



Land Use Working Committee

Minutes

Wednesday, September 21, 2016
9:00 a.m.

DuPage County Conference Room
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 800
Chicago, Illinois

- Members Present:** Ed Paesel (Chair), Judy Beck, Kristi DeLaurentiis, Lisa DiChiera, Michael Kowski, Paul Lauricella, Mark Muenzer, Heather Smith, Heather Tabbert, Todd Vanadilok, Adrienne Wuellner.
- Members Absent:** Susan Campbell, Robert McKenna, Curt Paddock, Arnold Randall, Paul Rickelman, Dennis Sandquist, Mark VanKerkhoff (Vice-Chair), Eric Waggoner, Nathaniel Werner, Nancy Williamson, Ruth Wuorenma.
- Staff Present:** Stephen Ostrander (committee liaison), David Clark, Patrick Day, Lindsay Hollander, Kristin Ihnchak, Jason Navota, Noel Peterson, Kelsey Pudlock, Elizabeth Schuh.
- Others Present:** Katie Borucki (UIC-MUPP), Allison Buchwach (Metra), Katie Holihen (Field Foundation), Patrick Knapp (Kane Kendall Council of Mayors).

1.0 Call to Order

Ed Paesel called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

2.0 Agenda Changes and Announcements

There were no agenda changes or announcements.

3.0 Approval of the Meeting Notes – June 15, 2016

A motion to approve the minutes of June 15, 2016, was made by Heather Smith and seconded by Paul Lauricella. All in favor, the motion carried.

4.0 ON TO 2050: Financial plan for transportation process – Lindsay Hollander, CMAP

As required by federal law, ON TO 2050 must include a financial plan, including expected revenue sources to carry out the operation, maintenance, and expansion of the region's surface transportation system over the planning period (2019-50). Staff provided an overview of the financial plan process (as discussed in [this memorandum](#)).

A member commented that she wondered whether the region shouldn't establish "lines that we can't cross," to assure that the region's transportation

infrastructure meets essential safety standards. Lindsay responded that CMAP is planning to tie forecasts to actual conditions to determine what will be required in the future to maintain necessary conditions and standards.

Another member suggested a need to take into account the possibility that the (transportation funding “lockbox”) constitutional amendment will pass, in terms of what funding will be available. Lindsay explained that CMAP’s methodology does not assume that the region will have these funds available. This same member then added that there is a need to account for the role of private capital in public-private partnerships.

A member asked whether Lindsay if she was incorporating “developments of regional importance” or “DRP” in its analysis, noting that it is important to be careful with language, since the language being used in the presentation sounded similar, which might be confusing for some people.

5.0 ON TO 2050: Plan indicators development – Noel Peterson

GO TO 2040 includes a set of indicators to track the plan’s progress toward implementation. The 2014 Plan Update revised several of these indicators, including in response to data availability. This project will build upon that analysis to update the GO TO 2040 indicators, identify new indicators for policies that are new to ON TO 2050, and modify existing measures and future targets if appropriate. This project will assess both the GO TO 2040 indicators and the kindred indicators in the regional economy and tax policy areas. Staff provided an overview of the process.

A committee member asked if the measurements of access to parks were based on “as the crow flies.” Noel confirmed that they were.

Another member suggested looking at data collected by the National Recreation and Park Association.

A member asked whether Noel and his team were considering bus service in its transit analysis. Noel confirmed that they were, but noted that Pace’s infrequent service was related to lower transit service indicator data.

Another member asked if the transportation portion of cost of living indicators would differentiate between driving and transit. Noel confirmed it would.

A member asked about how indicator data would be released to the

public. Noel responded that while the public is welcome to attend committee meetings, the main public release of indicator data would be in the plan. He added that CMAP hopes to eventually develop a publically available database (after the plan is completed). The member said that she thought that CMAP should take opportunities during the year to report on indicators (perhaps tied to events, such as National Parks Day).

Paul Lauricella spoke briefly about DuPage County efforts to insure transparency by posting data.

A member commented that he thought that the approach was good, but he thought that there was a need to analyze sub-regions, because some sub-regions weren't doing as well as others. Noel answered that they would be looking to do this on selected topics (selected by subject matter experts at CMAP).

6.0 ON TO 2050: Regional forecast – David Clark

As part of ON TO 2050, CMAP engaged the consulting firm Louis Berger to develop a regional forecast of population and employment. David presented the initial forecast totals, the sensitivity of the forecasts to factors such as investments in transportation and education, and the methods they used.

7.0 ON TO 2050: Local area allocations process – Liz Schuh

In FY 17, CMAP will work with consultants to distribute forecasted population, household, and employment change throughout the region. This process will balance existing and planned development, market factors, and the policy recommendations of GO TO 2040 and ON TO 2050. Liz described the local area allocation process and opportunities for feedback.

A committee member asked whether Liz could talk about which direction CMAP was going in (i.e. what level of analysis—for example, at the county level?). Liz explained that the county level was the smallest level CMAP would be able to provide in its analysis. The member responded that she saw a need for a level of specificity that would help communities in the region determine whether there is a gap (e.g. transit).

Another member mentioned that in past processes, totals were sent to municipalities; he asked if this would be done this time. Liz confirmed it would—major municipalities first, then all municipalities. The member added that he saw a need to consider market realities—as opposed to planning. In other words, for certain types of development, in certain locations, a

community (or the region) might have planning goals, but there needed to be a market interest in building it.

8.0 ON TO 2050: Emerging priorities report revised draft – Liz Schuh

CMAP has been gathering public feedback on regional challenges and opportunities as well as priority topics that should be addressed by the ON TO 2050 plan. To summarize that feedback and give insight on preliminary plan directions, CMAP staff created a report on emerging priorities and solicited public comment over the summer months. The document is now undergoing final working committee review prior to Board and MPO Policy Committee approval in October. Liz summarized the Emerging Priorities for ON TO 2050 draft report and the public comments received.

9.0 Other Business

Liz briefly went over the quarterly ON TO 2050 update document.

A member observed that the issue of health seemed to be missing, especially at the community level. She thought that greater consideration of health could help to strengthen the region's position. Kristin Ihnchak responded that CMAP is developing a "healthy communities" paper with Adler and Chicago Community Trust (which could be presented in the future to the Land Use Committee). The member asked if that would be incorporated into a relevant ON TO 2050 strategy paper; Kristin confirmed it would.

Another member stated that she thought it was important to keep in mind that some people come to the region for medical treatment, die here, and are then included in the local count of deaths.

Lisa DiChiera of Landmarks Illinois noted her organization's work drawing attention to the issue of school consolidation (and diminishing walkability to schools) and its contribution to child obesity.

Stephen Ostrander mentioned the success of the recent field trip to the Will County intermodal facilities, and he thanked Steve Lazzara for organizing the event. He also announced that the committee was tentatively planning to hold its November meeting jointly with CMAP's Economic Development Committee.

Lisa DiChiera announced an October 8 event entitled "Historic Preservation at 50: Chicago and the Future of the Movement." She promised to pass on information about the event to Stephen, who would forward it to committee members.

Ed Paesel recommended that committee members read the memorandum prepared for the CMAP Board on the MPO merging issue. Liz Schuh said she would forward the memo to Stephen to pass on to committee members.

10.0 Public Comment

There was no public comment.

11.0 Next Meeting

The committee was scheduled to next meet on October 19, 2016.

12.0 Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 10:45 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Committee Liaison
October 13, 2016

DRAFT