

# Staff Recommendation: Tracking Indicators by Vision Theme

Draft: 8/4/2008

DRAFT Tracking Indicators:  
Transportation 7/25/08

- Category = Indicator Category
- Subcategory = Indicator subcategory and description
- Data Set = Indicator data sources
- Rating = 1-3; 1 = Best
- Positive Direction = Which direction indicates positive direction for the region
- Tracking = Tracking progress towards regional vision
- = B-List Indicators (Alternative indicators, will not track unless deemed necessary.)

Category	Subcategory	Data Set	Rating	Positive Direction	Tracking
1 Highway Operations	<b>1.1 Congested Hours</b> <i>The average number of hours during specific time periods in which at least 20% of the vehicle-miles of travel on instrumented road network is congested. Congestion is defined to occur when link speeds are less than 50 mph.</i>	<i>Detector Data (Mobility Monitoring Program)</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>1.2 Travel Time Index</b> <i>Ratio of the average peak period travel time as compared to a free flow travel time.</i>	<i>Detector Data (Mobility Monitoring Program)</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>1.3 Planning Time Index</b> <i>Ratio of the total time needed to ensure 95% on-time arrival as compared to a free-flow travel time.</i>	<i>Detector Data (Mobility Monitoring Program)</i>	1	Down	y
2 Transit Operations	<b>2.1 Reliability</b> <i>on-time performance</i>	<i>RTA/Service Boards</i>	2	Up	y
	<b>2.2 Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles</b>	<i>RTA/Service Boards</i>	2	Up	y
	<b>2.3 Access To Transit</b> <i># or percent of hhs and jobs within a specified distance to transit</i>	<i>American Community Survey</i>	1	Up	y
	<b>2.4 Passenger Miles per Revenue Hour</b> <i>Transit passenger miles traveled divided by the number of hours of revenue service by type of service</i>	<i>RTA/Service Boards</i>	2	Up	y
3 Walking and Bicycling	<b>3.1 Walkability/Bikeability</b> <i>Measured as Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS) and Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS). Based on field research by Landis et al quantifying the perceived comfort level of both on-road cyclists and pedestrians along the road. Early results of CMAP research indicate strong relationship between PLOS and pedestrian crash rates.</i>	<i>IDOT, County data</i>	1	A = Best	y
	<b>3.3 ADA Transition Plan Compliance</b> <i>Governments with more than 50 employees must develop and implement transition plans to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Rehabilitation Act.</i>	<i>Survey of All Agencies and Most Municipalities</i>	1	High Compliance Rate	y
4 Mode Share	<b>4.1 Mode Share</b> <i>% of work trips by mode</i>	<i>American Community Survey</i>	1	Lower Single-Occupant Auto Share	y
5 Safety	<b>5.1 Crash Rate Per Capita</b> <i># of crashes per person by crash severity</i>	<i>Crash Reports and American Community Survey population data</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>5.2 Crash Rate Per VMT</b> <i># of crashes per vehicle mile traveled by crash severity</i>	<i>Crash reports and Vehicle Miles Traveled estimates</i>	1	Down	y

DRAFT Tracking Indicators:  
Transportation 7/25/08

Category	Subcategory	Data Set	Rating	Positive Direction	Tracking
5 Safety	<b>5.3 Crash Proximity to Parks And Schools</b> <i># of of crashes on arterial roads and local streets within a specified distance from a park or school</i>	<i>Crash Reports, Land Use data, Illinois State Board of Education School Database</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>5.4 Incident Response</b> <i>Incident response time</i>	<i>OEMC, IDOT, Tollway</i>	3	Down	y
6 Maintenance/ Investment	<b>6.1 Program Accomplishment</b> <i>% of Annual Element Accomplished, by Agency and Funding Program</i>	<i>For the Record, Transportation Improvement Program</i>	1	Up	y
	<b>6.2 Road Condition/Rating System</b> <i>Condition Rating. International Roughness Index % above 170 (poor)</i>	<i>Illinois Highway Information System</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>6.3 Bridge Condition</b> <i>Bridge Condition Rating. % Structurally deficient or functional obsolete (poor)</i>	<i>National Bridge Inventory</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>6.4 Lane Miles by Facility Type</b> <i># of miles per lane by facility type</i>	<i>IDOT data</i>	1	Up	y
	<b>6.5 Transportation Energy Consumption Per Capita</b> <i>Average amount of energy a person consumed for transportation purposes in the region</i>	<i>Bureau of Transportation Statistics</i>	3	Down	y
	<b>6.6 HHS Income Spent on Transportation</b> <i>% of annual hhs income spent on transportation</i>	<i>Bureau of Labor Statistics</i>	3	Down	y
7 Aviation	<b>7.1 On-time Performance</b> <i>On-time arrival and departure performance by airport</i>	<i>Bureau of Transportation Statistics</i>	2	Up	y
	<b>7.2 Destinations Served by Distance (Non-Stop)</b> <i># of non-stop destinations served by distance intervals</i>	<i>Airline Published Schedules</i>	2	Up	y
	<b>7.3 Boardings</b> <i># of annual boarding by airport</i>	<i>Bureau of Transportation Statistics</i>	2	Up	y
8 Freight	<b>8.1 At-Grade Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Delay</b> <i>Vehicle-minutes of delay for at-grade crossings</i>	<i>ICC</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>8.2 Percent of Truck Volumes Occurring Off-Peak</b> <i>Vehicle Classification by Time-of-Day</i>	<i>Mobility Technologies or I-Pass Vehicle Classification by Time-of-Day</i>	1	Up	y
	<b>8.3 Road Condition to Intermodal Facilities</b> <i>Condition Rating for National Highway System Intermodal Connectors</i>	<i>IDOT data</i>	1	Down	y
	<b>8.4 Container Travel Time</b> <i>Rail travel time averages and variations across region for intermodal containers.</i>	<i>Trucking Industry or Waybill Data</i>	3	Down	y
	<b>8.5 Truck Travel Time in Freight Significant Corridors</b> <i>Average peak and offpeak travel time for trucks.</i>	<i>Detector Data (Mobility Monitoring Program)</i>	2	Down	y

