.1	45,130	4.2	139,609	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	1,001	7.7	59,362	5.5	171,702	5.5
30 Percent or More	404	3.1	59,447	5.5	175,396	5.6
\$75,000 or More	3,861	29.5	496,257	46.3	1,701,200	54.2
Less than 20 Percent	3,076	23.5	328,097	30.6	1,134,826	36.2
20 to 29 Percent	702	5.4	126,224	11.8	422,329	13.5
30 Percent or More	83	0.6	41,936	3.9	144,045	4.6

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Roseland.

Housing Type, 2017-2021

	R	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	10,246	58.3	326,305	26.1	1,745,022	50.0	
Single Family, Attached	1,507	8.6	43,303	3.5	259,184	7.4	
2 Units	2,706	15.4	176,711	14.1	239,727	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	1,126	6.4	184,313	14.7	274,341	7.9	
5 to 9 Units	862	4.9	142,818	11.4	270,594	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	348	2.0	54,675	4.4	155,969	4.5	
20 or More Units	749	4.3	320,992	25.6	513,327	14.7	
Mobile Home/Other*	40	0.2	3,361	0.3	29,598	0.8	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of (City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,926	11.0	333,786	26.7	566,228	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	5,002	28.4	424,005	33.9	973,190	27.9	
3 Bedrooms	6,978	39.7	336,226	26.8	1,156,700	33.2	
4 Bedrooms	2,615	14.9	110,325	8.8	612,171	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	1,063	6.0	48,136	3.8	179,473	5.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2017-2021

	R	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	843	4.8	148,271	11.8	509,505	14.6	
Built 1970 to 1999	1,373	7.8	220,148	17.6	1,189,334	34.1	
Built 1940 to 1969	9,493	54.0	378,892	30.3	1,048,502	30.1	
Built Before 1940	5,875	33.4	505,167	40.3	740,421	21.2	
Median Year Built	1949		1951		1969		

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Universe: Housing units



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Roseland.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2017-2021

	R	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	3,359	24.2	293,840	26.4	405,467	12.6	
1 Vehicle Available	6,216	44.7	495,478	44.5	1,152,274	35.8	
2 Vehicles Available	2,860	20.6	241,868	21.7	1,119,802	34.8	
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,457	10.5	81,395	7.3	543,208	16.9	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2017-2021

	Roseland		City of C	hicago	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	999	7.2	166,771	12.3	486,188	11.5
Drive Alone	7,775	55.9	641,412	47.4	2,743,345	64.9
Carpool	1,609	11.6	101,838	7.5	321,231	7.6
Transit	3,119	22.4	316,453	23.4	465,784	11.0
Walk or Bike	231	1.7	99,182	7.3	151,257	3.6
Other	176	1.3	27,118	2.0	62,008	1.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	12,910	92.8	1,186,003	87.7	3,743,625	88.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	43.3		34.4		31.7	
Source: 2017-2021 American Community Surve	y five-year estimates.			Un	iverse: Workers 16 ve	ars and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2021

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	12,820	10,316	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



Universe: Population 16 years and older

*Universe: In labor force

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Roseland.

Employment Status, 2017-2021

	Ros	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	17,513	55.1	1,509,191	67.3	4,614,158	67.3	
Employed [†] *	14,297	81.6	1,381,372	91.5	4,306,443	93.3	
Unemployed*	3,216	18.4	127,044	8.4	295,199	6.4	
Not in Labor Force	14,252	44.9	733,062	32.7	2,237,246	32.7	

Source: 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Employment of Roseland Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,566	19.7
2. Education	1,413	10.8
3. Administration	1,392	10.7
4. Retail Trade	1,226	9.4
5. Transportation	1,178	9.0
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	5,207	39.9
2. The Loop	2,849	21.8
3. Near North Side	849	6.5
4. Near West Side	643	4.9
5. Hyde Park	215	1.6

Employment in Roseland*, 2019					
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent			
1. Health Care	905	33.2			
2. Education	830	30.5			
3. Accommodation and Food Service	416	15.3			
4. Retail Trade	271	10.0			
5. Manufacturing	55	2.0			
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS					
1. Outside of Chicago	1,214	44.6			
2. Roseland	207	7.6			
3. West Pullman	111	4.1			
4. Auburn Gresham	79	2.9			
5. Morgan Park	58	2.1			

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Roseland.

