



233 South Wacker Drive Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400 www.cmap.illinois.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: MPO Policy Committee

From: CMAP Staff

Date: October 4, 2017

Re: Surface Transportation Program Agreement

Since early 2017, a working group composed of representatives from the Council of Mayors Executive Committee, council planning liaisons, COG directors, the Metropolitan Mayors Caucus, the City of Chicago, Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and CMAP has been discussing principles for programming the region's Surface Transportation Block Grant (known as STP) funds and options for implementing those principles. The working group was formed in response to a federal certification recommendation from the US DOT and provisions within the STP distribution agreement between the Council of Mayors and City of Chicago that call for the review of the agreement with the passage of any new federal transportation funding bill. A draft agreement focused on four major themes described in more detail in this memo was presented to the Council of Mayors Executive Committee for consideration on September 12, 2017 and the committee gave approval for the Chairman of the Council of Mayors Executive Committee to execute the agreement with the City of Chicago following the joint meeting of the CMAP Board and MPO Policy Committee on October 11, 2017. The City of Chicago has also indicated their intent to execute the agreement.

Background

The northeastern Illinois portion of STP funding is currently suballocated according to an agreement among the MPO Policy Committee, the City of Chicago, and the Council of Mayors Executive Committee, which provides that the individual councils and the City of Chicago are each responsible for programming funds in their areas. The agreement is generally renegotiated with passage of a new federal transportation bill, and the basic arrangement is a holdover from the former Federal Aid Urban program that began in the 1970s. The current agreement between the City of Chicago and the Council of Mayors was reaffirmed on June 13, 2013 and calls for:

- 5% for one or more regional projects as selected by the City of Chicago that benefits both the city and the suburbs.
- 45% of the remaining 95% to be programmed by the City of Chicago.

• 55% of the remaining 95% to be programmed by the individual Councils of Mayors, which is then further subdivided by council population.

The five percent set-aside for regional projects is a relatively recent innovation that began with the renegotiation of funding splits in 2005. The City is the programmer of those funds and seeks the concurrence of the Council of Mayors on an annual basis for the selected projects. The benefits of proposed regional projects are evaluated qualitatively, and while the definition of "regional project" is not formally designated, it is generally taken to mean City projects that would benefit suburban users as well. Examples include improvements to bridges leading over the Chicago River from Ogilvie and Union Stations. Each of the projects proposed under this arrangement has received concurrence from the Council of Mayors Executive Committee.

The use of negotiated percentages and a division of funds by population does not directly address the performance of the region's transportation system or relate funding to system needs. In the U.S. Department of Transportation's (USDOT) 2014 Chicago, Illinois TMA Certification Review, federal reviewers found that CMAP should not be using non-performance based methods to allocate funds and called for an examination of the practice the next time the agreement between the City and the Council of Mayors is reconsidered. Furthermore, the current agreement itself calls for a reexamination of its provisions when a new federal transportation bill is passed, as happened at the end of 2015.

Working Group Discussions

At the urging of FHWA Division office representatives, an STP working group was formed in early 2017 to begin discussing the future of the program. It was composed of representatives from the Council of Mayors Executive Committee, planning liaisons, COG directors, the Metropolitan Mayors Caucus, the City of Chicago, Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and CMAP. The group met three times throughout the spring and summer of 2017 to discuss the history of the program, principles for programming, and options for a new programming approach. At their first meeting, the group reviewed the history of the funding agreement, current council allotments, and the types of projects typically funded with STP in the region. Changes to the federal program to emphasize performance were also reviewed. To direct future discussions, basic principles including support for regional priorities, an equitable, transparent, and data-driven process, and predictable funding, were discussed.

At the next meeting, the group continued discussing principles for programming, and generally agreed that:

- Funds would be used to make large and lasting contributions to regional priorities in GO TO 2040/ON TO 2050:
 - Improving the condition of the region's transportation system using asset management principles
 - Supporting local planning priorities
 - o Improving transit access and service quality
 - Facilitating infrastructure improvement in areas of economic distress
 - o Reducing congestion

- o Promoting economic growth
- Supporting natural resources
- Improving safety
- Project selection would use a data-driven, performance-based method developed in consultation with regional partners.
- The eligibility and selection of projects should strive to ensure an equitable distribution
 of investments across the region considering regional differences in access to state
 resources, maintenance responsibilities, and construction costs.
- Project evaluation, selection, and management would be conducted in a transparent manner.
- The program should help attract additional local/state investment, encourage multijurisdictional coordination, and help engage communities in regional and subregional planning.
- STP funds allocated to the region will be accounted for by all parties using an agreedupon method.
- The program should encourage the timely expenditure of funds and use active management to ensure that projects advance when they are ready.
- The program should help the state and region meet their federal performance targets.
- The program should strive for predictable year-to-year funding levels.

