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MOBILITY
Achieving a safe and reliable system for tomorrow

Our region’s transportation network has reached a critical juncture. Travel patterns are being influenced -- and potentially transformed -- by rapidly evolving technologies that make for an uncertain and yet promising future. We cannot stand still, deferring important decisions that will shape the system for decades to come. In fact, while continuing to deal with past choices made or often deferred, our region must take bold steps both to address today’s problems and to anticipate opportunities for achieving a well-integrated, multimodal transportation system for seamless movement of people and goods within and through the seven counties of metropolitan Chicago.

[GRAPHIC: Photo essay showing the region’s transportation assets]

Making this vision our regional reality will require collective action to overcome obstacles inherent to existing assets and organizations. While some strategies may require action from the state or federal governments, increasingly this region and its local governments must rely on each other for homegrown solutions, including the revenues necessary to support a system of mobility that is the engine of our economic prosperity and quality of life.

Transportation agencies, counties, and municipalities will need to magnify coordination efforts and take swift action to adopt and regulate new technologies, make the transit system competitive, end fatal crashes, and advance inclusive economic growth. Crucially, they will need to create new revenue streams to improve conditions of the existing transportation system as well as to make limited and highly targeted expansions.

The three principles of ON TO 2050 are embedded throughout the Mobility chapter, which includes strategic recommendations to:

1. Promote inclusive growth by improving mobility options that spur economic opportunity for low income communities, people of color, and people with disabilities.

2. Improve resilience by ensuring that infrastructure can adapt to changes in climate and technology.

3. Prioritize investment of limited resources to efficiently maintain existing infrastructure while securing new revenues for needed enhancements.
A modern, multimodal system that adapts to changing travel demand

Each day brings new signs of profoundly shifting mobility patterns in the Chicago region and beyond. After decades in which automobile use increased consistently, the last decade has seen it remain relatively constant, while the other modes people use to get around have diversified.\footnote{Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “Travel Trends: Understanding How Our Region Moves,” September 2016, \url{http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/onto2050/snapshot-reports/transportation-network/travel-trends}.} Transit ridership has also changed, with declining ridership in some areas and modes along with capacity-straining growth in others. Freight rail is also changing. Intermodal freight volumes that fell during the last recession have since rebounded significantly, with growth of some 30 percent between 2009-14.\footnote{Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “The Freight System: Leading the Way,” May 2017, \url{http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/onto2050/snapshot-reports/transportation-network/travel-trends}.} Biking, walking, and working from home are on the rise. In the years since GO TO 2040 was adopted, people have begun to take advantage of new, technology-enabled ways of getting around, including bike sharing, car sharing services like Zipcar, TNCs like Uber and Lyft, and microtransit services like Chariot and Via. Automated vehicles (AVs) are gradually emerging from the test tracks and onto streets and highways elsewhere in the U.S., with their advent here viewed as inevitable if not imminent. These still nascent technological trends will continue to intersect with economic and demographic shifts to transform how residents and businesses want to use the region’s transportation system in coming decades. Due to the many benefits of a vibrant multimodal transportation system, ON TO 2050 sets a target of increasing the share of commuters who travel to work by a mode other than driving alone, while doubling transit ridership. This requires changing the way that we build roads, transit, and our communities themselves. Our transportation agencies, local governments, developers, businesses, and residents must work together to make decisions and investments that help the system anticipate and adapt to changing travel demand.
Harness technology to improve travel and anticipate future impacts

The pace of technological innovation in transportation, already rapid, seems likely to accelerate for many years to come. In the near term, existing technologies can improve the safety, efficiency, reliability, and resilience of our transportation network. In the long term, emerging technologies like connected and autonomous vehicles and private mobility services like car sharing and ridesourcing present both a remarkable opportunity and a challenge for regional planning. The increasing availability of real-time data, expanded communications technology, and emerging approaches to demand management and mobility lets us more effectively use the transportation system already in place today and prepare for future technological advances. By strategically employing technology, we can improve the way this network functions in support of community livability and economic vitality.

Some of the most promising innovations could improve travel time reliability, that is, increase predictability for the same trips compared day-to-day. FHWA estimates that 60 percent of travel delay nationwide is actually caused by non-recurring sources like crashes or other incidents,
construction, and weather. Because these factors are less predictable than daily congestion factors such as travel demand and system capacity, they cause unreliable travel that costs drivers, transit riders, and businesses that must budget extra time and expense to avoid being late for work, appointments, or deliveries. ON TO 2050 sets a target of improving the reliability of travel on the interstate system, which will have broad benefits for the entire transportation system.

Reliability is best improved by changing how roads are managed and operated, rather than expanding the system. Increasingly, highway management involves data, communications, and technologies that help system managers optimize traffic flow, and detect and respond to situations as they arise. On a regional scale, this will involve coordination and communication between highway agencies, emergency management services, transit operators, and real-time traveler information services, paired with extensive deployment of communications and data processing infrastructure. Because incidents on one agency’s road network can have major impacts on other networks, the region clearly needs a more holistic, integrated approach to
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traffic management. This is particularly true in congested corridors where the interstate and arterial systems interact and deteriorating traffic conditions on one system affect the performance of the other.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show technology investments and their benefits for travel reliability.]

CMAP evaluated a number of strategies for improving reliability and found that enhancing incident management, facilitated through better information sharing, was among the most effective approaches. Similarly, implementing traffic management centers (TMCs), another strategy that relies heavily on better information exchange facilitated by technology, is extremely effective. Information exchange can improve response to changing situations like special events and other variations in traffic by allowing transportation agencies to change traffic signal timing, alter ramp meter timing, provide real-time traveler information, and take other steps to balance travel demand among arterials, interstates, and transit services in a corridor during peak congestion and major incidents. While there are some existing examples of limited corridor-level integration where agencies manage arterial traffic signals to improve safety of expressway ramps and mainlines and where different system operators post messages about conditions on other corridor roadways, more progress is necessary to meet regional goals for system reliability. Future mainstream adoption of connected vehicle technology, which can allow vehicles to communicate with one another as well as with traffic signals, TMCs, and other infrastructure can amplify the effectiveness of investments in modernized infrastructure. For example, the Jane Addams Memorial Tollway (I-90) has been reconstructed to include flexible infrastructure to accommodate new “smart” features, such as roadway cameras that enhance the Tollway’s ability to respond to traffic and weather incidents and enable transit to bypass incidents using the flex lane.

The transit system can also benefit from adopting innovative technologies and enhancing existing systems. Even in the highest ridership corridors, transit only captures a fraction of the potential travel market. Reevaluating these corridors may reveal opportunities to improve services and employ some of the technologies developed by private sector mobility services. Traffic management centers can provide operators with more timely and accurate performance data to better manage their systems. Sensors, GPS tracking capabilities, and communications infrastructure being implemented by Metra as part of Positive Train Control not only improve system safety but also offer additional opportunities to collect and share real-time travel information about the system. Bus routes on roads with transit signal priority (TSP) can make transit trips faster and more reliable than passenger vehicle trips. Real-time information about arrivals and departures, travel times, and incidents is already helping bus and train passengers to plan more reliable commutes via transit or multiple modes. While continuing to evolve, these technologies offer opportunities for transit agencies to collect and analyze important new information to improve passengers’ experiences. See the Make transit more competitive

---

recommendation for more information.

The pace and disruptive nature of technological change make it difficult to predict just how transportation will evolve by 2050. Much discussion of technology’s potential impacts generally revolves around two divergent outcomes. In the first, personal ownership of AVs would result in more inefficient land development, less public transit use, and increased traffic from low-occupancy or even unoccupied vehicles. In the second, more preferable future, fleets of shared vehicles would reduce individual car ownership, facilitate more dense, walkable development patterns, and increase transit ridership, walking, and biking. In both cases, technology could enable safer, more independent mobility for residents throughout the region, yet also could exacerbate existing disparities. The most likely outcome is a mix of these impacts that vary across the U.S., highly dependent on how much AVs cost, how quickly they predominate among vehicles, and how local policy makers address these converging challenges. Our region can make decisions and policies now to smooth the transition to a more automated fleet while affirmatively guiding the future impacts of AVs to meet regional goals. These policies also often meet existing goals for the system, such as implementing managed lanes to increase travel choices. With strategic investments and policy interventions, our leaders must shape the development of emerging technologies and better position the Chicago region to achieve economic vitality and improved quality of life for all. Such decisions about investments and policies should be coordinated across many levels of government with participation by residents, civic leaders, and the private sector, always keeping in mind that technology should be deployed in service of regional goals.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show potential land use and transportation impacts of autonomous vehicles.]

Technologies discussed in this recommendation have the potential to generate more data on mobility than ever before. Increased real-time transportation data can provide a deeper understanding of travel behavior and help agencies make informed decisions about investments, policies, and operations. The private sector, particularly goods movement companies and TNCs, has already benefited from such data to improve operations, decrease delivery/travel times, and create new services, but they often resist sharing data with public agencies or participating in open data portals, citing privacy and competitive concerns. Even data systems within an individual public agency may be fragmented and difficult to use, hampering staff from fully harnessing operational data for decision making. Infrastructure, processing power, consistent systems, and extensive coordination will be required to make the best use of emerging technology and data resources.

*The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.*
**Coordinate traffic operations region-wide**

Coordinated traffic operations involve collaboration between transportation agencies to collect data, monitor and adjust equipment, detect traffic incidents, reroute travelers, and dispatch resources to address problems on the region’s roadways. Traffic management centers are the hub of this coordination. The region’s largest TMCs are the Tollway Traffic and Incident Management System and IDOT’s ComCenter. Counties and cities in the region operate TMCs at various scales and with varying degrees of coordination.

The ability for traffic signals to adapt to changing conditions is a key component of coordinated operations, but many of the region’s signals currently operate on fixed timing plans. These signals are not only unable to adapt in real time to changing conditions, but they also may have timing plans that are out of date, created years or even decades ago when traffic volumes were significantly different from current and anticipated conditions. Updating these older signals in areas with high current bus ridership or planned high-frequency bus service should be a high priority to make them compatible with future TSP implementation. The RTA is leading the Regional Transit Signal Priority Implementation Program, which has identified 13 transit corridors spanning about 100 miles of roadway and 400 signalized intersections as strategic corridors for TSP implementation.  

*CMAP, IDOT, and local agencies* should work toward implementing a regional, multijurisdictional traffic management center, either virtual or traditional.  

*IDOT, the Tollway, and local agencies* should enhance communication and coordination to improve work zone management.  

*Highway and transit agencies* should continue to share operational information and expand coordination opportunities.  

*CMAP* should work with stakeholders to develop a regional communications master plan and update the regional ITS architecture.  

*CMAP and partner agencies* should establish a program to modernize traffic signals, including the provision of TSP.  

*Highway agencies* should review traffic signal policies, ensure up-to-date signal timing plans to minimize delay and crashes, and implement adaptive signal timing where appropriate.

---


CMAP should continue to maintain its highway traffic signal inventory.

CMAP should work with transportation agencies to fund and execute planning activities that work toward implementing active expressway management, active arterial management, and integrated corridor management.

**Continue to plan for system modernization while making progress toward a state of good repair**

The region should take advantage of every opportunity to modernize, improve, and enhance our transportation system while bringing it into a state of good repair. If paired with maintenance activities, these improvements can be accomplished at lower cost than if they were standalone projects. Although transportation agencies do regularly undertake such modernization efforts in conjunction with required maintenance, they could accomplish even more with additional advance planning. To cite several examples, reconstruction of a roadway can enable the laying of communications fiber. Requiring the installation of empty communications conduit when projects are built would decrease the cost of adding fiber in the future. Reconstruction projects also present an opportunity to upgrade infrastructure for utilities and stormwater and to implement complete streets projects. Routine rehabilitation of transit stations is also an opportunity to install real-time passenger information technology and to upgrade operational communications technology. Identifying all potential synergies and efficiencies between modernization and state of good repair will require additional communication across departments within agencies.

*Transportation agencies* should expand coordination of future communication infrastructure, incorporating features during normal roadwork to make future enhancements easier and cheaper.

*Transportation agencies* should discuss modernization opportunities while developing projects.

**Make the collection, sharing, and analysis of public and private sector transportation data a regional priority**

To make sound decisions, the region’s transportation agencies require data from all elements of the network, whether public or private. This is especially important to better understand non-motorized, freight, and TNC travel, each of which has been difficult to measure and analyze due to inadequate data. More frequent and detailed data on pedestrian and cyclist behavior could become available as sensing technology is increasingly deployed in public rights of way, and as private and public agencies analyze aggregated data from mobile devices and activity tracking apps. This might enable more efficient and accurate counts of cyclists and pedestrians as well as more complete inventories of the infrastructure they use. The region has greatly advanced its understanding of truck travel through the use of new data sources and monitoring systems, but similar information on rail movements -- particularly private systems -- is limited.
To understand rail performance, CMAP has made progress in collecting new data in recent years, but this data is aggregated to a high level that does not allow evaluation of potential rail projects. For tax dollars to be invested in private projects, private rail operators must demonstrate sufficient public benefits. Only with appropriate data from the freight rail industry -- including speeds, volumes, and reliability of freight trains along specific corridors and at key rail-rail crossings -- can this all-important analysis be conducted. Existing and emerging private providers have broad impacts on the transportation network, impacts that need to be part of investment decisions. Local governments and transit agencies should work with TNCs and other private transportation providers to obtain the data necessary to make sound decisions. With full respect for the right of private companies for their sensitive data to be kept secure, public decision makers have the obligation to assess whether limited taxpayer dollars are being invested wisely and to examine the public benefits and costs of these services’ use of the public right of way. With a long record of safeguarding similarly sensitive data, CMAP will continue to play a major role in aggregating, normalizing, and sharing data as appropriate with regional stakeholders.

Public agencies also need to invest in their own data analysis, storage, and sharing capabilities. Such agencies, particularly lower capacity ones, might have difficulty collecting and managing transportation data as it increases in volume and complexity. Commercial services are increasingly essential for data collection, analysis, and visualization, reducing public agencies’ dependence on in-house expertise and potentially reducing costs, yet increasing their dependency on third-party tools and data providers. Public agencies should have the right to use, retain, and -- when appropriate -- share data collected by private sector sources on the behalf of public agencies or as a result of a public-private partnership. In turn, the public sector has its own valuable datasets, including system performance, conditions, and incidents. The public sector must carefully navigate competing mandates to provide open access to government data and protect the privacy of residents. This strategy also appears in the Governance chapter under the recommendation to Base investment decisions on data and performance.

