



Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

233 South Wacker Drive
Suite 800, Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606

Staff Contact:

[Russell J. Pietrowiak](mailto:Russell.J.Pietrowiak@chicagoareapanning.org)

312-386-8798 (voice)

312-386-8799 (fax)

www.chicagoareapanning.org

Human Services Committee Minutes from Monday August 11th, 2008

In Attendance:

Members:

Phil Smith

Walt Meyers

Tammy Wierciak

Jim Lewis

Holly Smith

Candace King

Kemberly Dailey Johnson

Lorrie Lynn

Jay Ciavarella

Laurie Dittman

Jacky Grimshaw

Patricia Lazuka

Daniel Strick

DuPage County Community Services

Northeast Illinois Area on Aging

Metropolitan Mayors Caucus/Council of Mayors

Chicago Community Trust

Kane County DOT

DuPage Federation on Human Services

Pioneer Center

United Way of Metro Chicago

RTA

MOPD, City of Chicago

Center for Neighborhood Technology

Village of East Hazel Crest

CMAP Citizens Advisory Council

Guest:

Ted Byers

Pat Hayden

Peter Kamps

Dr. Ayo Maat

Lillian Lahr

Harold Bellamy

Greg Pullman

United Way of Lake County

Age Options

Chicago Department of Human Services

Impruve

CTA

Impruve

Chicago Lighthouse for the Blind

CMAP Staff:

Russell Pietrowiak

Andrew Williams-Clark

Tara Fifer

Bob Dean

Ty Warner

CMAP Staff

CMAP Staff

CMAP Staff

CMAP Staff

CMAP Staff

1.0 Introductions

Those in attendance introduced themselves

2.0 Approval of July minutes.

The minutes for the July meeting were approved.

3.0 Comments from the Chair or Vice Chair

Phil Smith had no comments and Holly Smith stated that the programming committee's next meeting is Wednesday, August 13th. At this meeting time she would take the comments that the committee has made regarding developments of regional significance (DRI) and share them with the programming committee.

4.0 Human Services Updates

4.1 Aging in Place Technical Assistance Approach

At the last Human Services committee meeting it was decided that the preferred approach CMAP should take is to develop an Aging in Place Technical assistance plan that would have multiple facets or phases to it. The first phase would involve using materials that have already been put together for the various Area Agencies on Aging and disseminate that information to communities when CMAP is conducting their outreach efforts. It might also be incorporated into CMAP strategy workshops that will be conducted sometime in the late fall or winter. This approach would last through the spring/summer of 2009. The next phase would be to develop a toolkit or repository that could be used for follow-up technical assistance geared towards a specific community. For example, after a community has gone through and completed the self assessment document then there needs to be follow-up that will help address the issues that they have self identified. This would be an on going process and would likely have a web based component that could be a repository for information, best practices, etc. All of this would take place starting in the summer of 2009.

4.2 211 Update

The 211 working group will be meeting for the first time after the Human Services committee meeting today. An update on this group's activities will take place at the next Human Services committee meeting. At this point the response to this initiative has been very positive and we will be working with various organizations at the state level to coordinate our efforts.

4.3 Health Planning Coordination

A variety of healthcare planning activities are currently underway throughout the region, state and nation-wide. CMAP has been approached to provide assistance in trying to coordinate some of these efforts. CMAP staff is going to attempt to coordinate these efforts primarily by working with various planning efforts to coordinate data sharing and indicators development. Initially this effort will work with the Northern Illinois Public Health Consortium's, Epidemiology Committee.

5.0 GoTo 2040 Plan Development

5.1 Scenario Construction

Bob Dean from CMAP discussed the current status of the GoTo 2040 scenario development. There will likely be 4 scenarios that are groups of strategies that will give the region 4 different directions that it can proceed in. The current thinking is that there will be some sort of thematic mapping that helps to convey to differences between the various scenarios. For the next meeting it was requested that the Human Services committee work on the four major themes and try to understand the various tradeoffs from one scenario to another as they relate to Human Services. A lot of the work on this will also involve the lead agencies and the Chicago Community Trust.

5.2 CMAP/Chicago Community Trust Partnership

An update was provided to the committee by staff and Jim Lewis from the Chicago Community Trust on the ongoing efforts in support of the GoTo 2040 plan. At this time lead agencies are starting to meet, put together an advisory council and provide CMAP with an initial set of indicators (up to 15) for the indicators portion of the project. The goal is to then develop strategies that can be presented sometime in the spring of 2009.

5.3 Regional Indicators Development:

An indicators handout was provided by Andrew Williams-Clark from CMAP. The committee was then asked to discuss potential indicators for seniors, disabilities, and poverty. Some of the possibilities are listed below.

Seniors:

- % of individuals in nursing homes.
- State data from IDPH on the distribution of Medicaid funds between institutions and community based care. With the progress equating to a increasing the percentage of the money going into community based care rather than institutional based.
- Waiting list for senior housing.
- Institutionalization rates
- Medicaid bed availability.

Disabilities:

- Various funding streams from the State of Illinois
- Special Education funding
- Employment rates
- Homeownership rates
- Institutional versus Group or community based care funding ratio.
- Paratransit service offerings

It should be noted that to be used as an indicator implies that if the indicator moves in a particular direction that that is indicative of either a positive or negative trend. Data, such as the number of individuals with a

disability is not an indicator; put is instead a statement of fact. If there are more or less individuals with disabilities with the region that is neither a positive or negative, nor is it something that can be influenced by regional policies or strategies. How individuals with disabilities needs are met however may be viewed as an indicator associated with quality of life or access to services, etc.

CMAP will use this information as it develops its indicators project.

6.0 Developments of Regional Significance (DRI)

A brief update was given on the status of the DRI process. The next steps are to release the draft DRI document for public comments in September.

7.0 Other

8.0 Next Meeting (September 8th at 10:00am)

9.0 Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.