The group was also presented with findings from a review of the programming practices of other MPOs for large and mid-sized regions. Many MPOs concentrate on priority projects, such as those identified in their long range plan, or on priority programs that focus on connecting land use and transportation. Some MPOs establish funding ranges or set-asides by project type, and many pool regionally programmed fund sources. In New York City, the MPO delegates all STP programming to the state DOT. Based on the peer review and prior discussions, two broad programming options were discussed. The first focused on changing the funding distribution to a performance-based formula, standardizing programming criteria region-wide, but leaving the project selection responsibility with the councils and city. The second proposed utilizing a single region-wide programming process. Both options called for transparent and data-driven project selection, and active program management to ensure timely expenditure. The consensus of the group was to pursue a hybrid of these options.

At the working group's final meeting, a draft proposal that addressed the principles for programming and prior discussion was presented. The proposal included four elements:

- 1. New shared funding program
- 2. Local funding distributed using a needs-based formula
- 3. Supplemental local project selection criteria based on ON TO 2050
- 4. Enhanced program management

The working group generally agreed with the proposal, and requested development of an agreement based on the proposal. The remainder of this memo describes the agreement elements in more detail.

Shared Fund

Historically, it has been difficult for individual councils to fund large, regionally important projects due to the size of individual funding allocations and policies to limit or cap awards to

individual sponsors or projects. Some councils have at times saved their annual allocation for several years in order to implement these projects, leaving funding unspent while they save. These projects are important to the entire region and the funding burden should not necessarily fall on a single council, but should be shared to facilitate timely implementation. As such, a Shared Fund would be established for funding larger projects supported by the suburban councils or the City of Chicago that address regional performance measures and help advance local and regional priorities.

The Shared Fund would be established using a set-aside of the region's annual allotment of STP funding. Beginning in FFY 2020, the set-aside would be 7.5%, increasing to 10% in FFY 2021, 12.5% in FFY 2022, and to 15% in FFY 2023 and all subsequent years. The fund would also be seeded with excess unobligated federal funding, also known as carryover, to be made available by IDOT for programming and obligation by project sponsors in the region. A total of \$75 million will be made available: \$30 million in FFY 2020, \$25 million in FFY 2021, and \$20 million in FFY 2022. It is envisioned that additional funds would be added to the shared fund annually as a result of active program management policies discussed later in this memo, keeping the Shared Fund around \$40 million annually.

A Shared Fund Project Selection Committee composed of representatives from the Council of Mayors Executive Committee, the City of Chicago, IDOT, FHWA, the counties, the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) and CMAP staff would be established. To preserve the strong municipal participation in decision-making, the Councils and City of Chicago would each have three votes, CMAP staff would have one vote, and the other represented agencies would be advisory members. The Shared Fund Project Selection Committee would have the authority to review applications and recommend projects to the MPO Policy Committee, to develop a project selection methodology for the Shared Fund, to update performance measures described below, to develop parameters for providing assistance to disadvantaged communities, including defining eligible communities, and to develop an active program management system applying to both the Shared Fund and local programs.

Local Programs

US DOT's 2014 Chicago, Illinois TMA Certification Review of CMAP encouraged the region to move away from the population-based sub-allocation formula for STP and to expand performance-based programming methods throughout the region. Therefore, after the set-asides for the Shared Fund, the remainder of the region's annual STP allotment would be distributed to the eleven regional councils of mayors and the City via a performance-based formula.

Performance Measures. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) transportation reauthorization bill featured a new federal emphasis on performance measurement that was strengthened in the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. These laws also require each State and MPO to set performance targets that address the **established measures**. Based on the scale and types of projects typically implemented by local governments using STP funds, the local programs can affect the region's ability to meet certain performance targets. Similarly, certain federal measures are best suited to define need, and the

funding distribution is proposed to be calculated by CMAP staff based on the measures in Table 1.