CMAP should continue to play a leadership role in promoting responsible and regionally consistent data stewardship collection, analysis, and sharing among public sector partners including the City of Chicago, RTA, transit agencies, counties, and municipalities.

The public sector should identify ways to leverage provision of more detailed data and analysis to private companies while carefully protecting riders’ privacy.

Private sector partners should share data that aids planning for transit, the road network, and emerging mobility services.
Municipalities and transportation agencies should contractually require data sharing as a condition for private companies’ access to public infrastructure (roadways, loading areas, etc.) or to subsidies.

CMAP and partners should improve region-wide data on bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and travel patterns.

Private rail partners should provide substantive documentation of any data supporting the public benefits of CREATE projects and allowing assessment of potential rail improvements that could benefit passenger movements.

**Ensure that emerging transportation technologies support inclusive growth**

Without thoughtful implementation, emerging transportation technologies may be cost-prohibitive for lower income households, people with disabilities, and municipalities with fewer fiscal resources. On the other hand, in combination with continued support for public transit, technology for shared mobility and automated vehicles has the potential to enhance mobility for lower income residents and to improve access to jobs, healthcare, and other essential destinations for lower income communities. Making inclusive growth a cornerstone from the very outset of policy development for emerging technologies can help leverage them to reduce rather than increase inequities of access to transportation.

Communities with limited resources will be less able to anticipate and respond to changing land use and traffic patterns caused by evolving transportation technologies. They may also be less able to purchase sensor, communication, and data processing equipment that could allow them to reap the benefits of new technology. Unless transportation implementers consciously include disabled users and low income communities in pilot projects and early implementation phases, technologies are unlikely to meet these communities’ needs. For example, without careful planning, AVs could exacerbate an existing trend: Congestion patterns lead to increased vehicle speeds in disinvested communities on roads that were originally designed to accommodate higher volumes of slower vehicles, now making them increasingly difficult and dangerous for bicyclists and pedestrians to navigate. Failure to ensure vehicle accessibility at the outset can prevent communities with the most to gain in improved mobility and independence from using new services, and require companies to make costly retrofits. At the same time, innovative technologies could reduce the need for car ownership, decrease household and municipal transportation expenses, attract new investment to disinvested areas with transit access, and provide a wider range of transportation options for residents of economically disconnected communities.

CMAP should help communities identify the potential benefits and pitfalls of new technologies with regard to economic competitiveness, affordable mobility, accessibility, and local quality of life.
RTA and CMAP should develop guidance to ensure that any partnerships with private mobility services provide clear public benefits and include protections for low income communities against sudden changes in the private market.

CMAP should play a leadership role to identify deficiencies and gaps in the transportation network for economically disconnected communities, and work with communities, riders, and public transit agency and private sector partners to identify solutions.

IDOT, counties, and other transportation agencies should ensure that disinvested communities are not adversely impacted by or excluded from improvements intended to facilitate new transportation options.

**Establish pricing and regulatory frameworks that positively shape the impacts of autonomous vehicles and other technologies on infrastructure and land use**

The impacts of TNCs, autonomous vehicles, and other emerging technologies will depend on the cost and convenience of low- and zero-occupancy vehicle travel for individuals and commercial services. The long-term direction of these advancements could provide considerable benefits to individuals, but they could also incur great public cost. On one hand, decline of car ownership in dense urban neighborhoods might allow substantial reallocation of road space for transit, pedestrians, and cyclists, yet some areas could see increased demand for automobile-oriented development, declines in transit ridership and fare revenue, and increased congestion, unreliability, and maintenance needs on the region’s roadways. Many decisions about safety and design standards will be made at the federal level, but regional and local agencies will need to implement policies to manage the public costs of low- or zero-occupancy vehicle travel. New pricing strategies can support the competitiveness of high capacity public transit, ensure funding is available for infrastructure and operational maintenance and modernization, and temper the demand for low occupancy vehicle use.

CMAP and its partners can influence the deployment of emerging technologies through strategic and coordinated policies. The region should avoid prohibiting or mandating specific technologies and focus on integrating new technologies into existing transportation systems and services in ways that leverage the new services’ strengths and help achieve reinvestment in existing communities, inclusive economic growth, congestion management, and emissions reduction. Appropriate policies may vary across the region depending on existing development patterns and local priorities. Communities with congested, transit-rich, or pedestrian-oriented corridors may consider expanding the use of geofencing, designated dropoff areas, and local fees to support transportation infrastructure. Communities across the region will need information to understand impacts and identify appropriate policy interventions. Over the long term, the region must identify and implement pricing and infrastructure strategies to ensure that AVs and other emerging technologies provide equitable and sustainable benefits.
IDOT should implement managed lanes on the region’s expressways and explore other pricing policies that could manage increased travel caused by autonomous vehicles.

CMAP should convene and coordinate regional stakeholders to engage in national and state-level conversations about autonomous and connected vehicle policy and industry standards.

CMAP, RTA, and transit agencies should work with communities to establish policies for AVs, TNCs, and other emerging technologies that support local land use, development, and livability goals.

Adapt the street and sidewalk to emerging developments in transportation
Urban neighborhoods, suburban downtowns, and commercial corridors must serve many types of travel and uses, from pedestrians to trucks and from mom-and-pop stores to mixed-use developments. These interactions are becoming more complex due to online shopping and associated deliveries, increased biking and walking, and mobility innovations like ride hailing companies and dockless bikeshare. Transit vehicles, loading zones, bicycles, and parking all compete for dedicated right of way on the street network. Without careful planning, unintentional conflicts can arise on the street network and on the sidewalk, such as when street furniture or bike parking makes it harder for someone with disabilities to navigate or for people to access bus stops.

Accommodating such varied needs in limited urban space is complex, but many solutions exist. Given the fast pace of change in mobility today, CMAP and partners can play a role in monitoring changes and establishing best practices for design, pricing, and shared uses. CMAP should work with communities to pilot new approaches and establish strategies to support public transit and preserve vibrant, equitable, accessible, and walkable communities. This strategy also appears in the Community chapter under the recommendation to Support development of compact, walkable communities.

Identify public investments that could catalyze emerging technologies
Because it is impossible to predict exactly which technologies will be in use by 2050, our core investments must be flexible enough to enable a wide range of potential outcomes. For example, most innovative transportation technologies, from real-time traffic information to automated vehicles, will rely on a robust communications network. No region-wide inventory of transportation-related fiber-optic cable location and condition currently exists, which makes planning for expansion opportunities more difficult. Building out a regional communications infrastructure network should be a high priority for the region’s many transportation implementers. Also, pilot testing of automated and connected vehicle infrastructure might provide opportunities to promote adoption of these technologies.
Due to increasingly stringent fuel economy standards, vehicles that use conventional gasoline will become more efficient, and more than a quarter of cars and light duty trucks could be powered by electricity and other alternative fuels by 2050.\textsuperscript{257} Passenger cars are most likely to be electrified, with a dramatic increase in plug-in and hybrid electric vehicle market share projected by 2050. Transit agencies and local governments are investing in electric vehicles and replacing their fleet with more energy efficient vehicles. Yet, improved charging infrastructure is needed to increase adoption rates of electric vehicles.

Identifying these opportunities will require new tools and analytical techniques as well as effort from the public and private sectors to collaborate on transparently providing data and information. Implementers will also need to better understand the long-term financial implications of technology investments. For example, the many transportation agencies in the region who implement and maintain ITS equipment could benefit from a shared understanding and vision for the transition to connected vehicles. CMAP’s current role in convening the Advanced Technology Task Force positions the agency well to pursue analysis of connected vehicle opportunities in the Chicago region and coordinate development of a regional vision for AVs.

CMAP, IDOT, and civic organizations should continue to coordinate with partners in academia and public agencies to develop analytical tools and track the impact of emerging technologies.

Federal government, CMAP, transit agencies, or IDOT should conduct an analysis of places where deployment of technologies could provide the greatest benefit to the region.

RTA, IDOT, CMAP, and other programming agencies should fund or host pilot projects of technologies that attempt to address regional transportation issues.

CMAP should continue to fund fleet replacement, such as electric buses and charging stations, through CMAQ.

Transportation agencies should adopt electric vehicles and other innovative emission reduction technologies and plan for integration of solar and charging stations into new projects.

Local governments should review development ordinances to identify ways to promote electric vehicle infrastructure in the transportation system.

The region’s transportation agencies and CMAP should closely track and report back on other pilots, including successes, failures, lessons learned, and evaluation of results against our regional goals.

Make transit more competitive

Our transit system is one of metropolitan Chicago’s most critical assets, improving air quality, allowing travelers to avoid congested highways, and connecting people to jobs, education, entertainment, and other amenities. Transit access is especially crucial for those who cannot drive or lack access to a car. In addition, a robust transit network is an increasingly valuable asset that helps the region compete nationally for new businesses and residents. The region’s long-established transit network is extensive: Together, the CTA, Metra, and Pace provide more than two million trips each weekday at a cost per rider that is among the nation’s lowest.258 However, the system also faces numerous challenges, including lack of funding, minimal supportive land use changes, demographic shifts, aging infrastructure, and competition from emerging private transportation services.

In areas with rising transit use, growth is occurring at times and locations that strain local capacity. Ridership has boomed on CTA and Metra stations serving Chicago’s North Side and at the outermost stations of many Metra lines.259 Since 2000, weekend ridership has grown faster than weekdays on all modes of transit.260 Suburban areas with limited transit access, particularly in Cook County, have seen more population and employment growth than the rest of the region. Much of this growth is in demographic categories that tend to have higher rates of transit use, including groups that do not own cars or own only one car, low income residents, Asians, blacks, and younger adults.261 Older adults are growing in number: While less likely to ride transit than younger demographic groups, they nevertheless become more dependent on being driven to destinations as they age. ADA paratransit service in our region has grown dramatically in response to intensifying demand and likely will continue to grow as the population ages.262 Paratransit provides a critical mobility link for more than four million trips per year taken by people who cannot use a fixed-route service. However, paratransit can be 10 times more expensive to provide than fixed-route service, while statute limits fares to double those of fixed-route fares. This preserves affordability but may degrade the long-term sustainability of these services.

At the same time, population and employment have declined substantially along the southern branch of CTA’s Red and Green Lines as well as on the Metra Electric and Rock Island lines,
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triggering ridership declines. Bus ridership has also been in decline throughout the region and nation. Shifts in transit ridership create challenges for the transit agencies, both in terms of providing sufficient capacity where demand is burgeoning and in allocating appropriate service levels to areas where ridership is declining, despite their residents’ reliance on transit.

The transit agencies are experiencing a capital funding shortage, with mounting state-of-good-repair and modernization needs. While the transit agencies are committed to operating safely, 31 percent of the transit system is not in a state of good repair -- a percentage projected to grow without significant increases in capital funding. Current funding levels often force the agencies to make difficult choices in the face of annual budget limitations, including to allow degradation of some assets’ condition. While transit operators have successfully and efficiently maintained basic service levels in this environment for some time, the transit system’s condition is degrading as the repair backlog grows, posing a long-term threat to service quality and reliability.

Transit agencies are also facing increased competition for riders from emerging private sector mobility providers, particularly TNCs like Uber and Lyft that provide on-demand, door-to-door services at prices and speeds that make them attractive alternatives to transit. The mobility innovations could make it easier for people to be less dependent on owning and driving their own cars and help solve the challenge of providing transportation options in less dense suburban areas that are currently difficult and expensive to serve with traditional transit. Transit agencies are already working to incorporate these technologies into their services. However, if left unchecked, private sector mobility services could also contribute to increased congestion, slower bus speeds, declines in transit ridership, and decreased service quality. Ensuring the continued success of the region’s mass transit network is in the best interest of private mobility providers, whose services are most widely used where people own fewer cars and rely on multimodal transportation options.

The prior comprehensive regional plan, GO TO 2040, set an aggressive goal of doubling transit ridership, and ON TO 2050 recommits to this goal. Because growth in transit ridership requires implementation by many stakeholders across many issues -- such as increasing funding for the transit system, intensifying development of housing and employment near bus and rail transit, and appropriately pricing roads and parking -- this indicator is a bellwether for the success of many ON TO 2050 recommendations. However, the region is not currently on track to achieve its transit ridership goal. Reaching it will require sustained investment in the transit system that substantively exceed today’s levels, and coordinated action by a wide range of stakeholders.

---
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To be competitive, transit must provide fast, frequent, reliable, and affordable service that connects people to important destinations. Surveys of the region’s riders and a growing body of transportation research show that the basics of transit service -- speed, frequency, reliability -- are the most important factors in promoting ridership and customer satisfaction.\(^{265,266}\) This finding offers clear focus for the limited dollars available to improve the region’s transit system. Investments that improve the speed and reliability of bus transit, such as dedicated lanes and TSP, are particularly cost-effective ways to improve transit service. On the rail system, addressing bottlenecks and capacity constraints on high ridership routes can improve reliability and allow more frequent service. ON TO 2050 sets targets for additional miles of roadway and number of traffic signals with transit priority [link to indicator].

To improve service and increase ridership requires regional action by not just the transit agencies, but also municipalities, highway agencies, and funding authorities. Transit agencies cannot sustain fast, frequent, reliable service without accompanying supportive land use


changes. Effective transit service results from a combination of strategic investment in transit service and coordinated land use planning. Locating jobs and residences near transit has a powerful positive effect on ridership. CMAP analysis shows that taking steps to increase employment density near transit stations and pricing parking would have more impact on ridership compared to many other strategies for capital investment and service expansion.\footnote{Regional Transportation Authority, “Invest in Transit: The 2018-2023 Regional Transit Strategic Plan,” January 2018, \url{http://www.rtachicago.org/index.php/plans-programs/regional-transit-strategic-plan.html}.}

The combination of historical development patterns and continued suburbanization of housing and employment in recent decades have created a mismatch between locations with high transit availability and those with high employment densities. As shown below, many suburban areas act as employment nodes but may have limited transit. Many neighborhoods with high concentrations of low income residents have strong access to transit but may have few jobs nearby. This dynamic limits economic opportunity for people who depend on transit to get to work and must access jobs outside of the region’s core.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: A Transit availability Local Strategy Map interactive feature will highlight the relationship of transit availability and employment density in the region.]
Places with high transit availability but low population and employment density can better support transit through targeted infill development. In some cases, these areas have experienced long-term job and population losses. (See the Invest in disinvested areas recommendation in the Community chapter for relevant strategies.) Places with high transit availability, high density, and transit oriented design and placement of buildings are good candidates for targeted investments to eliminate bottlenecks and improve the speed and reliability of bus transit. Employment centers with limited transit availability should be evaluated for potential additional services, especially services that connect these centers with economically disconnected communities, in concert with investment in walkable streets and development patterns.

