




Roseland

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

August 2021 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick [survey](#)** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Roseland.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	38,816	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	15,080	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.5	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-13.0	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-26.4	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	557	1.4	901,769	33.3	4,331,282	51.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	459	1.2	780,167	28.8	1,952,500	23.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	37,280	95.2	790,893	29.2	1,406,500	16.6
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	165	0.4	177,195	6.5	610,365	7.2
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	701	1.8	59,510	2.2	182,620	2.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	2,365	6.0	171,323	6.3	518,065	6.1
5 to 19	7,488	19.1	462,093	17.1	1,644,152	19.4
20 to 34	7,659	19.6	739,281	27.3	1,794,152	21.1
35 to 49	6,837	17.5	546,045	20.2	1,701,494	20.1
50 to 64	7,728	19.7	453,823	16.7	1,635,766	19.3
65 to 74	3,648	9.3	195,049	7.2	691,947	8.2
75 to 84	2,489	6.4	100,949	3.7	346,833	4.1
85 and Over	948	2.4	40,971	1.5	150,858	1.8
Median Age	39.6		34.6		37.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	3,943	14.9	278,926	14.9	663,242	11.5
High School Diploma or Equivalent	6,518	24.6	422,420	22.5	1,314,011	22.8
Some College, No Degree	8,537	32.2	324,789	17.3	1,100,596	19.1
Associate's Degree	2,353	8.9	108,645	5.8	404,417	7.0
Bachelor's Degree	3,247	12.2	435,959	23.3	1,377,160	23.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,951	7.3	304,281	16.2	906,665	15.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	38,485	98.3	2,150,295	79.4	6,857,014	80.8
Foreign Born	677	1.7	559,239	20.6	1,626,253	19.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	35,614	96.8	1,623,906	64.0	5,462,068	68.6
Spanish	405	1.1	612,318	24.1	1,469,511	18.4
Slavic Languages	85	0.2	74,198	2.9	275,630	3.5
Chinese	42	0.1	47,994	1.9	90,487	1.1
Tagalog	8	0.0	20,637	0.8	73,562	0.9
Arabic	28	0.1	18,142	0.7	61,851	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	8,147	0.3	36,522	0.5
Other Asian Languages	65	0.2	26,878	1.1	109,161	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	340	0.9	80,513	3.2	329,735	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	210	0.6	25,478	1.0	56,675	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1,183	3.2	914,305	36.0	2,503,134	31.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	287	0.8	371,929	14.7	946,875	11.9

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	4,989	35.6	396,359	37.2	907,247	29.0
2-Person Household	3,828	27.3	317,167	29.7	962,910	30.8
3-Person Household	2,369	16.9	147,469	13.8	487,229	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	2,817	20.1	205,834	19.3	765,944	24.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	8,512	60.8	566,804	53.1	2,020,927	64.7
Single Parent with Child	2,227	15.9	97,357	9.1	255,505	8.2
Non-Family	5,491	39.2	500,025	46.9	1,102,403	35.3

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	4,627	33.0	259,714	24.3	529,858	17.0
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3,528	25.2	212,433	19.9	567,834	18.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,364	16.9	160,900	15.1	490,586	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,442	10.3	119,199	11.2	395,676	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,469	10.5	146,765	13.8	533,771	17.1
\$150,000 and Over	573	4.1	167,818	15.7	605,605	19.4
Median Income	\$40,786		\$58,247		\$73,572	
Per Capita Income*	\$21,817		\$37,103		\$39,058	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	11,608	82.9	938,271	87.9	2,844,477	91.1
Smartphone(s) Only	1,910	13.6	86,702	8.1	189,503	6.1
No Computing Devices	2,395	17.1	128,558	12.1	278,853	8.9
Internet Access	10,355	73.9	891,212	83.5	2,741,960	87.8
Broadband Subscription	9,872	70.5	840,676	78.8	2,640,864	84.6
No Internet Access	3,648	26.1	175,617	16.5	381,370	12.2

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	14,003	79.1	1,066,829	87.8	3,123,330	91.6
Owner-Occupied*	7,423	53.0	480,001	45.0	1,996,297	63.9
Renter-Occupied*	6,580	47.0	586,828	55.0	1,127,033	36.1
Vacant Housing Units	3,701	20.9	147,621	12.2	286,760	8.4