Table 1. Proposed Performance Measures

Category	Measure
Pavement Condition	Lane-miles in poor condition as defined in 23 CFR 490.
Bridge Condition	Square feet of deck area in poor condition as defined in 23 CFR 490.
Congestion	Congested centerline miles, until such time as data is available to
	calculate peak hour excess delay as defined in 23 CFR 490.
Safety	Number of annual serious injuries and fatalities for the most recent
	year from IDOT's annual crash data extract.
SOV travel	Total number of single occupant vehicle (SOV) commuters based on
	the most recent American Community Survey.

Distribution. In order to direct funding to sub-regions where it is most needed, the distribution of funding to the councils and City will be by formula and be based on the relative performance of the local jurisdiction system of roadways, functionally classified as collectors or higher, for the five measures.

Council Mark (
$$\$$$
) = Regional Mark($\$$) × Performance ($\%$)

where:

$$Performance~(\%) = (\frac{Council~M_1}{Region~M_1} + \frac{Council~M_2}{Region~M_2} + \frac{Council~M_3}{Region~M_3} + \frac{Council~M_4}{Region~M_4} + \frac{Council~M_5}{Region~M_5})/5$$

"Council" in this formula means each of the eleven individual subregional councils and the City. M₁, M₂, etc. represent the individual performance measures, which would be weighted equally, and the 5 in the formula is the number of measures. In order to facilitate a smooth transition from the current population-based distribution to the performance-based distribution, the FFY 2020 distribution for each council and the City would be no more than 10% above or below its FFY 2017 distribution, and the FFY 2021 distribution would be no more than 20% above or below its FFY 2017 distribution. Additionally, no council would have an allocation of less than \$3 million in any year.

Adjustments to the annual programming marks for local distribution and the shared fund to account for changes to the regional allotment would be made by CMAP staff each year and the performance-based share would be re-calibrated to account for changes in conditions every five years, beginning in FFY 2025. In order to provide incentive for accomplishing the region's performance targets, a factor to increase funding for those councils that improve conditions would be added to the formula beginning in FFY 2025 using a methodology to be developed in the next two years by the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee.

For illustrative purposes, CMAP staff used available data sources described in Table 2 to estimate how the FFY 2020 distribution would compare to the FFY 2017 distributions. The data, particularly for the pavement condition and congestion measures, is based on a very small

sample size of local jurisdiction collectors and arterials. To support the determination of relative need, CMAP will work with regional partners over the next two years to collect more complete data, and the agreement reflects the dates by when this should occur.

Table 2. Performance Data Sources

Measure	Specific metric	Source	Year	Notes
Lane-miles in poor condition	Estimated lanemiles with International Roughness Index > 170	Year-end Illinois Roadway Information System file	2016	Data represent federal aid roads under municipal, county, and township jurisdiction (functional class > 7 and jurisdiction type = 3, 4, 6, 8, 9). Not all local federal aid roads have been inventoried; the total lane-mileage in poor condition was estimated as the percentage in poor condition for the lane miles that have been inventoried * total lane-miles in council. Once full data are available for the local Federal Aid system, this measure will be exact rather than estimated. The IRI > 170 threshold is taken from the FHWA pavement and bridge condition rule. Note that after a transition period, the rule specifies that pavement condition will be defined by a combination of International Roughness Index, cracking, and rutting for flexible pavements.
Congested centerline miles	Centerline miles where travel time index >= 1.25	HERE/MS2	2012	Data represent congestion on non-expressway routes in the HERE/MS2 data file. Not all federal aid local routes have congestion data; total congested centerline mileage was estimated as the percentage of congested centerline mileage for routes with data * total local federal aid centerline mileage in the council. Jurisdiction includes municipal, county, and township. Congestion is defined as having a travel time index of >= 1.25, where the travel time index is the ratio of congested travel time to free-flow travel time. This measure should be replaced with peak hour excess delay, as specified in the FHWA system performance rule, once it is calculated.
Annual serious injury and fatal crashes		IDOT Safety Portal	2015	Data represent a simple count of serious injury ("A"-type) and fatal ("K"-type) crashes for non-state jurisdiction roads as defined in the IDOT data release. The dataset is considered complete. This measure combines two of the five safety measures in the FHWA performance measure rules.
Bridges in poor condition by deck area	Square footage of bridges with deck, superstructure, or substructure rating of <=4	National Bridge Inventory	2016	Data represent municipal, county, and township bridges (owner code = 2, 3, 4). This dataset was downloaded as a CSV file from the NBI website in 2017, and is primarily composed of bridge inspection reports from 2014 and 2015. This dataset is considered complete. Municipalities were