DRAFT Tracking Indicators:  
Housing 7/17/08

Category	Subcategory	Data Set	Rating	Positive Direction	Tracking
<b>1 Housing Stock</b>	<b>1.3 Transit Access</b> # and % of housing units located within 1/2 mile of public transit	Census Summary File 1 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)	1	+	y
	<b>1.1 Affordable Housing</b> # and % of regional housing units considered affordable based on IHDA definition	CMAP tabulation of Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+) data	1	+	y
	<b>1.2 Subsidized Housing Units</b> # of project based Section-8 housing units (with breakout for expiration risk)	Chicago Rehab Network preservation database	1	+ (- at risk of expiration)	y
<b>2 Supply and Demand for Housing</b>	<b>2.1 S/D Deficit/Surplus by Cost</b> Number of units available vs. in demand by pricepoint	CMAP modeling/ tabulation of Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+) data	TBD	↔	
	<b>2.2 S/D Gap/Surplus by Home Type</b> Number of units available vs. in demand by type of housing (<market, multifamily, single family)	CMAP modeling/ tabulation of Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+) data	TBD	↔	
	<b>2.3 Burden</b> # and % of households paying ≥ 30% of income on gross rent	Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)	1, 2	-	y
	# and % of households paying ≥ 30% of income on specified owner costs	Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)	1, 2	-	y
	<b>2.4 Overcrowding</b> % of occupied housing units with > 1 person per room	Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+) data	1, 2	-	y
<b>3 Strength of Regional Housing Market</b>	<b>3.1 New Housing Starts</b> # of Housing Starts	National Association of Home Builders database	2	+	y
	<b>3.2 Value</b> Ratio of median housing value to median income (adjusted for inflation)	Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)	1	≤ 3:1	
	<b>3.3 Vacancy</b> # or % of vacant housing units	Census Summary File 3 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)	1,2	-	y
	<b>3.4 Lending</b> Total value of mortgage lending (adjusted for inflation)	Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Database	1	+	y
	<b>3.5 Foreclosure</b> Number of foreclosures and/or as a % of total housing units	Woodstock Institute database, Record Information Services	1	-	
<b>4 Holistic</b>	<b>4.1 Equity</b> # of non-subprime mortgages approved for African American, Latino or Low Income Households	Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Database	1	+	y
	<b>4.2 Sustainability</b> Number of Energy Efficient Homes	LEED and NAHB Databases	TBD	+	
	<b>4.3 Innovation</b>				

DRAFT Tracking Indicators:  
Housing 7/17/08

Category	Subcategory	Data Set	Rating	Positive Direction	Tracking
	<i>% of municipalities employing identified innovations in land use regulation</i>	<i>perhaps municipal survey</i>	TBD	+	