**Diversify and increase transit funding sources**

The region’s transit system faces a $19 billion backlog simply to reach a state of good repair. If supported by diverse and sustainable sources of state, federal, and local funding, transit agencies would be empowered to improve the system’s state of good repair, modernize and enhance the system, support low income riders, and confidently move forward with high-priority projects. The need to increase revenue for the transportation system more broadly is central to the financial recommendations of ON TO 2050. Unless structured carefully, many revenue options under consideration have the potential to provide significantly more revenue for roads than transit. New revenues should provide substantive benefit for the transit system and help the region achieve a well-integrated multimodal system. For example, automobile user fees should be used flexibly to improve the region’s transit, bicycle, and pedestrian infrastructure. See the Fully fund the region’s transportation system recommendation in the Mobility chapter for more information.

**Invest in and protect transit’s core strengths**

The region’s transit agencies should focus limited funding on projects that build on transit’s key strengths: frequent, fast, reliable service that makes connections in areas of moderate and high density and walkability. Transit can serve these markets and trips more efficiently and effectively than any other mode. The RTA has identified six key transit markets that enable the region to build upon existing transit assets, and these markets should be the focus of coordinated planning and investment by the transit agencies, IDOT, Tollway, CMAP, counties and municipalities.

Focusing investment will mean different things for different transit modes. On the rail system, it often means addressing capacity constraints that limit the speed and frequency of trains on high ridership routes. These capacity constraints are often operational and relatively invisible to riders, such as lack of space at railyards and maintenance facilities, track junctions, and old

---

268 Regional Transportation Authority, “Invest in Transit: The 2018-2023 Regional Transit Strategic Plan.”
signal systems. Bus service can be improved quickly and at relatively low cost; replacing traffic signals and implementing TSP are cost-effective investments that can substantially speed up service on arterial routes. In key corridors with high ridership or plans for supportive land use, the combination of TSP, dedicated right of way, and improved boarding strategies can substantially reduce travel times. The region should move forward on implementing planned ART routes and planning future bus system improvements.

Given transit’s importance as the backbone of a multimodal transportation system, it is also important to implement policies that help bolster transit’s core strengths and prevent degradation of transit service on high frequency corridors through dense parts of the region. These policies could include new rules or regulations on private mobility services.

_The region should move forward on implementing the highest performing planned Pace Pulse routes._

_CTAs and transportation agencies that operate the street network should work together to implement a BRT network._

_CTAs and Metra should prioritize addressing capacity constraints on high ridership rail lines and planning for longer term capacity increases to better serve areas of high potential within the existing rail network._

_CMAP, RTA, and transit agencies should work with communities to establish policies for AVs, TNCs, and other emerging technologies that support and complement the public transit system._

**Ensure equitable transit access**

Focusing only on the most productive elements of the transit system would likely leave many low income riders behind. Providing equal, affordable, accessible service to most people -- particularly those who are low income, car-less, or with limited mobility -- has historically been integral to public transit’s mission. According to CMAP research, lower income residents and people of color have longer-than-average commutes, and despite typically living in areas with transit access, they often travel to jobs in places or at times with limited bus or rail service. Their commutes often require transfers between multiple modes or service providers, costing lower income commuters more time and money. These residents may also be unable to afford up-front expenses for weekly or monthly passes that would save them money over the long run. Transit agencies face difficult tradeoffs in ensuring efficient, reliable, affordable service while serving a diverse set of transit markets, particularly in an environment of declining revenue. The region must balance investments in growing areas where limited transit capacity

isn’t meeting increased demand versus areas where population has been declining but residents will continue to rely heavily on transit. Reallocating scarce resources will require thoughtful, transparent, ongoing study. It is critical that these decisions include substantial community involvement and prioritize increasing affordable mobility options for low income residents, people with disabilities, and those without access to private vehicles.

All transit agencies are required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act to consider the impact of service and fare changes on minority populations and avoid disparate impacts based on race, color, or national origin. The region’s transit agencies are committed to delivering equitable and accessible transportation services. This includes making transit stations and vehicles more physically accessible to people with disabilities, as well as improving communications systems to make service navigable for those with visual and hearing impairments. All buses in the region are ADA accessible, although the immediate surroundings of many bus stops in the region are not. Likewise, all trains are accessible, but many train stations are not. The CTA is committed to making all rail stations accessible over the next 20 years as part of its All Stations Accessibility Program, but needs additional funding to achieve this goal. While many local governments have individual efforts, our region needs a coordinated effort to improve accessibility near all transit services. Policies to support equitable access must be complemented by strategies to promote coordination across public agencies, reinvestment in existing communities, and production of affordable housing near transit.²⁷⁰

Transit agencies should continue to make progress toward universal accessibility of stations.

Municipalities and counties should invest in accessible sidewalks and crossings that connect rail and bus stations to nearby destinations.

Transit agencies should continue to balance tradeoffs between achieving service efficiency and providing high quality service to lower income areas.

Transit agencies should explore and pilot new fare strategies such as fare capping or low-income fares that reduce fare burden on lower income populations and social service providers.

Plan for transit-supportive land uses

The region cannot meet its transit ridership goals without supportive development near bus and rail. Linking transit, housing, and land use was a focus of GO TO 2040 and continues to be an important part of ON TO 2050. Planning for the complex, interrelated nature of these issues can bring many quality of life and economic benefits to the region. Yet, as highlighted in the ON

²⁷⁰ Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “Transit Trends.”
TO 2050 Infill and TOD snapshot report, such linkages are only being created sporadically, which limit the potential positive benefits to bus and rail transit ridership.\textsuperscript{271} As CMAP identified in the Transit Ridership Growth Study, the region is not on track to meet the transit use goals set in GO TO 2040. Placing housing near transit is critical, but emerging research shows that placing employment near transit may have an even stronger impact on the success of transit. Planning for bus and rail transit-supportive land uses must also involve enhancing pedestrian and bike connections to transit, thereby making it easier and safer for employees and residents near transit corridors to walk or bike to rail or bus stations. Pace has established transit supportive guidelines focused on non-rail transit in suburban communities. This strategy also appears in the Community chapter under the recommendation to Support development of compact, walkable communities.

\textit{Municipalities and counties} should update plans, zoning codes, and development regulations to require greater densities and mixed uses near rail stations and along high-priority bus corridors with a preference toward employment rich land uses.

\textit{Roadway agencies and municipalities} should require developers to consult with transit agencies to verify that proposed developments do not negatively affect existing or planned transit service.

\textit{Municipalities and counties} should prioritize capital projects that enhance pedestrian and bicycle access to rail and bus service.

\textit{Transit agencies} should strategically consider new transit investments, including bus and rail stops, which further the planning and development work of municipalities and counties.

\textit{CMAP and partners} should offer additional consideration when allocating federal funding sources such as CMAQ, TAP, and STP for jurisdictions that actively plan for densities to support transit service.

\textbf{Actively manage parking}

The amount and location of parking influences the character, form, function and flow of our communities. Too much or poorly designed parking can make walking and bicycling unpleasant and unsafe, add to flooding and pollution problems, make housing more expensive, and reduce transit use. At the same time, in some places, parking is necessary to support local businesses. Planning for parking needs and pricing parking to manage demand can support businesses, raise local revenues, and help create compact, walkable communities. Configuring parking appropriately can promote walkability and access. All day parking for employees,

commuters, or residents can compete with the short turnaround spaces needed for many retail, restaurants, and services. Communities may choose to reconfigure existing parking to meet these varying needs.

Recognizing the importance of parking management, CMAP developed a *Parking Strategies to Support Livable Communities Toolkit* that encourages communities to consider a wider array of solutions than just adding more parking. Valuable interventions include pricing on-street parking to manage demand in dense areas, reducing or eliminating minimum parking requirements, and setting maximum parking limitations in some locations. Through the LTA program, CMAP has also helped Berwyn, Hinsdale, and Wicker Park-Bucktown develop plans to identify and implement the right parking management practices for their neighborhoods. This strategy also appears in the *Community* chapter under the recommendation to *Support development of compact, walkable communities*.

*Local governments* should reduce or eliminate minimum parking requirements, or set maximum parking limitations in some locations, such as near transit.

*Local governments* should price on-street parking to manage demand in dense areas.

CMAP should monitor the implementation of active parking management approaches around the region to understand trends, approaches, and outcomes.

*Local governments, CMAP, and Metra* should analyze current and future parking supply and demand at rail transit stations to evaluate the potential for alternative land uses and parking allotments to enable transit oriented development (TOD).

**Road agencies should prioritize improving transit service**

Significant improvements to bus service can be achieved with relatively small capital expense, presenting the most cost-effective way to expand the region’s transit system, and make the most efficient use of limited space on the region’s congested roadways. However, these improvements require the active engagement of roadway agencies, including IDOT, county transportation agencies, and municipal transportation departments. While these agencies are sometimes cautious to adopt transit oriented roadway improvements such as queue jumps, TSP, and dedicated transit space on roadways, such strategies have proven successful in other regions. Pilots and demonstration projects are critical for the region to educate roadway agencies about the opportunities for improving transit. Transit and highway agencies can also build on the success of Pace expressway service on I-55, I-94, and I-90 to offer additional routes and continue to provide innovative bus service options.

---

Road agencies should place more emphasis on investments that improve transit service, including TSP, queue jumps, and dedicated expressway and arterial right of way for transit vehicles.

IDOT, working with municipalities and transit agencies should review and revise its design manuals and permitting processes to facilitate pedestrian, transit, and bicycle improvements wherever possible.

Road agencies should involve transit agencies in early stages of project planning.

Road agencies should include design treatments in expressway and arterial projects to better accommodate transit users and make service faster and more reliable.

IDOT and the Tollway should identify ways to leverage toll revenue to pursue multimodal transportation system goals such as providing high-speed, high-reliability transit service in expressway corridors.

**Make further progress in fare and service coordination**

Fare coordination has improved in recent years through the Ventra system, which provides a platform for additional collaboration. With the ongoing expansion to Divvy and ADA paratransit, Ventra is taking an important step beyond core transit services. More work can and should be done to further technological and fare integration across agencies, while remaining accessible to the unbanked and others of limited means.

Transit agencies should leverage the capabilities of Ventra, continuing to provide and improve seamless payment for multiple transit providers and other modes such as bike sharing.

Transit agencies should coordinate transfers, payment, and potentially fares between their services and other modes of transportation.

Transit agencies should continue to review and revise overlapping service.

**Improve the effectiveness and accessibility of demand response services**

Pace oversees several demand response or “on-demand” programs, including paratransit service, which is available to eligible riders whose disability or health conditions prevent them from using fixed-route services; Dial-a-Ride, which is often but not always limited based on a person’s abilities, age, and/or income; Call-n-Ride, which is typically provided within a certain geography, such as a township or municipality; and Vanpool and rideshare programs that facilitate carpooling among people who have similar travel patterns and work hours. Counties, municipalities, townships, employers, healthcare providers, and developers also sometimes provide forms of demand response transportation for residents or clients. While ridership data
is limited for these services, ADA paratransit use in our region has grown dramatically since 2000. Demand for all of these services is likely to continue to increase as the population ages. As the region’s population continues to age, improving demand response, along with transit service overall, will become increasingly critical.

Despite increasing use, this complex, overlapping network of services can be difficult for users to navigate and presents unique financial challenges for operators. Containing service areas within municipal, township, or county borders does not align with how people travel in a metropolitan area. Often, weekend, early-morning (before 9:00 a.m.), and evening (after 6:00 p.m.) services are minimal or nonexistent. Some services are limited to specific trip purposes or destinations and may not accommodate same-day travel requests. Many of these services are funded by local communities, and declining state and federal resources make these already expensive programs difficult to sustain.

New transportation technologies offer the increased ability to provide flexible, accessible on-demand options for trips that are difficult to serve by traditional fixed-route transit. The McHenry County Division of Transportation has taken a leadership role in recent years by leading the consolidation of various dial-a-ride services into a single service, called MCRide, which not only serves persons with limited mobility but also provides on-demand transportation in an area with limited fixed-truck route transit services.

The region should identify new funding sources for demand response transit service.

Transit agencies, local communities, and the private sector should work together to explore new ways to provide targeted, flexible and/or on-demand service in EDAs.

The RTA, Pace and counties should continue to help coordinate and, as appropriate, consolidate demand response services within the region.

Maintain the region’s status as North America’s freight hub

By almost any measure, metropolitan Chicago is the nation’s premier freight hub. Approximately 25 percent of all freight trains and 50 percent of all intermodal trains in the U.S. pass through metropolitan Chicago, which serves as the continent’s main interchange point between western and eastern railroads. Trucks account for about one in seven vehicles on the urban interstate highways in Illinois, and some facilities in metropolitan Chicago carry over 30,000 trucks each day. The region is also home to one of the nation’s largest and fastest-growing air-cargo hubs and has access to both the Great Lakes and Mississippi River maritime systems. Our region is one of the nation’s largest industrial markets, with approximately 1.1 billion square feet of industrial development supporting freight and manufacturing activity. Industries that rely on the frequent shipment of goods -- manufacturing, construction, retail trade, and wholesale trade -- collectively represent over one-quarter of all jobs in the region and add over $158 billion per year to the regional economy. Yet freight transportation is changing.
Shortened supply chains and increased online shopping are changing national and local goods movement strategies. The region must adapt to these changes while protecting quality of life and limiting public costs.

This massive concentration of freight activity in northeastern Illinois provides a competitive advantage that helps to drive the regional economy. A robust freight network also ensures that residents and businesses get the goods they need in a timely manner. However, freight activity raises significant infrastructure challenges, including congestion on road and rail networks, as well as regulatory challenges related to truck operations and local land uses. Together, these challenges affect communities’ quality of life. For example, congestion results in increased emissions, affecting local air quality and health for local communities. CMAP estimates that weekday motorist delay at the region’s grade crossings costs residents $58 million annually in 2017. The ON TO 2050 target for motorist delay at highway-rail grade crossings is 6,000 hours per weekday, down from 7,511 hours in 2017 [Link to plan indicator].
With its unparalleled access to transportation facilities, the Chicago region is one of the nation’s preeminent hubs for intermodal freight -- the movement of containerized cargo via multiple transport methods such as rail, trucks, planes, and ships. Over 7.8 million freight cargo containers originated or terminated here in 2016, or nearly 16.3 million twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs), making our region the largest point of origin and termination for intermodal shipments in the U.S., outpacing other large freight hubs such as the Los Angeles, New York, and Seattle metropolitan areas. ON TO 2050 sets a target of reducing Chicago terminal carload transit time.