Measure	Specific metric	Source	Year	Notes
				allocated to COM boundaries based on place code (data item 4). Unincorporated place codes were allocated as follows: For the county-based regions (McHenry, Lake, Kane/Kendall, DuPage, and Will), records were allocated by code (data item 3). For Cook, bridges were manually allocated to the appropriate COM region using GIS locations from the National Transportation Atlas Database 2015 shapefile. As in the FHWA pavement and bridge condition rule, poor bridge condition is defined as having either a deck, superstructure, or substructure rating <= 4.
Percent of non-SOV travel	Number of SOV commuters	American Community Survey	2011-15	Census ACS is a sample representing a 5-year period that is updated every year. The data for individual Census tracts were aggregated to the City and Council boundaries. Using ACS data to calculate mode share is one of three methods allowed under the FHWA system performance rule.

The proposed distribution floor and smoothed phase-in were applied, resulting in the illustrative distribution in Table 3. Again, the actual distribution would occur in 2020 based on data collected at that point.

Table 3. Illustrative Change in Local Program Distribution FFY 2017 to FFY 2020

		FFY20	\$ Change (FFY17	% Change (FFY17
	FFY17	smoothed	to smoothed	to smoothed
	allotment	allotment	FFY20)	FFY20)
Central	\$3,138,388	\$3,000,000	-\$138,388	-4.4%
Chicago ¹	\$63,871,101	\$59,140,492	-\$4,730,609	-7.4%
DuPage	\$11,271,468	\$11,845,427	\$573,959	5.1%
Kane/Kendall ²	\$9,868,205	\$10,605,449	\$737,244	7.5%
Lake	\$8,507,921	\$9,358,713	\$850,792	10.0%
McHenry	\$3,958,003	\$4,352,498	\$394,495	10.0%
North Central	\$3,778,438	\$4,156,282	\$377,844	10.0%
North Shore	\$3,968,555	\$4,365,411	\$396,856	10.0%
Northwest	\$8,687,388	\$7,818,649	-\$868,739	-10.0%
South	\$6,327,698	\$5,694,928	-\$632,770	-10.0%
Southwest	\$4,592,442	\$4,798,866	\$206,424	4.5%
Will	\$7,165,240	\$7,881,764	\$716,524	10.0%

¹Chicago FFY 2017 allotment includes the 5% region project set-aside

²Kane/Kendall FFY 2017 allotment includes STP funds accumulated by Plano (\$591,525) and Sandwich (\$781,854) prior to joining the CMAP Planning region.

Project Selection to Support the Goals of ON TO 2050

In addition to addressing federal performance measures, the region must develop and implement a long range plan. The development of the region's next plan, ON TO 2050, is currently on-going, and will include several priorities that can be influenced by transportation infrastructure investments. To encourage investments that support the goals of ON TO 2050, each individual council and the City would incorporate regional priorities into their project selection methodologies by assigning at least 25% of the points to these six regional priorities:

Table 4. Regional Priorities

Priority	Points awarded to:	
Green Infrastructure	Projects that use green infrastructure to manage stormwater	
Reinvestment	Projects that serve a reinvestment area defined in ON TO	
	2050	
Freight movement	Projects that benefit multi-modal freight movement	
Economically disconnected	Projects that improve equity through benefits to	
areas	economically disconnected areas as defined in ON TO 2050	
Complete streets	Projects from sponsors that have adopted a complete streets	
	ordinance or to projects within the City of Chicago that	
	include significant complete streets elements.	
Transit supportive density	Projects from sponsors that have permitted density at transit-	
	supportive levels where transit is available or planned or,	
	transit-supportive projects within the City of Chicago.	

Since not all of these ON TO 2050 priorities will be equally relevant in all councils and the City, each would have the flexibility to distribute points to any or all of these regional priorities based on local prerogative.

Pavement Management Systems

ON TO 2050 is also expected to continue the strong emphasis on maintenance and system preservation contained in GO TO 2040. Although more than half of the locally programmed STP funds obligated over the last 10 years went toward road resurfacing and reconstruction, the region cannot provide an overall condition rating for the locally controlled Federal-aid eligible routes. Nor is it clear that the funds were used in the most cost-effective way within each council by programming the most appropriate treatment given the age and condition of the pavement, as might be determined with the aid of a pavement management system. Pavement management systems are data collection and analysis tools that would help the region determine the optimum strategies for the most cost-effective pavement maintenance.