General Land Use, 2018

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,126.3	36.5
Multi-Family Residential	177.6	5.8
Commercial	68.0	2.2
Industrial	10.3	0.3
Institutional	324.0	10.5
Mixed Use	15.2	0.5
Transportation and Other	1,125.8	36.5
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	70.3	2.3
Vacant	165.9	5.4
TOTAL	3,083.4	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.36	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	99.4%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.6%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the

share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2017-2021 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2007-2011 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.5	0.9	1.7
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	0.7	1.0	1.5
Black (Non-Hispanic)	97.8	96.9	94.9
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.2	0.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.9	1.0	1.7

Source: 2000 Census; 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	30.1	23.9
20 to 34	17.0	20.4
35 to 49	19.4	16.6
50 to 64	17.2	22.1
65 and Over	16.3	17.0
Median Age	37.4	39.7

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.


Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	17.1	13.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.2	26.1
Some College, No Degree	29.4	29.1
Associate's Degree	6.9	9.2
Bachelor's Degree	11.0	13.8
Graduate or Professional Degree	6.4	7.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Universe: Population 25 years and older



Nativity, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021
		Percent
Native	98.8	98.2
Foreign Born	1.2	1.8
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2007-2011 Percent	2017-2021
		Percent
English Only	98.7	97.4
Spanish	0.9	0.7
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.2
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.1
Other Indo-European Languages	0.4	0.5
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	1.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1.3	2.6
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	0.4	0.8

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	28.8	34.0
2-Person Household	25.9	26.3
3-Person Household	19.6	17.8
4-or-More-Person Household	25.6	21.9
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Family	67.1	62.0
Single Parent with Child	17.6	16.6
Non-Family	32.9	38.0
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)
Median Income	\$47,000	\$45,993
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	86.0	79.0
Owner-Occupied*	57.9	52.1
Renter-Occupied*	42.1	47.9
Vacant Housing Units	14.0	21.0

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021	
	Percent	Percent	
Less than \$20,000	21.0	20.7	
Less than 20 Percent	0.7	0.2	
20 to 29 Percent	1.5	1.4	
30 Percent or More	18.8	19.1	
\$20,000 to \$49,999	36.7	31.9	
Less than 20 Percent	5.6	5.8	
20 to 29 Percent	6.4	5.5	
30 Percent or More	24.8	20.6	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	18.2	17.9	
Less than 20 Percent	6.9	7.1	
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	7.7	
30 Percent or More	4.7	3.1	
\$75,000 or More	20.6	29.5	
Less than 20 Percent	14.9	23.5	
20 to 29 Percent	4.2	5.4	
30 Percent or More	1.5	0.6	

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	59.4	58.3
Single Family, Attached	6.5	8.6
2 Units	14.4	15.4
3 or 4 Units	8.5	6.4
5 or More Units	11.0	11.1
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.2

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc. Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021	
	Percent	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	8.7	11.0	
2 Bedrooms	32.1	28.4	
3 Bedrooms	36.2	39.7	
4 Bedrooms	15.4	14.9	
5 or More Bedrooms	7.6	6.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	6.2	6.3	

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	3.7	4.8
Built 1970 to 1999	6.6	7.8
Built 1940 to 1969	49.9	54.0
Built Before 1940	39.8	33.4
Median Year Built	1945	1949

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-202	
	Percent	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	22.2	24.2	
1 Vehicle Available	44.8	44.7	
2 Vehicles Available	24.4	20.6	
3 or More Vehicles Available	8.6	10.5	
Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates.		Universe: Occupied housing units	

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021	
	Percent	Percent	
Work at Home*	3.1	7.2	
Drive Alone	58.5	55.9	
Carpool	9.4	11.6	
Transit	26.1	22.4	
Walk or Bike	2.5	1.7	
Other	0.5	1.3	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.9	92.8	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	40.8	43.3	

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. *Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2007-2011	2017-2021
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	51.5	55.1
Employed [†] *	81.2	81.6
Unemployed*	18.6	18.4
Not in Labor Force	48.5	44.9

Source: 2007-2011 and 2017-2021 American Community Survey five-year estimates. [†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Community Data Snapshot | Roseland | ON TO 2050



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018 and updated in 2022. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Roseland	CMAP Region		
		Current	Current	2050 Target	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	99.5%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	98.2%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	31.0%	48.4%	64.9%	ACS, 2017- 2021
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	70.1%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2017- 2021
Environment Pop	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	22.7%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.13	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	42.8%	33.7%	37.3%	ACS, 2017- 2021