The growth of same-day shipping, online shopping, and faster, cost optimized supply chain management -- all enabled by new data processing and communications technology -- has pushed growth of intermodal facilities here and nationwide. But the region must find ways to support these facilities while constraining the negative impacts of increased truck and rail traffic, protecting key natural assets, and limiting the rapid, unaffordable expansion of infrastructure.

The region’s truck network supports delivery of goods, movement between local freight and manufacturing centers, connection to intermodal networks, and movement to other parts of North America. Truck traffic in the region is growing due to consumer shopping trends. While ON TO 2050’s list of Regionally Significant Projects identifies infrastructure improvements that benefit truck movement, there is great potential to improve the efficiency of the truck system through operational improvements. Implementing holistic strategies to smooth truck travel can reduce costs for shippers and address concerns such as local congestion, wear and tear, safety, and quality of life.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: Freight land use clusters and truck bottlenecks Local Strategy Maps.]

Effective planning for the region’s freight system must involve collaboration across the public and private sectors while carefully balancing economic, livability, and infrastructure funding concerns. Freight helps the region’s economy grow and helps our residents get everything from coffee to shoes; freight facilities create direct employment and also support jobs in many related industries. Freight activity also creates congestion, noise, safety, and air quality concerns. While the region’s communities have often actively courted new freight development, the scale and wages of resulting jobs have not always met expectations. Although the region’s counties and transportation stakeholders have recently come together to improve truck permitting, they must pursue more collaborative action on funding, policy, and project development to truly support our freight network. Existing partnerships, like the Chicago Region Environmental and Transportation Efficiency program (CREATE), have made substantive progress, but renewed efforts are needed to fully realize public benefits.

The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.
**Invest strategically in the freight network**

The region’s status as a national freight hub with an extensive existing network requires coordinated investment. CREATE is a public-private partnership between freight railroads, U.S. DOT, IDOT, the City of Chicago, Cook County, Metra, and Amtrak.273 While public and private investment in CREATE has greatly improved rail movement in the region and nationwide, the effort requires continued investment with a focus on public benefits. See the recommendation **Build regionally significant projects** for more information.

In addition to freight rail projects, the region must also prioritize its roadway investments and foster new partnerships to support truck movements. Addressing the region’s truck bottlenecks provides one option to reduce truck and auto congestion. The region may also need to explore new solutions for facilities that experience a high volume of truck traffic. Any infrastructure investment should be complemented by policy shifts on routing and permitting that make full use of the existing system.

Finally, while they are not part of the surface transportation network, the region’s air and rail facilities are important nodes in the region’s and nation’s freight network. They support local development and industries, with extensive impacts on nearby road and rail movements. In many cases, the Port of Chicago and O’Hare Airport are the gateway for global commerce, so ensuring strong connections between these facilities and the rest of the region is an essential component of supporting our freight network.

*The CREATE partners* should complete the 75th St. CIP and then complete the remaining projects in the program.

*Private rail partners* should provide substantive documentation of and data supporting the public benefits of CREATE projects and continue to financially support the program.

*CMAP and highway agencies* should prioritize among the region’s rail grade crossings and direct funds for improvement, along with study of feasibility and alternatives to separation.

*CMAP and highway agencies* should address truck bottlenecks in future improvements.

*CMAP and highway agencies* should explore truck lanes, truck-only routes, and other options to aid goods movement and reduce conflicts on the region’s expressway network.

---

273 Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “CREATE program status check,” February 20, 2015, [http://cmap.is/1JCKYha](http://cmap.is/1JCKYha).
Develop a unified regional approach for freight transportation issues

Our region’s freight network depends on careful, well-funded investment to ensure economic prosperity here and nationally. Coordinating regional action to obtain and prioritize new federal dollars for freight infrastructure is especially critical. CMAP and its partners have developed a strong regional voice on freight, working to address truck permitting issues, advocating for federal funds, and building coalitions to implement major projects such as the 75th St. CIP. CMAP and its partners should continue this momentum to change federal, state, and local policies and support coordinated investment in the region’s freight network.

In 2017, CMAP’s Regional Strategic Freight Direction established a programming framework to define the best use of limited capital funds for freight. It is especially timely given the growing federal and state emphasis on freight infrastructure needs. Enacted in late 2015, the current federal transportation law, the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, provides the first-ever dedicated funding for freight improvements. This program is currently referred to by the U.S. DOT as the Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) program, and it and other competitive federal programs offer significant resources to support large, complex projects with broad impact on speeds and volumes of goods movement. Regional consensus and action have the potential to attract broader investment; the U.S. DOT looks favorably on projects with broad regional support, and by limiting the number of proposals submitted by metropolitan Chicago and Illinois, our region and state can increase the likelihood of success. Released this year, the Illinois Department of Transportation Freight Plan identifies the major bottlenecks across the state, including those in northeastern Illinois. IDOT’s recently released competitive freight program makes strides to improve performance-based evaluation of freight project applications across the state.

CMAP and partners should pursue stable and sustainable funding for the region’s freight network.

CMAP and partners should create a process to develop, coordinate, and prioritize responses to federal freight funding opportunities such as INFRA.

---


IDOT should use performance-based programming for freight formula funding sources such as the National Highway Freight Program.

**Focus on improving local and regional truck travel**

Freight has regional and local transportation, land use, and economic impacts. One clear opportunity is to improve truck routing through the region. While state law allows local governments to designate truck routes or determine preferred truck routes, many communities instead designate only where trucks cannot go.\(^{277}\) Local restrictions based on truck type, weight, and dimensions often change at jurisdictional borders, adding complexity to routes, prompting trucks to make turns and diversions to alternate routes when moving between municipalities. Drivers must individually verify each jurisdiction’s truck restrictions, as these local restrictions are not reported to a centralized public or private database. Although intended to limit noise, wear and tear, and other negative impacts of truck traffic, communities’ restrictions can in fact exacerbate such problems due to inconsistency and lack of coordination.

Working across jurisdictions can help maintain the Chicago region’s national freight stature while mitigating negative impacts and maximizing benefits for communities. Despite our region’s formidable overall freight profile, most activity tends to occur in a relatively small number of locations linked by the region-wide transportation network.\(^{278}\) Freight-intensive land uses tend to co-locate for efficiencies of shared infrastructure and workforce.\(^{279,280}\) Through their collaboration on economic growth initiatives, leaders of the seven counties in northeastern Illinois and the City of Chicago have identified truck permitting as a key opportunity for inter-jurisdictional cooperation. These regional leaders completed the Regional Truck Permitting

---

\(^{277}\) There are three primary classes of truck routes in Illinois: Class I, Class II, and Locally Preferred Truck Routes. Class I and Class II truck routes are associated with certain restrictions on the size and weight of trucks, allowing access to trucks with 53-foot trailers or containers. Class I truck routes generally consist of the expressway system, but also have the effect of permitting truck access to streets within a mile of an expressway interchange (unless otherwise restricted). Class II routes include major state highways as well as local roads that have been designated by local ordinance as a truck route. Finally, Locally Preferred Truck Routes include only truck routes administratively identified by local governments and are not considered a designated truck route; they have no effect on permitted truck size and weight. Illinois also has Class III truck routes, but the legal effect of these has been made mostly moot by recent legislation increasing legal loads to 80,000 pounds (PA 96-0034 and PA 96-0037).


Local governments should work with businesses to implement policies that improve delivery management in urban areas, including encouraging off-hours deliveries.

Local governments should take a proactive approach to designating truck routes and reevaluating truck restrictions.

IDOT should review truck route designations for state-jurisdiction highways to provide a well-developed backbone of Class I and II truck routes that local governments can incorporate into their planning efforts.

Counties and local government should coordinate oversize and overweight permitting across jurisdictions and ensure they are consistent with the state permitting process.

The state and counties should provide easier access to information on truck routing and restrictions as well as oversize and overweight permitting processes.

CMAP should study the transportation and land use impacts of emerging freight distribution strategies to develop policies, data, and best practices for addressing these impacts.

CMAP and transportation providers should collaborate with O’Hare, Midway, and the Port of Chicago to facilitate surface transportation access to and supportive land use planning around these facilities.

Mitigate the negative impacts of freight on adjacent areas, particularly economically disconnected areas

While providing broad economic benefits, freight activity can have adverse impacts on communities. Truck and rail traffic can cause noise, congestion, air quality, and other negative impacts. Trucks cause heavy wear and tear on locally maintained roads, and at-grade rail


crossings can cause delays for motorists as well as difficulty in routing emergency services. Many freight and industrial facilities also generate low returns from the property taxes and other fees that municipalities can enact, creating a gap between the cost to provide supportive infrastructure or services and the revenues generated. These cumulative factors often make freight a locally unwanted land use.

The negative impacts of freight activities are of particular concern in EDAs, which have large concentrations in major freight activity centers such as the O'Hare area, the South and West sides of Chicago, the south Cook suburbs, and the Joliet area in Will County. The close correspondence of freight activity centers and EDAs is perhaps unsurprising. The result is often lower property values for neighboring residential areas, which in turn are more affordable to low income populations. There are many potential environmental justice concerns related to goods movement. In practice, responding to these concerns should be a project- and community-specific effort that actively engages residents and responds to local needs.

**CMAP and partners** should continue to identify and provide solutions for mitigating the negative impacts of freight on adjacent development.

**CMAP, highway agencies, municipalities, and other partners** should balance quality of life concerns with economic impacts when investing in freight development and infrastructure.

**Transportation agencies** should consider additional outreach, analysis, and mitigation activities for freight-related improvements in EDAs.

**CMAP and transportation implementers** can prioritize projects that improve quality of life, such as reducing truck bottlenecks and separating at-grade rail crossings that cause high levels of delay.

**CMAP** should give additional weight in the CMAQ, TAP, and STP programs to road and rail projects that address freight-related environmental justice issues.

**CMAP and transportation funders** should continue to seek the most comprehensive air quality data available, perhaps based on observed or modeled asthma rates or other indicators of respiratory distress, for use in making transportation investment decisions.

---

**Assess the local and regional impacts of proposed major freight facilities**

Goods movement infrastructure has far-reaching impacts on other modes of transportation, development patterns, local and regional economies, local quality of life, and the environment, so proposed new facilities generate numerous planning questions. While many types of freight
development or infrastructure are being developed throughout the region, “major freight facilities” are sufficiently large to affect many jurisdictions, including developments such as large intermodal truck-rail facilities, sizeable new rail facilities, mergers and acquisitions among Class I railroads, and major new airport and seaport facilities. These facilities can generate significant amounts of truck and rail traffic, induce major real estate developments, and require significant new public investments in supportive infrastructure. Often these facilities are inaccessible even to nearby job seekers who lack access to cars and can exacerbate walkability issues in a community. While a single local, state, or federal entity may be responsible for permitting a proposed facility, proposals that affect many neighboring and overlapping jurisdictions must be evaluated for their broader impacts. The Regional Strategic Freight Direction includes principles to guide evaluation of major freight facility proposals. Although CMAP has no authority over local land use, federal decisions on railroad mergers, and similar initiatives, the agency can leverage its analytical and planning strengths to aid planning and implementation for major freight facilities.

**CMAP should analyze new freight facilities to assess their regional impacts.**

**Municipalities should collaborate with CMAP, IDOT, adjacent jurisdictions, and other partners** when reviewing the needs, benefits, and impacts of large new freight developments.

**Employers in the freight industry** should work with transit agencies and municipalities to ensure appropriate transit, bicycle, and pedestrian access for employees.

**CMAP should support municipalities** in incorporating the major freight facility principles into their planning and development decisions.

**Municipalities, IDOT, private railroads, developers, and others partners** should collaborate with affected jurisdictions to assess the needs for and impact of major freight facilities.

**A system that works better for everyone**

Improving safety, resilience, and equitable access to the transportation system has long been a focus of transportation planning. Transportation implementers have made progress in collaborating across jurisdictions to ensure better results both locally and regionally, but only through concerted, coordinated effort can we holistically improve the transportation system for all users. This includes wide ranging and interconnected issues such as bicycle and pedestrian safety, access to economic opportunity for low income and minority residents, and the adaptations necessary to respond to a changing climate. CMAP and its partners should emphasize these factors in making decisions about the transportation infrastructure that is central to economic prosperity and quality of life across all seven counties and 284
municipalities of metropolitan Chicago.

**Leverage the transportation network to promote inclusive growth**

In metropolitan Chicago, black and Hispanic residents experience persistent disparities in employment, health, educational attainment, and income. These negative outcomes are worst for black residents, who also endure longer commutes than residents of other races or ethnicities. These residents are more often transit dependent, yet many must commute to jobs located far from frequent transit service. At the same time, these same residents tend to have limited employment opportunities within their own communities. Challenges are compounded for residents with disabilities, who have an unemployment rate that is twice that of those without disabilities. A significant number of people with disabilities cite lack of transportation as a barrier to employment.²⁸³

Analysis shows that high levels of economic inequality are limiting our region’s ability to grow. Long-term regional economic prosperity requires that we address these issues and take action to increase opportunity and improve quality of life for all residents. Transportation can play a role in creating pathways to opportunity for low income communities and people of color. Working with stakeholders, CMAP has identified EDAs to focus planning efforts and policy recommendations. Many residents of EDAs have limited options for transportation that would efficiently connect them to economic and other opportunities. This is particularly true for residents living in EDAs in the city of Chicago, where access to transit options does not always ensure access to jobs within a reasonable travel time. CMAP research shows that just 9 percent of residents in South and West side Chicago EDAs are employed nearby, compared to the economically connected areas of the city where 72 percent of residents live near their jobs.²⁸⁴

Low income residents in the Chicago region use all modes of transportation to get around and are more likely to use active modes of transportation to get around than higher income residents. It is especially important to ensure equitable access to safe pedestrian and bicycle pedestrian facilities for low income residents.

---


²⁸⁴ Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “Travel Patterns in Economically Disconnected Area Clusters.”
Meaningful progress toward achieving increased access to opportunity can only happen with intentional coordination among public and private actors to leverage technology, improve outreach and engagement, and direct transportation investments where they can have needed impacts.

The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.

**Increase authentic, responsive engagement of underrepresented communities in planning and development**

The state of the practice for outreach and engagement in transportation planning and programming processes has advanced significantly beyond 30-day public comment periods, one-time public hearings held in government offices, and public notices posted only in newspapers and on public bulletin boards. Technology has enabled new pathways for residents to connect with the people responsible for the transportation system, but many people continue to experience barriers to productively engaging with the public planning processes.