During the phase-in of new agreement provisions, CMAP will work with the councils and City, in cooperation with the counties and IDOT to establish local pavement management systems throughout the region. The pavement management systems should be used to determine the appropriate timing for pavement projects, and these types of projects should not be considered for federal funding unless they are included in a pavement management system. CMAP has released a Request for Information to learn more about the costs and technical requirements of these systems in order to design a program, which would be done in consultation with the councils and City. CMAP is also seeking funding to implement such a program.

Active Program Management

Ensuring that federal funds are obligated in a timely manner is a priority of the region. Funds left unobligated are subject to both lapse and rescission. The use of active program management (APM) practices encourages timely expenditure and ensures that projects that are ready to go can move forward. Several councils currently use APM strategies, and the region has successfully implemented APM policies for the CMAQ and TAP funded programs. Collectively, the councils have also employed advance funding procedures to advance out year projects using other councils' unobligated balances. While advance funding has accelerated implementation of projects, it typically has not been able to make a significant dent in the region's overall unobligated balance. Stronger policies that will prevent the reservation of funding for projects that are not moving forward are needed. In order to provide a fair and equitable approach, an APM system that is uniform and applies to both the shared fund and local programs would be developed by the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee. At a minimum, the system would include deadlines for projects to be initiated, deadlines for project phases to be obligated, grace periods for local reprogramming of funds, policies for project and phase eligibility, and policies for re-distribution of unobligated funds to the shared local program.

Phase-in

Phase-in of the agreement would begin immediately upon execution and would continue through the initial calls for projects for the shared fund and local programs. In order to establish the Shared Fund, while still honoring commitments that councils have made to project sponsors, the addition of new projects or phases of projects to council programs would be discontinued until the initial calls for projects. All individual council funding balances, whether positive or negative, would be forgiven, and a single regional balance of funding would be established. The balance would use that portion of the existing unobligated carryover not reserved for seeding the shared fund that is available for obligation, along with the entire northeastern Illinois allotments for FFY 2018 and 2019, and that portion of the FFY 2020 allotment not set-aside for the shared fund. Council and City project phases would be advanced to federal obligation on a "first ready, first funded" basis until all committed phases are complete, all funds have been exhausted, or a call for local projects is issued for FFY 2021 -2025. Documented adopted policies for maximum funding caps and cost increases would be honored for applicable projects or project phases within each council. For sponsors seeking cost increases that are within councils without established policies, CMAP staff would determine if the requested funding is anticipated to be available and would provide a staff recommendation for approval or denial by the Council of Mayors Executive Committee. In the absence of an adopted program, by contrast, the commitment to the City of Chicago would be defined as the annual allotment, including the 5% regional set-aside, which the City was entitled to for FFY 2017.

Other Considerations

Assistance for Disadvantaged Communities. A major concern of the working group was to ensure that all communities within the region have reasonable access to federal funds without an undue burden caused by lack of resources for required local matching funds. As such, eligible communities would be permitted to request Transportation Development Credits in lieu of required local match for the construction phase of projects. The Shared Fund Project

Selection Committee would be tasked with defining the eligible communities and parameters for utilizing the credits. CMAP would work with IDOT to revise its rules for the use of Transportation Development Credits to accommodate this policy.

Transparency. In support of the principles and procedures of the Grant Accountability and Transparency Act (GATA), and in the interest of promoting transparent decision-making and opportunities for public engagement, project selection methodologies would be published on the City, individual subregional council, and/or CMAP websites. During each call for projects cycle, recommended programs would be subject to a minimum public comment period, and all final programs of projects, and any subsequent program updates, would be published on the City, individual subregional council, and/or CMAP websites.

Establish a Pipeline of Projects. To support the timely obligation of federal funding and to ensure that no funds allotted to the region are lost to rescission or lapse, it is important to establish a strong pipeline of projects for implementation. CMAP's Local Technical Assistance (LTA) program is one source for identifying potential transportation projects that meet local needs, support the goals of ON TO 2050, and can move the region toward meeting performance targets. Consideration should also be given to establishing state, county, or council programs to fund phase 1 engineering for projects that may be good candidates for future federal funding.

