Design Stage ADA Statement of Maximum Extent Practicable

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Route |  | Street |  | Marked |  | Contract # |  | Project Job # |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Limits |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Project Length |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Estimate of Cost |  | Type of Project (e.g. SMART, 3R, Reconstruction) |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Brief Project Description |
|  |

DOCUMENTATION OF MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE (MEP)

|  |
| --- |
| Location(s) where MEP is Requested |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Design Element for which MEP is Requested and Proposed Design Value |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Design Element Policy Value |  | Coordination Meeting Date |  | Prepared By |  | Date |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Specify and Explain Reason(s) why Full Compliance is Infeasible |
| 🗖Structural (e.g. bridge beams, buildings, basements, foundations) |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 🗖Historic Preservation (e.g. historic buildings, districts, monuments) |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 🗖Topography (e.g. steep existing road grade exceeds ADA compliant maximum) |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 🗖Utilities (Project scope would not otherwise require utility relocation) |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 🗖Right-of-Way (Project scope would not otherwise require R.O.W.) |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 🗖Other |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Discuss Alternatives Considered (Attach supporting documentation, e.g. plan and profile sheets, photos) |
|  |

APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Director of Public Works |  | Director Approval Date |  | | Director Disapproval Date |
|  |  |  |  |  | |

|  |
| --- |
| Director Comments on Disapproval |
|  |