The demographics of those engaged in planning processes may not necessarily reflect the demographics of the affected community; often low income residents have work schedules that
make participation in traditional planning processes difficult. Also, low income communities, people of color, and immigrants have valid and historic reasons to limit their exposure to government. And while the digital divide has narrowed in recent years, some populations continue to have inadequate Internet access. Therefore, it is increasingly important that CMAP and other transportation agencies redouble their public engagement. Practices to emphasize include exploring and deploying new culturally relevant outreach methods to assess the transportation-related needs, values, and attitudes among low income communities, people of color, and immigrants; allowing for more localized ownership of the planning process; and establishing performance measures that track progress toward reflecting community demographics. CMAP can leverage its role as a convener to collaboratively develop and disseminate improved practices in the region.

**Build capacity for disinvested communities to develop, fund, and maintain transportation infrastructure**

Some parts of the region were left behind by growth over many decades, often having lost substantial population, jobs, businesses, and resources. Promoting growth in these areas will require collaborative and comprehensive investment at all levels of government and civic organizations. Disinvested areas fully encompass the EDAs defined within ON TO 2050, while also including adjacent commercial and industrial areas that have experienced a loss of economic activity over sustained periods of time.

The local governments that serve disinvested areas tend to have lower staff and technical resources, due to lower tax bases and fewer financial resources available. This impairs their ability to maintain existing infrastructure and to access regional and federal transportation resources for reconstruction and improvement projects. Accessing these public resources requires not only matching local funds, but also significant and costly predevelopment investments such as feasibility studies and engineering, which may make projects infeasible for some low capacity municipalities. These communities also tend to have higher concentrations of low income households and people of color, further increasing disparities in transportation infrastructure.

*Transportation funders* should develop creative approaches to removing the financial barriers that prevent disinvested areas from accessing some transportation funding programs.

*CMAP and partners* should develop materials and trainings to help municipalities understand how their land use and transportation investment choices affect local revenues, user costs, and long-term maintenance expenses.

To overcome a lack of data and technical capacity to implement asset management, *CMAP and partners* should assist with transportation data collection and asset management pilot projects, eventually expanding to a region-wide program.
CMAP should research best practices and leverage its growing resources on age and condition of the region’s infrastructure to develop methods for municipalities to assess mid- and long-term impacts of major or cumulative development processes.

**Improve commute options between disinvested areas and employment, education and training, and service opportunities**

While investing in frequent service on high ridership corridors, transportation agencies must also find ways to improve mobility for low income residents and communities in areas with limited transit service or travel needs that are not well served by traditional transit options. Shared mobility and automated vehicle technologies have the potential to provide more frequent and direct service in low income neighborhoods, improving connections to jobs that may currently require long transit trips or connecting multiple modes. In some cases, the most effective mode of travel may be a personal automobile, and transportation implementers should consider ways to ensure equitable access to tolled facilities. For example, The Tollway developed I-PASS Assist to help income-eligible drivers to easily and affordably obtain an I-PASS. I-PASS Assist works like a standard I-PASS account, but allows eligible drivers to purchase at a discount of $20. CMAP can play a role in identifying gaps in the transportation system for economically disconnected communities, and work with public transit agencies and private sector partners to identify solutions.

*Transit agencies* should continue to work with local communities and the private sector to develop pilot projects that explore new methods of providing targeted, flexible and/or on-demand services that connect EDAs to suburban job centers and other destinations.

CMAP should take a leadership role to identify gaps in the transportation system for economically disconnected communities, articulating the individual, local, and regional growth benefits of better transportation connections and targeted infrastructure investments.

*IDOT and the Tollway* should implement policies that ensure equitable access to tolled facilities, such as “lifeline credits” that make a certain amount of toll credits available each month for lower income drivers.

**Improve access to public rights of way for pedestrians, cyclists, and people with disabilities**

People who rely on walking, bicycling, or wheelchairs need accessible pathways. Especially in suburban areas, low income residents are more likely to rely on low-cost modes than higher income residents to reach employment, services, and other destinations. When bicycling facilities and sidewalks are in need of repair, are missing, or are not designed for people with disabilities, they limit employment and other options for engagement in the community.
Facilities must safely connect these communities to jobs, amenities, and the region’s growing bicycle network.

Making sure that public rights of way provide safe pathways for people using active transportation and people with disabilities is an important strategy for inclusive economic growth. While the U.S. Access Board continues to finalize federal Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way (PROWAG) as proposed in 2011, U.S. DOT recognizes PROWAG as current best practice that some states have already begun incorporating into their own design manuals and other regulatory documents.

*CMAP and IDOT should develop expertise in self-evaluations and transition plans for public right of way accessibility, and provide technical assistance to local communities.*

*IDOT and local agencies should ensure that sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, and bicycling facilities are as available and maintained as adequately in low income areas as in more affluent areas.*

Transportation agencies should work in consultation with people with disabilities and the public agencies and NGOs representing their interests to ensure appropriate, inclusive accommodations are provided in all projects.

As part of project evaluation for bike and pedestrian investments, *CMAP and other funding agencies should measure benefits to low income communities, people of color, and people with disabilities.*

*Transit agencies should continue to make progress toward universal accessibility of stations and work with local municipalities, counties, and the state to ensure accessible pathways to transit.*

**Assess the health impacts of substantial transportation and development projects**

Health Impact Assessments (HIAs) are powerful tools for making informed decisions that improve public health through community design that can positively affect health equity. While Environmental Impact Statements have become standard procedure for making sound development decisions and protect environmental interests, HIAs are still underutilized in project selection and development. Effective use of HIAs highlights how specific developments affect health in certain populations, helping to address health inequities by prioritizing key transportation and infrastructure projects in disinvested communities. CMAP and partners should develop materials and trainings for local governments looking to conduct HIAs that use health equity concepts and goals in the planning and development processes of major transportation and infrastructure projects.
Improve travel safety

Perhaps the most fundamental duty of any transportation provider is to protect the safety of those in the public right of way. Traffic deaths are preventable. NHTSA identified driver behavior as a factor in 94 percent of crashes nationally, and in the Chicago region, it is the most often-cited primary cause of fatal and serious injury crashes. The region should embrace a full range of strategies to eliminate all traffic related fatalities by 2050. Strategies that improve safety can also reduce congestion and improve the reliability of the transportation network.

After declining for several decades, traffic fatality rates in the region began creeping upward in 2010 and spiked in 2016. This increase is likely due to a combination of factors, including increased commuting due to a sustained economic recovery, as well as increased use of devices while driving. New vehicle safety technologies -- including crash avoidance, lane keeping, and potentially even fully connected and automated vehicles -- can have a substantive impact on roadway safety, even at relatively low fleet penetrations of these technologies. But the recent uptick in fatalities demonstrates the need to continue investing in other strategies under the control of local communities, including leveraging technology to improve incident detection and management.
The most effective safety strategy for reducing fatalities is changing roadway design to reduce speeding and protect pedestrians and cyclists, who are the most vulnerable users of the transportation network. Higher speeds increase the likelihood of serious injuries or deaths, especially in the case of pedestrian and bicycle crashes. Data suggests that bicycle and pedestrian serious crash rates are increasing faster than those for vehicle occupants. National and statewide analyses also indicate that areas with higher concentrations of people of color, low income, and senior populations have higher serious and fatal crash rates than other areas. According to the National Complete Streets Coalition and Smart Growth America, blacks in Illinois constitute 14.2 percent of the population and 24.1 percent of pedestrian deaths. Communities with safe bicycle and pedestrian facilities that connect residents to desired destinations provide residents with additional options to meet their daily needs. Active modes of transportation represent a growing share of trips to work in the city of Chicago, but there has been a slight decline in suburban areas. Unfortunately, data is not available on other kinds of trips, which often are of shorter distance and more conducive for active transportation.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show impact of speed on pedestrian fatalities.]

Enforcement plays a role in changing driver behavior and improving safety. However, enforcement programs need to be designed carefully and with significant community input, particularly in low income and minority communities. The disproportionately high rates of serious injuries and fatalities in these areas must be addressed, as must community concerns about racial profiling, use of force, and disproportionate impacts of traffic fines.

The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.

**Continue to update roadway designs to reduce speeding and crashes**

To significantly reduce the number of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities, CMAP and partners should prioritize areas that would benefit most from improved infrastructure that includes design interventions and reduced speed limits. Such areas may have high crash rates, concentrated destinations, many people walking or biking, and lower rates of vehicle ownership. Some road designs, including roundabouts, access management strategies, and grade separations can reduce conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians and reduce the delay caused by turning vehicles. A few examples of these designs have been constructed in the CMAP region, but their implementation could be broadened, while still assuring that the designs are appropriate for a given site.

Pedestrian countdown signals, better road markings, protected left turn phases, designs that reduce left turn speeds, traffic calming treatments, and accessible pedestrian signals will all improve the safety of pedestrians at intersections. Engineering can also make driving safer for older drivers, who are anticipated to be on the roads in larger numbers by 2050. Where appropriate, roadway redesigns or “right-sizing” that decrease vehicle speeds and allocate
space to pedestrians and bicyclists can maintain appropriate levels of vehicular throughput while making roads safer for all users. CMAP preliminarily identified road segments in the region that could be candidates for right-sizing. This is a planning-level analysis and more thorough engineering study would be needed before implementation.

CMAP should develop policy guidance to help communities conduct corridor planning that prioritizes roads for traffic calming, pedestrian and cyclist safety improvements, and transit priority.

IDOT should require that all phase I engineering studies include Highway Safety Manual-based estimates how much each design alternative reduces crashes.

IDOT, working with municipalities and transit agencies should review and revise its design manuals and permitting processes to facilitate pedestrian, transit, and bicycle improvements wherever possible.

CMAP should assist low capacity municipalities with analysis of crash data and implementation of safety improvement and traffic calming projects.

Highway agencies should implement alternative intersections and right-sizing, where appropriate, to reduce turning conflicts.

CMAP and IDOT should identify facilities with potential to reallocate roadway space for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and/or Complete Streets initiatives.

**Invest in safe bike and pedestrian pathways to desired destinations**

Walkable communities and safe, connected networks for bicycling can reduce the number of automobile trips, reduce vehicle miles travelled, and improve the overall performance of the transportation system. Although significant progress has been made in building out the regional greenway and trails network, most destinations for shorter, functional trips, such as to work, shopping, and social gatherings, are not accessible by off-street paths alone.

While on-street facilities can put cyclists in conflict with motorists, recent improvements in design and engineering can reduce these conflicts and respect local character. Complete Streets is a transportation policy and design approach that requires streets to be planned, designed, operated, and maintained to enable safe, convenient, and comfortable travel and access for all anticipated roadway users, regardless of their age, abilities, or mode of travel. Complete Streets can improve quality of life in a variety of ways. The State of Illinois was one of the first states to adopt a Complete Streets policy in 2007, and now 37 governments and agencies in our region have adopted such a policy. CMAP has also developed a Complete Streets Toolkit with guidance for local governments interested in adopting a policy. Many of the region’s roadways that could be safest and most attractive to cyclists are also under municipal or county
jurisdiction. These local governments should adopt Complete Streets policies as a first step to increasing options for active transportation and making public rights of way accessible to all users.

CMAP and partners should implement the Regional Greenways and Trails Plan.

Counties and municipalities should continue to adopt and implement Complete Street policies.

CMAP and partners should encourage local agencies to engineer and develop on-street bikeways that increase access to functional destinations.

CMAP should prioritize investment in bicycle projects that improve access to functional destinations.

**Improve incident detection and management**

The region, working with system operators and municipal, county, and state police, should establish a goal and develop strategies to reduce the amount of time roads are closed due to crash investigations. Improving incident management is a high priority because it improves safety and reduces congestion. Some serious highway incidents require hours to clear. Nationwide, approximately 20 percent of all incidents are secondary ones caused by the congestion and disruption of a previous incident. Shortening their duration reduces the potential for additional incidents. Each incident presents an opportunity to reduce congestion through earlier detection and verification, faster response, and adherence to quick clearance principles. Unlike other highway operations activities, incident management is largely managed by a public safety agency, with the transportation agency playing a supporting role. While much of the work to improve performance falls upon the public safety agencies, transportation agencies can also take steps such as implementing automated incident detection methods, either with traffic cameras or real-time GPS probe data. These technologies can be and are being incorporated as part of broader traffic operations projects (see the Coordinate traffic operations region-wide strategy). For example, the Jane Addams Memorial Tollway (I-90) has been reconstructed to include flexible infrastructure to enable the Tollway to add new “smart” features, such as roadway cameras that enhance the Tollway’s ability to respond to traffic and weather incidents and enable transit to bypass incidents using the flex lane.

IDOT and the Tollway should continue to expand investment in the use of traffic cameras or other sensors with automated incident detection capabilities on the interstates.

---

Local governments should explore feasibility of real-time probe data and closed-circuit television cameras at critical locations.

IDOT should continue to implement its Traffic Incident Management (TIM) training for local public safety agency personnel.

Transportation agencies should work with public safety agencies to investigate and implement strategies to improve the clearance time for major incidents.

**Expand regional data collection and analysis on safety to support programming decisions**

Federal regulations require the MPO to assume a greater role in improving traffic safety. CMAP is required to plan and program transportation funds for meeting safety targets that are set annually by the state DOT and MPO. One way to achieve this is to incorporate safety performance as a higher priority in transportation project selection for federal funds, ensuring that this vital aspect of transportation receives adequate consideration.

To have a data-driven approach to improving traffic safety, crash data need to be available in a timely manner. Annual state crash data have typically been released about nine months after the end of the year, but recently it has taken longer for IDOT to provide this data to the various agencies that need crash records for their analysis. It can be especially difficult to quickly observe whether safety improvements are working. Three years of data are typically required for analyses, which may take five years to obtain after an improvement.

**CMAP and partners** should work together to hasten data availability through electronic reporting and improved data definitions and standards.

**CMAP and IDOT** should continue to develop and share data-driven crash analyses highlighting safety initiatives that communities can implement to make their roads safer for all users.

**CMAP** should track implementation of state Strategic Highway Safety Plan strategies in the greater Chicago area, identify barriers, and develop methods to address them.286

**CMAP** should more thoroughly incorporate safety benefits in projects for CMAQ, TAP, and STP funding.

---

**Improve driver training and equitable traffic safety enforcement policies**

While improving roadway design is the most effective way to change driver behavior and improve safety, enforcement and education programs can play a supporting role in reducing fatalities and serious injuries. To change drivers’ behaviors in the region, CMAP should emphasize education programs as an alternative to fines and support safety training options for drivers that receive a citation involving speeding or aggressive driving. Automated speed enforcement (ASE) through speed cameras is an effective tool that should be used more widely in the region. A review of ASE programs found fatality reductions of 17 to 71 percent. ASE can free law enforcement personnel to focus on other issues and also limits the danger of escalation from routine traffic stops. Currently, however, only the City of Chicago is authorized under state law to use ASE. IDOT can currently only use ASE in work zones.

