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Preferred scenario: fall 2009

Visioning workshop
- Vision writing and review
- Webinars / presentations
- Software demo
- Committee / stakeholder discussions

Vision: 2008
- Strategies: 2008-09
- Scenarios: summer 2009

Community conversations
- Online strategy reports
- Interactive web software
- Public workshops
- Fairs and festivals
- Kiosks

Committee / stakeholders
- TOD
- Green jobs
- Bike lanes
- Parks
- Housing
- Water supply
- Transit

Public

Preferred scenario: fall 2009
## Scenario Outcomes - Reinvest

The *reinvest* scenario features extensive investment in infrastructure and a higher density development pattern than today. For more description of the policies and investments in the reinvest scenario, click [here](#).

The table below describes the outcomes of the reinvest scenario on several key measures. Click the name of each outcome for more information about how the scenario would affect it. To compare all of the scenarios at once, click [here](#).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>How would the reinvest scenario change this from the current trend?</th>
<th>Degree of change</th>
<th>What strategies in this scenario caused this change?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land consumption</td>
<td>Improves</td>
<td>28% less farmland and 37% less open space consumed</td>
<td>An aggressive <a href="#">farmland preservation</a> program was employed, and development was concentrated in more densely developed areas, reducing pressure on open space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infill</td>
<td>Improves</td>
<td>18% more infill</td>
<td><a href="#">Transportation improvements</a>, a major emphasis on <a href="#">transit oriented development (TOD)</a>, and <a href="#">brownfield remediation</a> spurred growth in existing communities. An aggressive <a href="#">farmland preservation</a> program also prevented development on the region’s prime farmland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open space access</td>
<td>Improves greatly</td>
<td>57% more people with access</td>
<td>An explicit strategy to add <a href="#">parks</a> was included in the scenario. This focused park additions on those parts of the region with the lowest levels of open space access, generally in older urban areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperviousness and runoff</td>
<td>Improves</td>
<td>10% less imperviousness, and 13% less in sensitive watersheds</td>
<td>Development densities were significantly higher, leading to less conversion of undeveloped land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water use</td>
<td>Improves</td>
<td>51% less growth in residential water use</td>
<td>Significantly higher development densities, or significantly smaller lots. Adoption of <a href="#">conservation BMPs</a> by 10% of eligible households.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scenario public engagement

Based around interactive software, both for public workshops and online use

Over 50 workshops with 1,400 attendees; 2,800 surveys collected at fairs and festivals; 10,000 visitors to GO TO 2040 website; 20,000 introduced to regional planning through kiosks; considerable media coverage
Preferred scenario purpose and format

Purpose of preferred scenario report is to:

• Prioritize most important policy directions to pursue, based on technical analysis, stakeholder input, and public engagement

• Advance beyond the goal statements in Regional Vision, but not as far as specific recommendations

• Communicate benefits of pursuing preferred scenario

Preferred scenario report will include:

• Explanation of role of preferred scenario within process

• Description of outcomes of preferred scenario

• Description of policy directions to be prioritized in *GO TO 2040*
Preferred scenario outcomes

Benefits calculated or described for:

- Economic and fiscal outcomes (overall economy, job access, infrastructure cost)
- Environmental outcomes (energy, water, land consumption)
- Transportation outcomes (H+T, transit use, congestion)
- Social and equity outcomes (environmental justice, health, affordability, impact on elderly and disabled)

Example from Sacramento regional planning agency
Preferred scenario policy directions

Homes and communities

• Continued local responsibility for land use regulation

• Compact, mixed-use development, primarily focused in existing communities, and designed for accessibility by all

• Particular focus needed on overcoming challenges (design-based solutions, infrastructure investments)

• Affordability through allowing efficient housing market operation, and supporting with public programs
Preferred scenario policy directions

Economy

- Infrastructure – prioritize investments in infrastructure, update regulations, benefits of compact growth
- Workforce and human capital, including closing gaps in education and workforce systems
- Creating environment that supports business innovation (public sector role in technology transfer and data dissemination), with particular focus on “green jobs”
- Tax policy and its impact on economic and land use outcomes
- Equitable distribution of economic growth – impacts on human and community development issues such as crime, hunger, housing affordability, others
Preferred scenario policy directions

Environment

- Open space, including conservation-focused open space and urban parks, and recognizing importance of waterways and water quality
- Local food production and distribution, linked to agricultural preservation efforts
- Resource conservation (energy and water) and increased clean energy use
- Best practices in “green” building and development design to minimize environmental damage
Preferred scenario policy directions

Transportation

- Maximize use of existing infrastructure, using existing system to its full potential – use of ITS, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, access management, TDM, land use coordination, other strategies
- Improved transit, including service upgrades and new service in unserved areas, and inclusion of high-speed rail
- Transportation finance – congestion pricing as part of approach, with revenues supporting parallel transit service and improving nearby arterials
- Freight infrastructure investment, also workforce and policy support
- Vehicle technology and alternative fuels
Preferred scenario policy directions

Governance and social systems

- “Unsiloing” of federal and state grants and programs, allowing flexibility in identifying best ways to achieve identified outcomes
- Data sharing and transparency, allowing tracking of progress with indicators
Preferred scenario discussions with committees and stakeholders: fall 2009

Endorsement of preferred scenario (conceptual recommendations of plan): January 2010

Develop more detailed recommendations and further prioritize: spring 2010

Release draft plan: May 2010

Approval of final plan: October 2010