Next Steps

As the region transitions from the current population-based sub-allocation of STP funds to the new program established in the agreement between the Council of Mayors and the City of Chicago, there are a number of implementation deadlines to meet. The transition would start with the establishment of the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee by the end of 2017. That committee would begin development of an Active Program Management system to be established by June 30, 2018. The committee would also develop the shared fund evaluation methodology prior to the first call for projects for the Shared Fund. The individual subregional councils and City of Chicago would continue to implement committed projects, and would develop revised local project selection methodologies that include consideration of regional priorities. Concurrently, CMAP, the councils, the city, and the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee would collaborate with each other and appropriate regional partners to collect data necessary for performance-based distribution of funding and the establishment of pavement management systems. Finally, CMAP would work with IDOT and FHWA to reconcile accounting of past STP accomplishments to ensure a stable, reliable, and predictable source of funding to implement the new agreement.

ACTION REQUESTED: Approval

###

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN

THE CITY OF CHICAGO AND THE CMAP COUNCIL OF MAYORS

THE DISTRIBUTION AND ACTIVE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT OF LOCALLY PROGRAMMED SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT FUNDS UNDER THE FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION ACT

REGARDING

The following agreement is entered into between the city of Chicago (hereafter referred to as the City) and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) Council of Mayors (hereafter referred to as the Council). The agreement entered into on this _____ day of _______, 2017 is for the purpose of programming local Surface Transportation Block Grant funds (hereafter referred to as STP) made available to northeastern Illinois under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act.

The parties do hereto mutually agree, through their duly authorized representatives, to the following:

- Shared Fund. The City and Council agree to establish a shared fund available to the City and Councils for the purpose of funding important regional projects that address regional performance measures and the goals of ON TO 2050.
 - a. Set-aside. The shared fund will be established using a set-aside of the region's annual allotment of STP funding, as follows:
 - i. In FFY 2020, the set-aside shall be 7.5%;
 - ii. In FFY 2021, the set-aside shall be 10%;
 - iii. In FFY 2022, the set-aside shall be 12.5%; and
 - iv. In FFY 2023 and all subsequent years, the set-aside shall be 15%.
 - b. Use of carryover/obligation authority. In collaboration with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), excess unobligated federal funding, also known as carryover, will be made available to the parties for programming and obligation and shall be used for the shared fund in the amount of:
 - i. \$30 million in FFY 2020;
 - ii. \$25 million in FFY 2021; and
 - iii. \$20 million in FFY 2022.

This obligation authority will be in addition to the obligation authority associated with the annual allotment of STP, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ), and Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) funds to the northeastern Illinois region.

- Project selection committee. The City and Council agree to establish a Shared Fund
 Project Selection Committee, composed as follows:
 - 3 Votes from the Council of Mayors Executive Committee
 - 3 Votes from the City of Chicago
 - 1 Vote from CMAP staff
 - 1 Advisory member from IDOT
 - 1 Advisory member from the Counties
 - 1 Advisory member from the Regional Transportation Authority
 - 1 Advisory member from FHWA

The Shared Fund Project Selection Committee will be supported by CMAP staff and shall have the authority to:

- i. Review applications and recommend projects to the MPO Policy Committee for the shared fund
- ii. Develop a project selection methodology for the shared fund and update as needed;
- iii. Update performance measures described in section 2; and
- iv. Develop an Active Program Management system, applying to both the local programs and the shared fund, as described in section 3.
- v. Develop parameters for providing assistance to disadvantaged communities, including defining eligible communities, as described in section 6.
- 2. Local Distribution. After the set-asides described above, the remainder of the region's annual STP allotment will be distributed via a performance-based formula to the eleven regional councils of mayors and the City for programming by each entity as described below.
 - a. Performance Measures. The City and Council agree to apply the following performance measures on the local jurisdiction system of roadways, functionally classified as collectors or higher, for determining relative need:
 - i. Pavement Condition. To be measured as lane-miles in poor condition as defined in 23 CFR 490.
 - ii. Bridge Condition. To be measured as square feet of deck area in poor condition as defined in 23 CFR 490.
 - iii. Congestion. To be measured as congested centerline miles, until such time as data is available to calculate peak hour excess delay as defined in 23 CFR 490.
 - iv. Safety. To be measured as the number of annual serious injuries and fatalities for the most recent year from IDOT's annual crash data extract.
 - v. SOV travel. To be measured as the total number of single occupant vehicle (SOV) commuters based on the most recent American Community Survey.
 - b. Distribution. The City and Council agree that initial annual programming allotments for FFY 2020 FFY 2024 shall be determined by applying the sum of the City's and each Council's relative performance for the five measures, using data current as of the deadline in section 5 and weighted equally, to the total funding available for local distribution. CMAP staff shall be responsible for computing all measures. The FFY 2020 distribution for each council and the City shall be no more than 10% above or below its FFY 2017 distribution, and the FFY 2021 distribution shall be no more than 20% above or below its FFY 2017 distribution; in no case shall the performance-based distribution result in an individual council allotment below \$3,000,000. Beginning in FFY 2025 an improvement score, to be developed by the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee, shall be incorporated into the distribution calculation. Attachment A to this agreement contains an illustrative example of the distribution, using data currently available.
 - c. Project Selection. The City and Council agree that each individual subregional council and the City shall establish its own points-based methodology for selecting projects and that a minimum of 25% of those points shall be allocated to regional priorities:
 - i. Green Infrastructure. Points awarded to projects that use green infrastructure to manage stormwater.