Increasing traffic safety enforcement is complex issue because of its historically disproportionate impact on low income and minority communities. Traffic fines can become a major source of debt and a barrier to employment for low income residents. On the other hand, higher numbers of pedestrian and bicycle crashes occur in low income, minority communities. A limited, partial solution may be automation, which allows for traffic law enforcement while minimizing additional police interaction. It is critical to have a credible analysis of the equity impacts of the locations and numbers of potential violations from automated enforcement. Enforcement programs should be designed with equity as a crucial element, with the goal of reducing safety disparities in minority and low income communities while also avoiding disproportionate financial burden on these same communities. Funds collected from enforcement in these communities should be directed back into locally identified safety improvements.

CMAP should assist in analyzing the impacts of automated enforcement in the Chicago region.

CMAP and partners should support outreach and safety education programs in the region.

The General Assembly should broaden permissions for IDOT, the Illinois State Police, the Tollway, and municipal and county agencies to implement automated speed limit enforcement programs, and agencies should work to develop automated enforcement programs.

**Improve resilience of the transportation network to weather events and climate change**

A resilient transportation network can continue to provide seamless mobility even in the face of a changing climate. Inclement weather is currently estimated to cause 15 percent of congestion, increasing the number of crashes and delays and reducing road capacity. Approximately half of the days in a typical year have weather conditions that affect driving and contribute to road
closures, traffic slowdowns, transit delays, crashes, and damage to electronic devices such as traffic lights, message signs, and cameras. These disruptions affect drivers, transit users, pedestrians, and cyclists, and the region’s most vulnerable residents are particularly affected by disruptions to the transit network. Climate change is already causing more frequent road flooding, snow storms, and heat- and cold-related pavement and communication failures. These capacity and performance issues are only expected to worsen.

As road and transit systems modernize, the same technologies that can improve system safety and reliability can make the system more responsive to weather events. The expansion of intelligent transportation system (ITS) devices and traffic management capabilities will support a variety of weather responsive traffic management strategies, such as instituting variable speed limit systems to reduce speeds during inclement weather, coordinating traffic signal timing that reflects the slower speed of travel in corridors during bad weather, employing alternative signal plans to support detours, and increasing coverage of emergency vehicle patrols to remove disabled vehicles more quickly. Existing regional strategies to mitigate impacts include traveler information and alerts, weather advisories, vehicle restrictions such as banning trucks during high winds, road closures for flooding or drifting snow, anti-icing/deicing road surface treatments, plowing, and pumping water from flooded locations.

The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.

Adapt vulnerable transportation infrastructure to be responsive to weather events and climate change

Most of the region’s roads were designed using standards that pre-date the increased number of freeze-thaw cycles, heavy rain events, and hotter, wetter conditions posed by the region’s changing climate. Transportation modernization efforts should promote infrastructure that is built or retrofitted to revised design standards that take the anticipated climate of the region into account. Identifying locations at risk of flooding and then retrofitting these locations to handle current and future rain events can help maintain regional and local mobility, appropriately balance increased up-front costs with risk, and ensure that investments are built to last. The RTA, IDOT, and several county transportation agencies are already working to identify portions of the existing transportation system that are vulnerable to flooding and incorporating solutions into their long-range capital plans or operational response plans.

At the local level, municipalities must also address the vulnerability of their streets to flooding and other climate change impacts. Through the LTA program, CMAP could assist communities in vulnerability assessments to help inform capital improvement plans and corresponding design considerations. As new information on precipitation trends evolve and floodplain maps are updated, the local and regional vulnerability assessments should be updated periodically to reflect changing conditions. While the above assessments will help identify existing assets at risk of flooding, the region also should work to avoid expanding new streets and highways into flood prone areas. Avoiding road construction in floodplains may not always be possible; where necessary, such roads must be designed with future climate conditions in mind.
CMAP and transportation implementers should conduct studies to determine the vulnerability of transportation infrastructure to climate change impacts and design projects to accommodate the projected precipitation during its designed lifespan.

CMAP and partners should conduct a regional climate vulnerability assessment of the transportation system to inform long-range transportation planning and programming.

CMAP should develop a regional pavement flooding reporting system to help plan for flood events.

CMAP should incorporate climate resilience criteria into transportation programming processes.

State and local infrastructure agencies should review and update design manuals to ensure that the underlying climate data being used is up to date.

CMAP and partners should support continued efforts to integrate stormwater management into land use and transportation planning projects.

**Improve stormwater management in transportation projects**

As the intensity and frequency of storm events increases with climate change, the region will need strategies to better integrate stormwater management into transportation planning and design. Best practices often include drainage improvements that increase detention capacity or promote infiltration, as well as a series of protective measures to reduce exposure to flood waters. Recently, the FAST Act expanded the scope of statewide and metropolitan transportation planning processes to reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.287 This provision could enhance how stormwater management is addressed in overall planning efforts as well as individual surface transportation projects. Recent updates to the Surface Transportation Program (STP) program now incentivize the use of green infrastructure to manage stormwater.288

Currently, highway and street design and reconstruction requirements do not reflect county-specific stormwater management goals or practices. Instead, they follow state design guidelines, which can limit the ability to implement green infrastructure and other innovative solutions or tailor design to local context and needs. Public rights of way often present good opportunities

---


288 Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “Memorandum of Agreement between the City of Chicago and the CMAP Council of Mayors regarding the distribution and active program management of locally programmed surface transportation block grant funds under the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act,” October 11, 2017, [http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/documents/10180/127961/2017+STP+Agreement.pdf/6b800a21-59fb-b538-a1c9-fa1342765355](http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/documents/10180/127961/2017+STP+Agreement.pdf/6b800a21-59fb-b538-a1c9-fa1342765355).
for green infrastructure. Many of our existing streets experience flooding due to development patterns in the surrounding area, particularly in communities developed prior to modern stormwater management standards. Street flooding could be addressed through infrastructure retrofits in surrounding neighborhoods instead of within constrained rights of way. Projects that comprehensively address stormwater management solutions can improve the performance of our transportation system while also reducing flooding damages in nearby neighborhoods. This strategy also appears in the Environment chapter under the recommendation Reduce flood risk to protect people and assets.

Local governments should support continued efforts to better integrate stormwater management into land use and transportation planning projects.

IDOT should update statewide design standards to reflect green infrastructure techniques and precipitation trends, designing transportation infrastructure for the climate of its designed lifespan.

Transportation agencies should construct and maintain projects that can sufficiently manage current and future storm events.

IDOT should support CMAP’s stormwater management planning efforts to reduce flooding vulnerability of the transportation system.

Counties and municipalities should update development ordinances and reconstruction practices to improve stormwater management and promote green infrastructure techniques in new and reconstructed streets.

**Improve the operational response to weather events to ensure mobility**

Climate change is already causing more frequent road flooding, snow storms, and heat- and cold-related pavement and communication failures. Inclement weather is currently estimated to cause 15 percent of congestion, increasing the number of crashes and delays and reducing road capacity. Approximately half of the days in a typical year have weather conditions that affect driving.\(^{289}\) Pedestrians and transit users are also affected by inclement weather, and pedestrian infrastructure is often overlooked in weather response activities.

Existing regional strategies to mitigate impacts include traveler information, alerts and advisories, vehicle restrictions such as banning trucks during high winds, road closures, snow and ice control, plowing, and pumping water from flooded locations. IDOT, the Tollway, and Lake County report real-time “road weather” (pavement) information to TravelMidwest, but other counties currently do not. Weather responsive traffic management is also not widely used today, except for closing roads to traffic under severe conditions. As road and transit systems modernize, the same technologies that can improve system safety and reliability can make the system more responsive to weather events. The expansion of intelligent transportation system

(ITS) devices and traffic management capabilities will support a variety of weather responsive traffic management strategies, such as variable speed limits to reduce speeds, updating traffic signal timing and plans to support detours and slower speeds, and increasing coverage of emergency vehicle patrols to remove disabled vehicles more quickly. In addition, as the region’s maintenance fleets become equipped with fleet management technology, opportunities for better coordination of snow and ice removal between different jurisdictions will emerge. This will reduce costs and improve the efficiency of these activities.

It will be important to collect and analyze information about how facilities perform under various severe weather scenarios so agencies can develop planned responses and better serve all users of the transportation system. For example, focusing incident management resources on locations that are known to be affected by rain or snow can reduce congestion and secondary incidents. Pavement flooding information has not been collected on a regional basis, and there is no standard pavement flooding reporting system. The impact of flooding on our roadway operations as of today is not known. This strategy also appears in the Environment chapter under the recommendation Plan for climate resilience.

*CMAP, and IDOT and the Tollway* should work toward implementing a regional, multijurisdictional traffic management center, either virtual or traditional.

*Transportation agencies* should ensure redundant and reliable electricity and communications infrastructure, and build redundancy and flexibility into planning for major transportation corridors.

*Transportation implementers* should expand ITS devices and traffic management capabilities to support weather responsive traffic management strategies.

*Transportation implementers* should coordinate snow and ice removal across jurisdictions, when possible.

*Transportation operators* should conduct an analysis of road performance under severe weather conditions to develop planned responses.

*CMAP* should develop a regional pavement flooding reporting system to help plan for flood events.

**Making transformative investments**

Northeastern Illinois needs to invest in maintaining and enhancing the transportation system to keep up with demand and promote regional economic vitality. Today’s investments must make the current system work better for everyone, while also preparing for future mobility influenced by new data and communication technology, private mobility services, and increasingly multimodal trips. At the same time, transportation dollars are scarce. Performance-based
funding promises a more accountable process for programming transportation projects that meet current needs and address priorities like reinvestment, inclusive growth, and climate resilience. The region’s transportation implementers, from local governments to state agencies, should continue to implement data-driven programming practices that emphasize selection of projects that meet clear regional objectives for transportation, land use, environment, and the economy.

Yet traditional transportation revenue sources can no longer keep up with increasing costs. Without additional, sustainable revenues, the region will be unable to maintain the system in its current state of repair, let alone implement needed enhancements or expansions. Forecasted revenues from existing sources and additional reasonably expected revenues together make up $517.7 billion in revenue available through the year 2050. Of this, 94 percent is needed to operate and maintain the system in its current condition. The remaining 6 percent will be available for improving the system’s condition, building regionally significant projects, and making other systematic enhancements -- smaller projects like intersection improvement, bike trails, accessibility improvements, and safety counter-measures that are nonetheless critical to make progress toward a seamless, multimodal transportation system -- while meeting the federal requirement of fiscal constraint.

**Fully fund the region’s transportation system**

The region’s transportation system is facing significant challenges. Decades of underinvestment have created a significant backlog of projects to reach a state of good repair. Revenues underpinning the system no longer reflect current costs or ways of getting around. Federal and state revenues do not provide the support that they once did, and emerging federal policy indicates a growing reliance on state and local revenues. To maintain or improve the transportation system, the state and region must rethink current funding formulas and look for new revenues.
ON TO 2050 estimates that the cost of operating and maintaining the transportation system in its current condition -- retaining the current backlog -- will exceed the funds expected to be available under existing revenue sources. With that $24 billion gap through 2050, revenues under existing sources would not be sufficient to operate and maintain the transportation system, let alone enhance or expand it.

Northeastern Illinois needs to overcome various obstacles to ensure sufficient funding for transportation. Systemic shifts are leading to declining revenues, and structural problems make current revenue sources inadequate for maintaining and operating the system. For example, revenues generated from flat rates, such as the federal and state motor fuel taxes (MFTs), have lost significant purchasing power due to inflation. At the same time, average vehicle fuel economy has been rising and vehicle travel has been stagnant, resulting in less fuel consumption. These trends will almost certainly result in state MFT revenues dwindling in the upcoming years. Moreover, growth in motor vehicle registration revenue in Illinois has been mostly flat since the mid-2000s due to slowing population growth, even while registrations per resident have risen statewide.
Federal revenues relied on by roadway and transit agencies in the region have been stagnant, with revenues expected to grow slower than the cost of the system. These slower growing elements of the region’s transportation funding present real challenges to making necessary infrastructure improvements. The system could benefit from reliance on a modern user fee, such as one tied to vehicle miles travelled (VMT), which charges based on how far a car is driven. Drivers pay for what they use. Efforts to implement VMT fees are already taking shape in other states, and new technology is making it simpler to implement such fees; private sector per-mile prices are now widespread in the auto insurance market. Levied on a per-mile rather than per-gallon basis, VMT fees act as a direct user fee and also offer opportunities to integrate with other types of facility-level pricing. Eventually, VMT fees could vary on different types of facilities, at different times of day, and for different classes of vehicles.

Transit fares contribute more than 50 percent of transit operating revenues region-wide. In addition to fares, the transit system relies on a sales tax imposed by the Regional Transportation Authority. Structurally, sales taxes in Illinois are imposed on a narrow base, which includes tangible goods but few services. The sustainability of the sales tax base is precarious because consumption of services continues to rise faster than consumption of goods.

The region lacks a dedicated source of capital for its transit system, even as needs keep rising to maintain our aging system in its current state of repair. While improving the region’s infrastructure is a high priority, simply keeping the overall system of transit, roads, and bridges in its current condition will cost more than $200 billion over the 32-year planning period.

Changing demands and emerging needs call for new investments. Among many factors, the number of congested hours is increasing annually; freight traffic is on the rise due to changing supply chain patterns and increasing next-day deliveries; residents are demanding new bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure; and private operators are creating new options for seamless mobility across multiple modes. Failure to improve infrastructure has a negative impact on the region’s economy, which can only grow as fast as its transportation system will carry it. System enhancements are necessary so the region can continue to grow.

