

Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

Overview of Snapshot Report on Urban Infill Development

Annie Byrne, Jieun Kim, Lindsay Banks, Matthew Stratton

Land Use Committee Meeting 9/19/2007

Contents

- Project Overview
- Case Studies
- Data and Methods
- Result of analysis
- Questions / Comments



Project Overview

- Ultimate Goals (Seven counties)
 - To determine how much land within the urban footprint is available for urban infill development throughout the region
 - Estimating the capacity of these parcels to accommodate population growth
- Scope of this study (Cook county)
 - Identify vacant and underutilized parcels
 - Create methodology for determining how much land is available for infill development in Cook county



Case study: Methodologies

Level of accuracy	Data	Methodology	Example
Level 1: Low	 Satellite imagery Land use inventory 	 Produce aggregate statistics for geographic sub-units (i.e. census tracks) Suitable for general estimation of land supply 	
Level 2: Moderate	 County assessor's record 	 Easy to identify vacant parcels Theoretical estimation of underutilized land using I/L ratio that involves certain assumptions and a margin of error Least resource intensive 	 California infill housing study San Francisco Bay area Seattle, WA
Level 3: High	 Aerial photography Parcel vector data County assessor's record 	Resource intensive	 Portland, Oregon Maryland



Data and Methods

Tax Assessor Data

- Only available for Cook County
- Did not use aerial photos or visit individual parcels (too time consuming)
- Some Limitations and accuracy problems
- Improvement to Land Value Ratio



Data and Methods

- Vacant Land:
 - Identified by the assessor data (simple count)
- Underutilized Land:
 - Used a ratio of Improvement Value over Land Value
 - Parcels with ratios below a certain cut-off point would be considered "underutilized"
 - Different cut-off points for each land use
 - Limitations
 - Bias; Method may be incompatible with the nature of the land use; Over counting



Cook County Parcel Inventory

	Number of parcels		Total Acreage		Average Lot	I/L ratio
Cook	count	%	Acres	%	Size (sq ft)	(Avg)
Total	1,401,403	100%	332,766	100%	11,041	-
Vacant	95,120	6.79%	34,697	10.43%	15,887	-
Exempt	84,861	6.06%	-	-	-	-
Single Family	906,086	64.66%	164,693	49.49%	7,903	4.14
Multi Family	196,612	14.03%	31,137	9.36%	6,886	7.31
Commercial	65,573	4.68%	42,902	12.89%	28,488	2.50
Industrial	27,027	1.93%	37,489	11.27%	60,337	3.55
Mixed commercial	3,106	0.22%	503	0.15%	7,067	5.80
Others	23,018	1.64%	21,346	6.41%	51,554	6.51

Data source: Cook county assessor's data (2006)



Vacant Acres as Percent of all Vacant Land



Cook County Vacant Parcels by Census Block

Cook County Vacant Acres by Census Block





Average Imp/Land Ratio by Census Block: Single-Family Residential

Data source: Cook county assessor's data(2006)

Single Family	Count	Acreage
Total	906,086	164,693
	100%	100.0%
Developed	894,258	155,912
	98.7%	94.7%
Lindorutilized	11,828	8,781
Underutilized	1.3%	5.3%



About the Map:

This map shows the average improvement to land value ratio for single family parcels by census block in Cook County. The improvement and land values were obtained from Cook County Assessor's data. The darker blue areas are blocks that have lower avg. improvement to land value ratios, and are therefore more likely to be underutilized.

Metra Stations

🗝 Metra Lines

------ NAVTEQ Major Highways

Single Fam. Res. Imp/Land Ratio





Average Imp/Land Ratio by Census Block: Multi-Family Residential

Data source: Cook county assessor's data(2006)

		-7	1	
è		3.1		
~				
	- and	1		

Multi-Family	Count	Acreage
Tatal	196,612	31,137
Total	100%	100.0%
Developed	194,139	29,908
Developed	98.7%	96.1%
Lindorutilized	2,473	1,229
Underutilized	1.3%	3.9%

This map shows the average improvement to land value ratio for Resid. Multi-Family parcels by census block in Cook County. The improvement and land values were obtained from Cook County Assessor's data. The darker magenta areas are blocks that have lower avg. improvement to land value ratios, making them more likely to be underutilized





- +---+ Metra Lines
- NAVTEQ Major Highways
- Metra Stations

Multi-Family Res. IL ratios





Average Imp/Land Ratio by Census Block: Commercial

Data source: Cook county assessor's data(2006)

Commercial	Count	Acreage
Total	65,573	42,902
Total	100%	100.0%
Developed	44,406	30,320
Developed	67.7%	70.7%
Underutilized	21,167	12,582
Underutilized	32.3%	29.3%

Frequency Distribution for Commercial Improvement/Land Value Ratios 30 Percent of Commercial Parcels 25 20 15 10 5 0.51 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 8 2 3 4 5 6 7 I/L Ratio



N

20 Miles

Average Imp/Land Ratio by Census Block: Industrial

Data source: Cook county assessor's data(2006)

About the M	lap:		Gh-	-	
This map sho improvement Industrial par census block The improve were obtaine Assessor's d areas are blo avg. improve	ows the average to land value ratio for cels by in Cook County. ment and land values of from Cook County ata. The darker magent ocks that have lower ment to land value ratio	ta s.	3		
			•		
• Metra	Stations		11	1	
Metra	Lines		5	and for	
NAVT	EQ Major Highways		×		1 .
Industria	IL ratios				1 Carto
0.00 -	0.50				1 - 2 -
0.51 -	1.00				
1.01 -	2.00	2,035		7	2
2.01 +		0		10	20 Mil

Industrial	Count	Acreage
Total	27,027	37,489
TOLAI	100%	100.0%
Doveloped	20,193	28,514
Developed	74.7%	76.1%
Lindorutilizad	6,834	8,975
Underutilized	25.3%	23.9%



I/L Ratio

Cook County Census Blocks with Potentially Underutilized Parcels

Data source: Cook county assessor's data(2006)

About the Map:

This map shows the underutilized parcels, as determined by the improvement to land value ratio for all parcels by census block in Cook County. The improvement and land values were obtained from Cook County Assessor's data.

- —— NAVTEQ Major Highways
- Metra Stations
- -+--+ Metra Lines

Underutilized Parcels

As determined by I/L Ratios

Single Family Residential (<1.0) Multi-Family Residential (<1.5) Commercial (<0.5)

Industrial (<0.5)

Mixed-Use (<1.0)



Further research

- Additional Infill Estimation Methods
 - Neighborhood analysis: GIS tool for statistical analysis of identified values
 - Compare improvement value to mean improvement value of determined area
- Expand to entire region
 - Need data
 - Define urban footprint: based on building density (Bay Area footprint: one unit per 1.5 acres or 6 structures per ten-acre parcel).



Further Research

- Calculate the capacity of identified infill land
 - Measure need based on forecasts and projections, using different densities
- Measure the impact of different types of development in identified infill land
 - Housing, transit, jobs, etc
- Infill Development Incentives



Questions, Comments?

- Ideas for further research?
- Data availability from other counties?
- Density issues how to measure, how much to "fill in," etc.
- Construction Affordability Index
 - Measure financial feasibility
- Other comments?





Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

Thank you!