- ii. Reinvestment. Points awarded to projects that serve a reinvestment area as defined in ON TO 2050.
- iii. Multi-modal freight movement. Points awarded to projects that benefit freight movement.
- iv. Economically disconnected areas. Points awarded to projects that improve equity through benefits to economically disconnected areas as defined in ON TO 2050.
- v. Complete streets. Points awarded to projects from sponsors that have adopted a complete streets ordinance or to projects within the City of Chicago that include significant complete streets elements.
- vi. Transit supportive density. Points awarded to projects from sponsors that have permitted density at transit-supportive levels where transit is available or planned or, transit-supportive projects within the City of Chicago.

Within the overall 25% weight, each individual subregional council and the City may weight these criteria according to their own local prerogatives.

- 3. Active Program Management. The Council and City agree to use a uniform active program management system for the shared fund and local distributions to ensure projects are obligated in a timely manner to avoid having a large unobligated balance of STP funds due to unreasonable or excessive delay. The active program management system will be developed in coordination with CMAP staff and agreed upon by the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee established in section 1.c. of this agreement, and will contain, at a minimum:
 - a. deadlines for projects to be initiated;
 - b. deadlines for project phases to be obligated;
 - c. grace periods for local reprogramming of funds;
 - d. policies for project and phase eligibility; and
 - e. policies for re-distribution of unobligated funds.
- 4. Phase-in. The Council and City agree that the provisions of this agreement shall be phased in over a period of three years during which time the following will occur:
 - a. Pause new programming. Upon execution of this agreement, the City and Councils shall pause the addition of new projects or phases of projects to local programs that would cause the City or a council to exceed in three years, the amount of their FFY 2017 allotment times three.
 - b. Regional fiscal constraint. All individual funding balances, whether positive or negative, shall be forgiven. A single regional balance of funding shall be established from:
 - i. the existing carryover balance available for obligation that is not reserved for use in the shared fund in FFY 2020 2022;
 - ii. the entire northeastern Illinois allotment for FFY 2018 and FFY 2019; and
 - iii. the amount of the FFY 2020 northeastern Illinois allotment that is not set-aside for the shared fund.
 - c. Honor existing commitments. All project phases programmed within FFY 2018 FFY 2020 in each suburban council's adopted multi-year program as of the execution of this agreement will continue implementation on a "first ready, first funded" basis, until such time as any of the following occur:
 - i. all committed project phases have been obligated or voluntarily withdrawn by the project sponsor;
 - ii. all available funds described in section 4.b. above have been exhausted; or

iii. the start of FFY 2021.

Documented adopted policies for maximum funding caps and cost increases shall be honored for applicable projects or project phases. For sponsors seeking cost increases that are within councils without established policies, CMAP staff will determine if the requested funding is anticipated to be available and will provide a staff recommendation for approval or denial by the Council of Mayors Executive Committee. In the absence of an adopted program, the commitment to the City of Chicago shall be defined as three times the annual allotment, including the 5% regional set-aside, to which the City was entitled for FFY 2017. The City shall notify CMAP staff of changes to their established program prior to seeking federal obligation in order to facilitate regional accounting.