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	3,221	24.1	174,171	16.9	346,898	11.4
Less than 20 Percent	52	0.4	5,287	0.5	8,867	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	236	1.8	15,140	1.5	25,618	0.8
30 Percent or More	2,933	21.9	153,744	15.0	312,413	10.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	4,371	32.7	264,323	25.7	684,002	22.5
Less than 20 Percent	758	5.7	29,670	2.9	77,326	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	889	6.6	57,150	5.6	145,913	4.8
30 Percent or More	2,724	20.4	177,503	17.3	460,763	15.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,335	17.5	159,009	15.5	485,439	15.9
Less than 20 Percent	1,160	8.7	48,662	4.7	151,167	5.0
20 to 29 Percent	763	5.7	58,869	5.7	173,246	5.7
30 Percent or More	412	3.1	51,478	5.0	161,026	5.3
\$75,000 or More	3,444	25.8	430,779	41.9	1,527,241	50.2
Less than 20 Percent	2,563	19.2	289,651	28.2	1,022,835	33.6
20 to 29 Percent	800	6.0	107,968	10.5	382,768	12.6
30 Percent or More	81	0.6	33,160	3.2	121,638	4.0

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Roseland.

Housing Type, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	10,293	58.1	314,710	25.9	1,710,011	50.1
Single Family, Attached	1,470	8.3	42,675	3.5	254,103	7.5
2 Units	2,373	13.4	174,799	14.4	237,977	7.0
3 or 4 Units	1,221	6.9	181,073	14.9	268,945	7.9
5 to 9 Units	1,106	6.2	142,713	11.8	270,643	7.9
10 to 19 Units	347	2.0	51,221	4.2	151,076	4.4
20 or More Units	781	4.4	304,535	25.1	490,331	14.4
Mobile Home/Other*	113	0.6	2,724	0.2	27,004	0.8

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,951	11.0	321,097	26.4	548,002	16.1
2 Bedrooms	5,221	29.5	419,689	34.6	965,749	28.3
3 Bedrooms	6,837	38.6	325,679	26.8	1,133,210	33.2
4 Bedrooms	2,661	15.0	104,976	8.6	597,921	17.5
5 or More Bedrooms	1,034	5.8	43,009	3.5	165,208	4.8
Median Number of Rooms*	6.2		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1,026	5.8	128,973	10.6	463,304	13.6
Built 1970 to 1999	1,588	9.0	201,958	16.6	1,161,616	34.1
Built 1940 to 1969	9,430	53.3	376,000	31.0	1,044,859	30.6
Built Before 1940	5,660	32.0	507,519	41.8	740,311	21.7
Median Year Built	1950		1949		1968	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Roseland.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3,799	27.1	287,072	26.9	399,783	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	6,054	43.2	472,057	44.2	1,111,243	35.6
2 Vehicles Available	3,097	22.1	232,613	21.8	1,098,207	35.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,053	7.5	75,087	7.0	514,097	16.5

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	565	4.0	68,671	5.2	226,183	5.4
Drive Alone	7,786	55.1	648,851	48.8	2,865,893	68.4
Carpool	1,208	8.5	101,703	7.7	323,457	7.7
Transit	4,107	29.0	374,906	28.2	557,002	13.3
Walk or Bike	310	2.2	109,443	8.2	164,065	3.9
Other	164	1.2	24,865	1.9	53,525	1.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	13,575	96.0	1,259,768	94.8	3,963,942	94.6
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	42.6		35.1		32.5	

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	13,113	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Roseland.

Employment Status, 2015-2019

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	18,232	57.5	1,474,402	66.9	4,546,758	67.3
Employed†*	14,532	79.7	1,354,831	91.9	4,260,595	93.7
Unemployed*	3,700	20.3	118,802	8.1	274,246	6.0
Not in Labor Force	13,458	42.5	728,329	33.1	2,213,132	32.7

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Employment of Roseland Residents*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,470	18.9
2. Administration	1,476	11.3
3. Education	1,327	10.1
4. Retail Trade	1,267	9.7
5. Transportation	1,221	9.3
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	5,211	39.9
2. The Loop	2,987	22.8
3. Near North Side	792	6.1
4. Near West Side	529	4.0
5. Hyde Park	255	2.0