To surmount these challenges, we must continue to improve, modernize, enhance, and expand the system in a thoughtful manner. Because conditions would decline without additional revenues, the region must pursue new and enhanced sources and user fees that modernize and improve upon our existing funding structure. Leveraging sources like value capture or congestion pricing and other tolling opportunities can provide funding while contributing to larger goals such as transportation demand management and effectively matching the costs of the transportation system to those who benefit from its use. In fact, revenues generated from specific regionally significant transportation projects will be necessary to help fund those projects. The following table details ON TO 2050’s financial plan for transportation, including forecasted revenues, as well as funding allocations to planned investments on the system.
Forecasted transportation revenues and expenditure allocations, 2019-50, in billions (year of expenditure dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Revenues</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal revenues</td>
<td>$61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State revenues</td>
<td>$166.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local revenues</td>
<td>$233.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal core revenues</strong></td>
<td>$461.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase state MFT and replace with VMT</td>
<td>$31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand the sales tax base to additional services</td>
<td>$11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal cost of freight services fee</td>
<td>$7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional revenue source</td>
<td>$5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local parking pricing expansion</td>
<td>$2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal reasonably expected revenues</strong></td>
<td>$56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues</strong></td>
<td>$517.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Expenditures</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operate and administer roadway system</td>
<td>$114.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operate and administer transit system</td>
<td>$162.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain current roadway condition</td>
<td>$126.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain current transit asset condition</td>
<td>$81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal cost to administer, operate, and maintain in current condition</strong></td>
<td>$485.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve system condition</td>
<td>$9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make system enhancements</td>
<td>$17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full cost of constrained regionally significant projects</td>
<td>$72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital cost allocated as maintenance and reconstruction</td>
<td>$50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offsetting revenues from tolling and value capture</td>
<td>$17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal constrained new capacity cost of regionally significant projects</strong></td>
<td>$4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$517.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Expenditures do not add up to the totals due to rounding.

The region has had some recent successes in identifying and implementing new revenue sources. New legislation for transit facility improvement areas (TFIAs) allows the implementation of value capture for certain transit projects, which has been used to fund the CTA’s Red and Purple Line Modernization (RPM). Completion of the west leg of the Elgin-O’Hare Western Access project saw a formerly free expressway converted to a tolled facility. Securing the enactment of other revenue sources to fully fund the transportation system will require much more work and advocacy by CMAP and its partners.

The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.

**Implement sustainable, adequate revenue sources for transportation investments**

Transportation revenue sources must be sufficient to maintain, operate, and improve the system; must provide stability in order to bond or fund multi-year transportation programs; and must be sustainable to ensure the source will grow in tandem with the cost of the system. Moreover, these need to be new revenues, not simply a transfer of funds from vital non-transportation programs and services.

Maintaining our transportation network requires sustainable, multimodal capital funding. Past state capital programs have only provided intermittent funding for transportation infrastructure and other capital needs, and they often have relied on taxes unrelated to transportation, such as taxes on alcohol or video poker. Revenues approved as part of a future state capital program or larger infrastructure funding legislation must be allocated through performance-based criteria that focus on areas of greatest need, including to significantly improve the condition of the region’s transit infrastructure.

In addition, revenues should be collected from those who benefit from the transportation system, via direct user fees such as tolling or revenue sources that capture benefits reaped from improvements to the system. To relieve congestion on the roadway system, revenues generated from automobile user fees should have the flexibility to address multimodal transportation problems and improve overall mobility in a corridor. New user fees must be implemented carefully to avoid undue burdens on lower income residents -- who may drive older, less efficient vehicles, depend on transit, or travel further to work, based on where affordable housing and employment opportunities are located.

The State of Illinois should establish adequate and sustainable multimodal capital funding that uses performance-based allocation of revenues.

The state, local governments, and other transportation implementers should rely on user fees for new revenues to create a modern transportation funding system.

Transportation implementers should allocate new revenues across modes to improve mobility for all users.

**Increase the motor fuel tax and replace with a vehicle miles traveled fee**

Of the many states that have enhanced their transportation revenues in recent years, most enacted a MFT increase. The State of Illinois should increase its MFT by at least 15 cents in the near term and index the overall rate to an inflationary measure to offset the long decline in purchasing power of the current 19-cent rate that has been in effect since 1990. Similarly, the federal gas tax, set at 18.4 cents per gallon in 1993, should be increased and indexed to an
inflationary measure, improving solvency of the federal Highway Trust Fund without requiring non-transportation revenue infusions.

However, the MFT no longer reflects the way people travel or the many types of vehicles on the road. Fuel efficiency has increased, which erodes revenue despite its environmental and consumer benefits, and projections suggest electric vehicles will become a much larger part of the fleet. Over the long term, then, the state and the federal government should replace their MFTs with a mileage-based user fee that taxes actual use of the system, as with a fee for vehicle miles traveled (VMT). Drivers already pay per mile under the current MFT, but the rate just varies based on the vehicle’s fuel economy. For the Illinois MFT, instituting a fee of 2 cents per mile and indexing it to an inflationary measure would provide a sufficient, stable revenue source.

This revenue source would benefit from a streamlined national solution that allows each state to collect VMT fees from out-of-state drivers. In implementing a new revenue source, the state should also take the opportunity to lower the burden on lower income drivers by integrating measures not available in the current MFT structure. This strategy also appears in the Governance chapter under the recommendation Develop tax policies that strengthen communities and the region.

The State of Illinois should increase the MFT by at least 15 cents per gallon and index the overall rate to an inflationary measure.

The State of Illinois should begin necessary steps, including implementing pilot projects, to replace its MFT with a VMT fee of at least 2 cents per mile indexed to an inflationary measure.

The federal government should increase the federal gas tax rate, index it to an inflationary measure, and in the long-term replace it with a mileage-based user fee such as, for example, VMT.

The federal government should work with states to develop a national solution to implementing VMT fees at the state level.

The State of Illinois should explore innovative mechanisms and technologies to efficiently collect VMT fees, including potential private-sector collection.

Expand the sales tax base
Sales taxes in Illinois are imposed on a relatively narrow base, focused on tangible goods. Expanding the current base to include more services would generate additional revenue from existing state and local sources like the RTA sales tax, which supports transit operations in the RTA service area and other transportation and public safety purposes in the collar counties. The
cost of operating the transit system continues to increase, yet consumption of services outside of the sales tax base is increasing faster than consumption of taxable goods. Expanding the base would also have the benefit of reducing economic distortions -- that is, inadvertently influencing consumers’ purchase of different goods and services based on whether or not they are taxed -- and volatility in the sales tax, as well as providing tax revenue from service-based commercial land uses.

**Implement a federal cost of freight service fee**

Freight investment is an emerging transportation need across the U.S. The federal government should enact a national sales tax of 0.3 percent on the cost of shipping freight. These revenues should be disbursed to states based on their freight needs through a formula program, competitive program, or both. Such funding could benefit the region because it is North America’s freight hub and has significant freight-related transportation needs. A cost-of-freight service fee has a user-fee nexus to the freight system, and could be collected from shippers using any mode to move goods, including truck, rail, and water. A similar approach is currently used for air-freight shipments. Administration of a cost-of-freight service fee could be challenging and would require new rules and practices to accurately and efficiently collect the fee.

**Create a regional revenue source**

Other than the RTA sales tax, which provides funding for transit operations, northeastern Illinois does not have a dedicated source of regional funding to provide for capital infrastructure investments. The State should enact such a revenue source for our seven counties to meet regional transportation needs and to achieve comprehensive planning goals. The region faces significant transportation infrastructure needs that are unlikely to be addressed adequately by federal or state sources, even if increased and restructured. Moreover, many of the transportation system needs in northeastern Illinois are unique. The investments needed in the region to move the transit system toward a state of good repair, decrease freight delay, and reduce roadway congestion are significantly greater than the needs in other parts of Illinois. A regional source such as a regional vehicle registration fee or regional MFT could raise significant revenues at relatively low rates and build on existing collection mechanisms, although their use would be confined to transportation investments.

**Expand priced parking**

Despite priced parking in some denser areas, the majority of parking spaces in the region are free. Priced parking has many benefits in areas with significant demand for parking. Free parking obscures the cost of driving and the cost of supportive infrastructure. Priced parking would reduce the number of vehicle trips, helping to reduce vehicle emissions and alleviate congestion. Municipalities should price more publicly owned parking spaces on streets and in municipal parking lots and garages to provide revenue for local transportation improvements and allow land to be transitioned to revenue-generating uses. In addition, municipalities could
choose to implement variable parking rates, with higher prices charged at times and locations of peak demand or for certain vehicle types such as delivery trucks in business districts, allowing for more efficient use of available parking spaces.

Innovative parking strategies have already been implemented by municipalities in the region. The City of Chicago launched a Downtown Loading Zone Reform pilot program in 2017 that changes how delivery trucks are charged in loading zones. Based on recommendations in a LTA project study, the Village of Hinsdale increased hourly parking rates.

**Implement tolling**

The state and region have insufficient revenues to fund operations and maintenance of the existing transportation system. Broader implementation of tolling offers a clear path to rebuild the expressway system, while tying new fees to those who use it the most. Implementing managed lanes and additional tolling on the region’s existing expressway network would help relieve congestion, improve transit operations, and raise revenues to improve the condition of pavement and bridges. The Tollway and IDOT should work with CMAP to identify and then implement a system of managed lanes and tolling, as appropriate, on both existing and new expressway capacity.

On new expressway capacity, the Tollway and IDOT should pursue managed lanes and tolling to provide project funding as well as manage demand for the system. To defray the costs of reconstruction, IDOT and the Tollway should implement tolling in conjunction with planned reconstruction of existing, untolled facilities. Tolling on existing expressways will help pay for the costs of reconstruction, as well as free up existing revenues for the remainder of the system. With each transportation reauthorization, federal policy has increasingly embraced tolling on interstate expressways, and current participation by Illinois in the Value Pricing Pilot Program creates additional tolling opportunities. Over the longer term, the technology associated with mileage-based user fees may even allow differential pricing on managed lanes without requiring construction of the tolling infrastructure needed today.

*IDOT should implement tolling on existing expressways following reconstruction projects, except on very short or isolated segments, to help finance the reconstruction project.*

---


IDOT and the Tollway should flexibly use toll revenue to pursue multimodal transportation system goals such as providing high-speed, high-reliability transit service to improve expressway corridors.

The federal and state governments should expand authority to toll existing capacity.

IDOT and the Tollway should implement priced managed lanes on all but the shortest or most isolated new expressway capacity to help get the best performance from the new capacity.

IDOT and the Tollway should implement policies that ensure equitable access to tolled facilities, such as providing drivers a baseline of credits for free travel.

Further implement value capture

Value capture offers an innovative option for local governments to harness a portion of the new private property value created by public infrastructure. Adjacent property owners often benefit from the construction of a new or improved transportation facility through higher rents and property values. Project implementers should explore value capture to help fund capital costs associated with new, expanded transportation facilities, including transit service enhancements.

Value capture mechanisms currently available in Illinois include tax increment financing districts, special service areas (SSAs), impact fees, and business district taxes (BDs). Many communities already use these mechanisms for small scale transit and road improvements. For a select set of large projects, the state recently authorized TFIA districts that allow use of incremental property tax revenue to fund transit improvements. In addition to an established TFIA for the CTA North Red/Purple Line Modernization Project, other transit projects may also be ripe for the implementation of a value capture district. Adding further projects to the statute and broadening current value capture types at the community level can help provide much-needed local revenues to support the transportation system.

Arterial roadway capacity expansion projects are often implemented by either IDOT or county transportation departments. However, while these projects have regional mobility benefits, the need for expanded arterial capacity is driven partly by local conditions, such as increased retail, office, or industrial development. In addition, a portion of an arterial project’s benefit accrues to the community or communities where the expansion is occurring, in the form of reduced congestion or increased revenues. The Tollway already requires that local governments applying for a new interchange contribute at least half of the project cost. IDOT and the counties could consider similar policies for the cost of additional arterial capacity, which would leverage local benefit to fund the project. Municipalities could utilize value capture districts such as BDs.

---

or SSAs to fund contributions or direct general fund revenues for this purpose. These policies should ensure that communities with limited financial resources are not disproportionately burdened for projects affecting multiple jurisdictions. CMAP’s LTA program can provide a model for flexible match requirements in high need communities.

The State should improve TFIA provisions to focus on criteria and need rather than on specific transportation investments.

Local governments should continue to implement and expand value capture for projects with sufficient travel benefits and tax base to support improvement costs.

CMAP, IDOT, and county DOTs should evaluate and consider a new policy to require equitable local contributions for major arterial expansions.

**Use public-private partnerships strategically**

Innovative financing mechanisms such as public-private partnerships (PPPs) provide a greater role for the private sector in the design, construction, and management of transportation facilities. Project implementers should continue to use PPPs strategically to finance transportation improvements where fiscally appropriate. ON TO 2050 emphasizes that PPPs are often a source of financing that must be repaid, rather than a new revenue source. They have the potential to deliver benefits to projects, but these arrangements are complex and must be carefully considered on a transparent, case-by-case basis.

Consideration of whether a project should be delivered via a PPP arrangement must be independent from merits of the transportation project itself. In short, projects must help implement regional priorities for transportation, land use, and other issues before being considered for a PPP. Project implementers should use best practices in comparing the use of a PPP to traditional project delivery, such as applying value-for-money approaches to analysis, assessing the risks of non-compete clauses, and providing formal public review in the evaluation of PPP proposals with appropriate checks on the disclosure of private financial information.

PPP agreements must be structured to protect the public interest, which should include maintaining a specified level of performance with penalties for non-performance, reasonable limits on public risk, and provisions for revenue sharing above certain thresholds. Transportation agencies must also retain their ability to effectively operate, maintain, enhance, and expand transportation infrastructure connected or adjacent to facilities under a PPP. Transportation agencies must maintain ownership of and the right to share all data collected as part of a PPP. Other factors to consider include pricing policies, preferential access policies for law enforcement or transit vehicles, maintenance and operational standards, interoperability and coordination with public facilities, provisions that restrict the public sector’s ability to invest in related projects, and remediation provisions.
Enhance the region’s approach to transportation programming

The scarcity of transportation dollars demands that they be spent wisely and transparently. In the CMAP region as well as the rest of the state, transportation funding is largely allocated via formulas set in law or simply adhered to by custom. Even if these formulas once had a strong basis in transportation system size or condition, they are not responsive to changing conditions, can spread funding too thin for any individual agency to accomplish more significant projects, and can prompt decision makers to focus on the money itself rather than on how individual projects address or do not address transportation needs. Performance-based funding promises a more accountable process for programming transportation projects, using a variety of measures to allocate scarce resources. Performance measures reflect the use, condition, and impact of transportation elements and are publicly reported for illustrative purposes or to demonstrate progress made toward established targets.