- 5. Implementation Deadlines. The Council and City agree that:
 - a. Shared Fund Project Selection Committee voting procedures shall be established by December 31, 2017.
 - b. The Shared Fund Project Selection Committee shall adopt the shared fund project selection methodology, referenced in Section 1.c., no less than three months prior to the initial call for projects or FFY 2020 FFY 2024 program development to be funded with the shared fund.
 - c. Individual subregional councils and the City shall each adopt local project selection methodologies, as referenced in Section 2.c., no less than three months prior to the initial call for projects or FFY 2021 FFY 2025 program development to be funded with the local distribution.
 - d. The Shared Fund Project Selection Committee shall establish an Active Program Management system by September 30, 2018.
 - e. Complete and uniform performance data shall be collected by CMAP, in coordination with local partners, by June 30, 2019, and shall be updated at least every five years.
 - f. Performance-based distribution allotments shall be determined by September 30, 2019, and shall be re-calibrated every five years based on the updated data.
 - g. The methodology for recalibrating distribution to account for improved performance shall be established by the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee by December 31, 2019.
- 6. Other Provisions. The Council and City agree to these additional provisions.
 - a. Assistance for disadvantaged communities. Eligible communities, as defined by the Shared Fund Project Selection Committee, shall be permitted to request Transportation Development Credits in lieu of required local match for the construction phase of projects based on credit availability and with the approval of IDOT and within federal and state policies and guidance.
 - b. Transparency. The City and Council agree, in support of the principles and procedures of the Grant Accountability and Transparency Act (GATA) and in the interest of promoting transparent decision-making and opportunities for public engagement, that:
 - i. Project selection methodologies shall be published on the City, individual subregional council, and/or CMAP websites;
 - ii. Recommended programs of projects shall be subject to a minimum public comment period; and
 - iii. Final programs or projects, and any subsequent updates thereto, shall be published on the City, individual subregional council, and/or CMAP websites.

- c. Period of agreement. The terms of this agreement shall remain in effect as long as the participating parties deem appropriate, and shall be subject to review and renewal or amendment upon:
 - i. passage of new federal transportation authorization legislation replacing the FAST Act;
 - ii. request or recommendation of the US DOT as a part or condition of any certification review of the metropolitan planning process; or
 - iii. substantial changes to the overall condition of transportation funding and needs in northeastern Illinois, including, but not limited to, the failure of IDOT to provide funding as described in section 1.b. of this agreement.

Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

The City and the Council hereby cause this memorandum of agreement to be executed on the day and year identified in the first paragraph.

SIGNED:	
Jeffery D. Schielke	Rebekah Scheinfeld
Chairman, Council of Mayors	Commissioner, Department of Transportation
Mayor, City of Batavia	City of Chicago
ATTEST:	
Randall S. Blankenhorn	Joseph C. Szabo
Secretary	Executive Director

Illinois Department of Transportation

ATTACHMENT A: Illustrative Local Distribution of Funding

Illustrative local distribution change due to formula, with a smoothed phase-in (showing first year only) limiting the change (+/-) to 10% in FFY20 and 20% in FFY21 and slower Shared Fund Growth (7.5% in FFY20; 10% in FFY21, 12.5% in FFY22; 15% in FFY23 and beyond).

	FFY17 allotment	FFY20 smoothed allotment	\$ Change (FFY17 to smoothed FFY20)	% Change (FFY17 to smoothed FFY20)
Central	\$3,138,388	\$3,000,000	-\$138,388	-4.4%
Chicago ¹	\$63,871,101	\$59,140,492	-\$4,730,609	-7.4%
DuPage	\$11,271,468	\$11,845,427	\$573,959	5.1%
Kane/Kendall ²	\$9,868,205	\$10,605,449	\$737,244	7.5%
Lake	\$8,507,921	\$9,358,713	\$850,792	10.0%
McHenry	\$3,958,003	\$4,352,498	\$394,495	10.0%
North Central	\$3,778,438	\$4,156,282	\$377,844	10.0%
North Shore	\$3,968,555	\$4,365,411	\$396,856	10.0%
Northwest	\$8,687,388	\$7,818,649	-\$868,739	-10.0%
South	\$6,327,698	\$5,694,928	-\$632,770	-10.0%
Southwest	\$4,592,442	\$4,798,866	\$206,424	4.5%
Will	\$7,165,240	\$7,881,764	\$716,524	10.0%

¹Chicago FFY 2017 allotment includes the 5% region project set-aside

²Kane/Kendall FFY 2017 allotment includes STP funds accumulated by Plano (\$591,525) and Sandwich (\$781,854) prior to joining the CMAP Planning region.