Employment in Roseland*, 2018

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	959	50.1
2. Accommodation and Food Service	342	17.9
3. Retail Trade	288	15.1
4. Manufacturing	63	3.3
5. Finance	46	2.4
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	853	44.6
2. Roseland	148	7.7
3. West Pullman	94	4.9
4. Greater Grand Crossing	51	2.7
5. Auburn Gresham	51	2.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2018).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Roseland.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,133.5	36.8
Multi-Family Residential	179.8	5.8
Commercial	71.3	2.3
Industrial	10.3	0.3
Institutional	322.8	10.5
Mixed Use	15.8	0.5
Transportation and Other	1,125.3	36.5
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	70.3	2.3
Vacant	154.4	5.0
TOTAL	3,083.4	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.36	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2015

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	92.3%	94.6%	40.5%
Moderate Walkability	7.7%	3.5%	23.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.9%	35.8%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). It does not currently account for the presence or absence of sidewalks. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2015-2019 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.5	0.6	1.4
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	0.7	0.6	1.2
Black (Non-Hispanic)	97.8	97.7	95.2
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.1	0.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.9	1.1	1.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
19 and Under	29.4	25.2
20 to 34	16.4	19.6
35 to 49	20.6	17.5
50 to 64	17.7	19.7
65 and Over	15.8	18.1
Median Age	38.5	39.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	17.4	14.9
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.4	24.6
Some College, No Degree	29.5	32.2
Associate's Degree	7.4	8.9
Bachelor's Degree	10.4	12.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	5.8	7.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
Native	99.1	98.3
Foreign Born	0.9	1.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2015-2019
	Percent	Percent
English Only	98.5	96.8
Spanish	1.0	1.1
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.2
Chinese	0.0	0.1
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.1
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.1	0.2
Other Indo-European Languages	0.3	0.9
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.1	0.6
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1.5	3.2
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	0.2	0.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
1-Person Household	29.1	35.6
2-Person Household	26.0	27.3
3-Person Household	19.9	16.9
4-or-More-Person Household	25.0	20.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Family	67.3	60.8
Single Parent with Child	16.7	15.9
Non-Family	32.7	39.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2019 Dollars)	2015-2019 (2019 Dollars)
Median Income	\$47,082	\$40,786

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	87.1	79.1
Owner-Occupied*	59.6	53.0
Renter-Occupied*	40.4	47.0
Vacant Housing Units	12.9	20.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Less than \$20,000	22.7	24.1
Less than 20 Percent	0.9	0.4
20 to 29 Percent	1.6	1.8
30 Percent or More	20.2	21.9
\$20,000 to \$49,999	34.9	32.7
Less than 20 Percent	4.8	5.7
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	6.6
30 Percent or More	23.6	20.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.0	17.5
Less than 20 Percent	7.9	8.7
20 to 29 Percent	6.8	5.7
30 Percent or More	5.3	3.1
\$75,000 or More	19.5	25.8
Less than 20 Percent	14.8	19.2
20 to 29 Percent	3.7	6.0
30 Percent or More	1.1	0.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Single Family, Detached	60.0	58.1
Single Family, Attached	5.9	8.3
2 Units	15.2	13.4
3 or 4 Units	8.6	6.9
5 or More Units	10.2	12.6
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	8.5	11.0
2 Bedrooms	32.9	29.5
3 Bedrooms	36.6	38.6
4 Bedrooms	14.7	15.0
5 or More Bedrooms	7.3	5.8
Median Number of Rooms*	6.1	6.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	4.1	5.8
Built 1970 to 1999	6.3	9.0
Built 1940 to 1969	52.2	53.3
Built Before 1940	37.3	32.0
Median Year Built	N/A	1950

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
No Vehicle Available	21.9	27.1
1 Vehicle Available	43.7	43.2
2 Vehicles Available	25.3	22.1
3 or More Vehicles Available	9.2	7.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
Work at Home*	3.2	4.0
Drive Alone	56.8	55.1
Carpool	10.5	8.5
Transit	26.7	29.0
Walk or Bike	2.2	2.2
Other	0.5	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.8	96.0
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	42.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2015-2019 Percent
In Labor Force	53.8	57.5
Employed ^{†*}	82.1	79.7
Unemployed*	17.8	20.3
Not in Labor Force	46.2	42.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2015-2019 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Roseland	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	91.5%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	99.5%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	28.4%	46.6%	64.9%	ACS, 2015-2019
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	74.8%	80.8%	83.4%	ACS, 2015-2019
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	22.7%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.10	0.18	0.15	USGS NLCD, 2016
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	43.8%	30.3%	37.3%	ACS, 2015-2019