**DRAFT Tracking Indicators: Economic Competitiveness**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Subcategory</b>	<b>Data Set</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Positive Direction</b>	<b>Tracking</b>
<b>1 Income</b>	<b>1.1 Household</b> <i>Median family income</i>	<i>Census Summary File 1 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)</i>	1	+	y
	<b>1.2 Poverty</b> <i># and % of population in poverty (breakout for &lt; 18 years of age)</i>	<i>Census Summary File 1 (2000), American Community Survey (2006, 2010+)</i>	1	-	y
	<b>1.3 Cost of Living</b> <i>Cost of Living Index (COLI)</i>	<i>Council for Economic and Community Research: ACCRA</i>	2	- or =	y
<b>2 Workforce</b>	<b>2.1 Employment</b> <i>Employment Rate</i>	<i>Illinois Department of Employment Security</i>	1	+	y
	<b>2.2 Workforce Development</b> <i>Number of adult participants in WD program who are employed in the first quarter after the exit quarter</i>	<i>Workforce Investment Area database (six common measures)</i>	2	+	TBD
<b>3 Assets</b>	<b>3.1 Property</b> <i>Commercial vacancy rate</i>	<i>coSTAR</i>	1	- or =	y
	<b>3.2 New Construction</b> <i>Number of commercial building permits issued</i>	<i>Building Permit Survey of the US Census Bureau</i>	2	+ or =	y
	<b>3.3 Agricultural Land</b> <i>Acres of land in farms</i>	<i>CMAP Land Use Inventory</i>	1	= (or+)	y
<b>4 Business Environment</b>	<b>4.1 Private Investment</b> <i>Total number of private business loans</i>	<i>Cummunity Reinvestment Act Database</i>	1	+ or =	y
	<b>4.2 Taxing</b> <i>MSA Rank in Corporate Tax Burden</i>	<i>Total corporate tax burden/total households (Tax Foundation database)</i>	3	- or =	y
	<b>4.3 Productivity</b> <i>Gross Regional Product</i>	<i>Bureau of Economic Analysis</i>	3	+	y
	<b>4.4 Entrepreneurialism</b> <i>Small businesses retained after 2 years</i>	<i>Dunn and Bradstreet Database</i>	2	+	TBD
	<b>4.5 Import/Export</b> <i>Value (\$) of goods exported annually vs. value (\$) of goods imported annually</i>	<i>USA Trade Online</i>	1	+ (exports)	TBD
<b>5 Holistic</b>	<b>5.1 Equity</b> <i>Number and/or % of jobs located near affordable housing</i>	<i>Longitudinal Employer-Household Data (LEHD)</i>	1	+	y
	<b>5.2 Sustainability</b> <i>Degrees awarded (% in Math, Science, Technology or Engineering?)</i>	<i>The Education Trust: US DOE database</i>	1	+	y
	<b>5.3 Innovation</b> <i>Number of patents secured by Chicago MSA</i>	<i>US Patent and Trademark Office Database</i>	3	+	y

**Reinvestment Indicators**  
**DRAFT 7/16/08**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Subcategory</b>	<b>Data Set</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Positive Direction</b>	<b>Tracking</b>
<b>1 Development Potential</b>	<b>1.1 Infill</b> <i>Acres of Infill Potential</i>	<i>CMAP Infill Methodology: County Assessor Data, Improvement /Land Value Ratio</i>	1	= or -	y
	<b>1.2 Brownfields</b> <i>Number of potential brownfield parcels</i>	<i>CMAP Brownfields Methodology: EPA (TRI, LIT, RCRAInfo)</i>	1	-	y
<b>2 Development</b>	<b>2.1 Infill</b> <i>Acres of converted Infill Potential</i>	<i>CMAP Infill Methodology: County Assessor Data, Improvement /Land Value Ratio</i>	1	+	y
	<b>2.2 Brownfields</b> <i>Annual number of brownfield sites for which NFR letters have been issued</i>	<i>EPA: Site Remediation Program Database</i>	1	+	y
	<b>2.3 Density</b> <i>Acres of dense development</i>	<i>frequency of distribution of combined residential, commercial and industrial units: county assessor data files, Dunn &amp;</i>	1	+	y
	<b>2.4 Mixed Use</b> <i>Parcels of mixed-use development</i>	<i>perhaps by matching addresses between county assessor and Dunn &amp; Bradstreet</i>	TBD	+	
	<b>2.5 Transit Access</b> <i># or % of people and jobs within 1/2 mile of transit</i>	<i>Census (ACS)</i>			
<b>3 Infrastructure</b>	<b>3.1 Water</b> <i>% of parcels located within FPA boundaries</i>	<i>FPA Shapefiles, CMAP Parcel Inventory</i>	1	+	y
	<b>3.2 Electronic/Communications</b> <i>Number or % of Zipcodes reporting one or fewer broadband carriers</i>	<a href="#">FCC Broadband Deployment Data from Form 477</a>	1	-	y
<b>4 Investment</b>	<b>4.1 Public</b> <i>Value of public investment in infill and brownfield development</i>	<i>perhaps ILEPA, DCEO</i>	TBD	+	
	<b>4.2 Private</b> <i>Value of private lending for infill and brownfield development</i>	<i>CRA Data</i>	TBD	+	
<b>5 Agriculture</b>	<b>5.1 Acreage</b> <i>Acreage of cropland</i>	<i>CMAP Land Use Inventory</i>	1	= (or +?)	y
<b>5 Holistic</b>	<b>5.1 Equity</b> <i>% of brownfield acres in tracts of 50%+ non WNH population or Low Income</i>	<i>CMAP Infill Methodology, Census (ACS)</i>	1	-	y
	<i>% Zipcodes with One Broadband Carrier with 50%+ non WNH population or Low Income</i>	<i>FCC Broadband Deployment Data from Form 477, Census (ACS)</i>	1	-	y
	<b>5.2 Sustainability</b> <i>Acres of non-urbanized land</i>	<i>Land Use files</i>	1	= or +	y
	<i>Number of tax sales (properties that have been sold for taxes at a previous time and are about to reach the end of the redemption period)</i>	<i>Record Information Services</i>	1	-	y
	<b>5.3 Innovation</b> <i>% of municipalities employing identified innovations in land use regulation</i>	<i>perhaps municipal survey</i>	TBD	+	