MEMORANDUM

To: CMAP Board
From: CMAP Staff
Date: September 7, 2016
Re: Coordinating Committee Structure

The current governance structure of CMAP includes two coordinating committees, which are meant to provide a link between the CMAP Board and the six working committees. Each coordinating committee consists of seven Board representatives and six working committee representatives.

In 2006, CMAP established two coordinating committees: the Planning Coordinating Committee, which was responsible for the development of GO TO 2040; and the Programming Coordinating Committee, which was responsible for approving transportation investment programs and other shorter-term programming activities around energy and regionally significant developments.

In January 2011, following the adoption of GO TO 2040, the Board recognized that the missions of the coordinating committees were well-suited to plan development but needed to be changed to reflect plan implementation. Therefore, the committees were repurposed and renamed: the Local Coordinating Committee focused on oversight of the Local Technical Assistance (LTA) program and overall relationships with counties and municipalities, and the Regional Coordinating Committee focused on regional, state, and federal policy issues.

One of CMAP’s most important current activities is the development of ON TO 2050, which is due to be completed in Fall 2018. As with GO TO 2040, plan development would benefit from more direct oversight by a coordinating committee. Therefore, staff recommends a change in the coordinating committees to return them to their identities during the development of GO TO 2040: a Planning Coordinating Committee, which would oversee ON TO 2050, and a Programming Coordinating Committee, which would oversee programming decisions for transportation investment, LTA project selection, and other relevant items.
Staff is requesting Board feedback on this change. Approval to proceed will be sought at the joint meeting of the Board and MPO Policy Committee in October.

ACTION REQUESTED: Discussion

###