One of the most significant policy changes in the federal Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) transportation law, enacted in 2012, was to institute a national performance measurement system for the highway and transit programs. Implementation of this new system is just beginning, and it requires state DOTs, MPOs like CMAP, and transit agencies to work together to set targets that define the performance they want to achieve. Select infrastructure condition, safety, congestion, and emissions federal performance measures are closely aligned with recommendations in the Mobility chapter. These measures are plan indicators and are referenced throughout the Mobility chapter and described in more detail in Appendix XX. More detail on all of the federally required performance measures is in Appendix XY.

Tying programming to quantifiable targets helps demonstrate the effectiveness of performance-based programming. MAP-21 and the FAST Act, the two most recent federal transportation authorization laws, require state departments of transportation and transit agencies to implement asset management practices. IDOT is responsible for implementing asset management on the NHS. CMAP, IDOT, and county and municipal departments of transportation will need to collaborate to define the NHS and set appropriate targets for its condition. CMAP, RTA, and the transit agencies should continue to collaborate on achieving asset condition targets for the transit system. The long-range planning process offers an opportunity to place a high priority on meeting federal asset management requirements and moving the system toward a state of good repair.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show expenditures needed to meet transit asset state of good repair, pavement and bridge condition indicator targets.]

Improving system condition while minimizing costs requires nuanced decision making. Rather than prioritize the repair of assets in worst condition first, asset management seeks to optimize lifecycle costs of achieving and sustaining a desired target condition. Implementing asset management can help improve system resilience in the face of changing climate or challenging...
economic conditions. These practices can be applied to a wide range of infrastructure, including freshwater, wastewater, signals and communications, vehicles, transit facilities and equipment, and pavement. Pavement management programs in particular have a demonstrated ability to stretch scarce funding farther. For additional recommendations about asset management, see the *Governance* and *Environment* chapters.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show benefits of asset management.]

*The following describes strategies and associated actions to implement this recommendation.*

**Continue to implement performance-based programming region-wide**

As transportation revenues remain constrained, performance-based programming can help identify the most cost-effective way to meet local and regional priorities. There is room for all transportation implementers to improve data and methods for incorporating performance into processes for allocating funds and selecting projects. For example, IDOT has made recent progress in using a performance-based evaluation system to rank capacity projects for the state highway program. Rather than programming many conversions of two-lane to four-lane facilities that remain incomplete, the state is trying to “right-size” projects to address specific needs more cost-effectively, such as by making less expensive intersection improvements rather than expanding capacity on an entire segment. In another example, the Council of Mayors and City of Chicago have recently revised the way local STP funds are allocated to emphasize transportation need.

*IDOT* should apply its new performance-based programming criteria, evaluate outcomes, and continue to refine the criteria.

*IDOT, transit agencies, counties, local councils, and municipalities* should incorporate the ON TO 2050 indicators and federal performance measures into their project selection and funding allocation decisions.

*CMAP and partners* should continue to evaluate the outcomes of regional transportation prioritization efforts, screening for equity and other desired outcomes and making iterative improvements to criteria for achieving those outcomes.

*RTA and transit agencies* should commit to a performance-based competitive approach for a portion of existing transit capital funding.

*CMAP and partner agencies* should work together to define how the TIP demonstrates the effect of transportation investments toward meeting the performance targets.
Expand asset management practices to the entire transportation system

There is great potential value in expanding asset management beyond the transit system and the NHS to local roads and local jurisdictions. As of 2016, only 40 percent of the region’s municipalities used a pavement condition measure as part of a pavement management system and set long-term targets for pavement condition. While fully implementing pavement management systems can sometimes reduce maintenance expenditures, these plans have also provided convincing evidence of the need to devote more resources to preventing long-term declines in pavement conditions. Because their budgets are so limited, many communities with pavement management systems prioritize fixing the worst conditions first rather than undertaking preventive maintenance. But this practice only drives up costs in the long-term and limits their capacity to undertake preventive maintenance.

More uniformity in data collection and analysis may help decision makers understand and prioritize pavement conditions. While IDOT collects pavement data for the National Highway System, there is limited pavement data for the remainder of the federal-aid system, consisting of collector streets and minor arterial highways. Furthermore, there is no uniformly adopted measure of pavement condition within the region. Improving the consistency of pavement condition data will enable the first region-wide pavement condition data system for all federal-aid roadways not on the NHS.

Local agencies should implement pavement management systems and base pavement management decisions on minimizing lifecycle maintenance costs.

CMAP should pilot asset management plans employing lifecycle cost principles with local communities.

Transit agencies should continue to invest in systems that allow for tracking and evaluating the impact of investments on asset condition.

CMAP should work with partner agencies toward uniformity in pavement data collection.

COGs and CMAP should develop trainings to assist all of the region’s municipalities in implementing and improving asset management systems over the long term.

Build regionally significant projects

Regionally significant projects (RSPs) are capital investments in the region’s expressways, transit system, and arterials with impacts and benefits that are large enough to warrant additional discussion through the regional planning process. These include large reconstruction projects and additions to the system. The federal government requires regional planning agencies to demonstrate fiscal constraint by showing that sufficient resources will be available to construct projects recommended in the plan.
In keeping with the ON TO 2050 principles as well as the recommendations of this Mobility chapter, the plan includes a relatively small number of constrained regionally significant projects as priorities and recommends further study of others that are classified as "unconstrained." Only constrained projects are eligible to receive federal transportation funds and obtain certain federal approvals. These constrained projects can help the region meet today’s needs, adapt to changing mobility patterns for goods and people, and support economic success overall. The plan focuses particularly on projects that reconstruct or enhance the existing network, with few expansion projects. Implementation of many of these projects will require action not only on the projects themselves, but on implementing additional local, regional, state, and federal transportation revenues. If current revenues and trends remain the same, the region will have fewer resources for RSPs.
Constrained Regionally Significant transit Projects (RSPs)

- Constrained rail projects
- Constrained bus projects
- CTA and Metra rail system

Note: Labels correspond to RSP IDs in project descriptions
Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning.
To identify constrained RSPs, CMAP solicited candidate projects from partner agencies as well as from the public, then undertook an extensive evaluation of the benefits of the projects, which is documented in the Project Benefits Report appendix. Candidate projects meet one of the following thresholds:

1. Costs at least $100 million and either (a) changes capacity on the National Highway System or is a new expressway or principal arterial, or (b) changes capacity on transit services with some separate rights of way or shared right of way where transit has priority over other traffic

2. Costs at least $250 million and improves the state of good repair for a particular highway or transit facility

Evaluation of each project focused on the current need, the modeled benefit with 2050 population and employment, and the degree to which the project fits with ON TO 2050 planning priorities. See the RSPs Benefits Report Appendix for more details about methodology.

For expressway and arterial projects, current need includes whether a project addresses a significant congestion, safety, or reliability problem occurring today. This includes whether the roadway is a near-term priority for pavement reconstruction or bridge replacement, although over the long-term time frame of the plan, many assets will deteriorate to the point of requiring replacement. For transit projects, assessment of current need includes the degree to which a project will improve current state of repair or help relieve a capacity constraint, which is analogous to congestion on the highway system. See the strategies Invest in and protect transit’s core strengths, Continue to plan for system modernization while making progress toward state of good repair, and Continue to update roadway designs to reduce speeding and crashes for related policy actions.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: Map showing roadway needs.]

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show capacity constraints on CTA rail and Metra (adapted from the ON TO 2050 Transit Trends snapshot report).]
The evaluation of 2050 performance is based on socioeconomic forecasts and travel demand modeling that estimates which projects will have the highest future benefits relative to cost. CMAP evaluated how much each expressway project improved job accessibility, commute times, and crash rates. Expressway projects were also evaluated for their impact on regional congestion, a federal performance measure and ON TO 2050 indicator. The RTA evaluated transit projects for their impact on regional transit ridership, an ON TO 2050 indicator, and changes in job access.

The planning priorities assessment connects the RSP evaluation to the three overarching principles of ON TO 2050. Given the important role of inclusive growth in ON TO 2050, the evaluation looks closely at how much a project improves access for EDAs, and particularly how it connects EDAs to jobs in industries with low barriers to entry and potential for upward mobility. (See recommendation Leverage the transportation network to promote inclusive growth for related strategies). Part of resilience is protecting against injury to the natural systems that sustain the region, so the evaluation examines a project’s potential environmental impacts caused by construction, increased traffic volumes, and whether the project supports infill development or encourages additional development in high quality natural areas. The principle of prioritized investment informs the entire project evaluation process, but the evaluation also takes into consideration how each project affects the region’s economy.

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will explain economic benefits of transportation investment.]

The constrained RSPs total $72.7 billion in year of expenditure (YOE) dollars, which takes into account incremental operating costs ($3.7 billion) and capital costs ($18.7 billion for new capacity and $50.3 billion for reconstruction elements) as well as anticipated cost inflation by the time the project is constructed and begins operation. Except for highway or transit extensions, most projects include reconstruction elements. The cost of fixing existing infrastructure is accounted for separately in the financial plan forecast, and only the cost associated with new capacity requires identifying additional available resources to meet fiscal constraint. Approximately 60 percent of the new capacity cost is for transit projects and 40 percent for highway improvements.

ON TO 2050 acknowledges that tolling will be needed to defray the costs of rebuilding the expressway system and that value capture will be required to fund transit needs. The plan assumes that tolling on all lanes would be implemented following most planned reconstruction projects, generating $14.6 billion in bond proceeds to offset project costs. Transit projects can also generate revenue to offset their costs. Recently authorized state legislation allows Transit Facility Improvement Areas in which a form of value capture can be used to fund transit capital investments. Four areas defined in statute that benefit from rail service can have part of their property tax revenues directed to repay bonds issued to pay for capital costs. ON TO 2050
includes $2.97 billion in bond proceeds from value capture to offset transit project costs. For more formation about revenue, see the recommendation "Fully fund the region's transportation system."

**Important project types that do not meet the RSP threshold**

Some types of projects do not meet the cost threshold for RSPs, but they are nonetheless important to fund and implement as systematic enhancements to the transportation system. For example, the officially adopted Northeastern Illinois Greenways and Trails Plan (RGTP) envisions a network of continuous greenway and trail corridors, linked across jurisdictions, providing scenic beauty, natural habitat, and recreational and transportation opportunities. Completion of this plan and complementary on-street facilities would create a robust, integrated network, connecting cyclists and pedestrians to communities and amenities across the region. Since 2013, CMAP has been using the RGTP to guide funding decisions for the Transportation Alternatives Program.
Regional trails, existing, planned, and under development

- **Existing**
- **Planned**
- **Under development**

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, 2017.
It is also crucial to make transit stations and the street network surrounding them accessible for all. The CTA has initiated an All Stations Accessibility Program that will establish a blueprint for making the 42 remaining non-accessible rail stations accessible over the next 20 years. These remaining stations have unique needs and require complex design and engineering work as well as additional financial resources.

The Chicago Region Environmental and Transportation Efficiency program (CREATE) is a public-private partnership between freight railroads, U.S. DOT, IDOT, the City of Chicago, Metra, and Amtrak. First announced in 2003, the CREATE program consists of 70 projects spanning a range of rail infrastructure improvements. As of January 2018, 29 projects have been completed, five are under construction, 17 are in various design stages, and the remaining 19 projects will begin upon identification of funding resources. Most of the CREATE program’s funding to date has come from the public sector, primarily the federal and state governments, and yet implementation of its most public-facing projects has lagged. The 75th Street Corridor Improvement Project (75th St. CIP) is the largest, most complex, and most significant remaining component of the CREATE program, and a constrained RSP. After completion of the 75th St. CIP, the remaining projects include Passenger Corridors and grade separation projects that do not always meet the RSP threshold. These projects provide direct benefit to the public via improved Metra and Amtrak rail services and reduced delay for trucks, motorists, and transit.

The following outlines the selected regionally significant projects that can help the region improve mobility, the economy, and quality of life.

---


Expressway Projects

Because of pressing needs on the existing expressway system and the region’s limited financial resources, ON TO 2050 does not make major commitments to building and then maintaining new roadways to serve mostly future demand. Instead, the region must reinvest in the existing system. The region’s expressways were largely built in the 1950s and 1960s, and the standard lifespan of these facilities is 50 years. While pavement and bridge rehabilitation can extend the life of these assets, by 2050 the only economical improvement will be a complete rebuild. Due to lagging investment in the region’s road system, that rebuild is needed almost immediately in several cases. By emphasizing reinvestment in the current system, the region can also help support existing communities and, crucially, limit the environmental impacts and long-term costs of constructing new infrastructure. For all expressway projects, implementers will have to consider opportunities to defray construction costs, improve system performance, and support transit service through tolling and managed lanes, as well as consider the value for money and public risk that a PPP might provide as a project delivery mechanism.

The oldest parts of the existing system are also the most affected by chronically unreliable travel times and in some places have major safety problems, both of which can be addressed through design and investments in active traffic management as part of the reconstruction. In some cases, adding capacity through new managed lanes is also appropriate. Managed lanes make the most of any investment in new road capacity by using pricing to control the amount of traffic entering the lanes, which in turn helps keep the lanes uncongested. They also give travelers an option to ensure reliably fast trips even during peak periods.

The following are the constrained regionally significant expressway projects

[GRAPHIC TO COME: Each constrained expressway project will have a graphic representation of a selection of the measures from the RSP evaluation process. A full list of project evaluation results can be found in the RSP project benefits appendix.]

Elgin O'Hare Western Access, RSP 20
The Elgin O'Hare Western Access (EOWA) project will provide a new, limited-access facility to reduce congestion and improve access to the airport, supporting the ongoing modernization and expansion of O'Hare. Federal approval for the EOWA was given in 2013, and construction is now underway. The project includes three main components: reconstructing and widening the existing Elgin O'Hare Expressway, extending the expressway east to O'Hare, and adding an expressway around the western side of O'Hare from I-90 to I-294 (the western bypass). All three components will be tolled. It is expected to include express bus service. The first two components are expected to be complete in 2018, while the western bypass is planned for 2025.

Jane Byrne Interchange Reconstruction, RSP 33
The Jane Byrne Interchange Reconstruction project modernizes the busiest intersection in the region, which has not had a major rehabilitation since it was first built more than a half-century
ago. While it is mostly a reconstruction, an additional lane is being added on the east-north and north-west ramps, as well as three new flyovers. A new through-lane will also be added on I-90/94, correcting a deficiency that forces drivers to switch lanes when entering the interchange. Both the capacity and reconstruction elements of the project are considered constrained in ON TO 2050. The new ramp configurations and added lanes are expected to improve safety and significantly reduce crashes for all users. The project is currently under construction.

**I-55 Stevenson Managed Lanes, RSP 146**

The I-55 Stevenson Expressway is one of the most congested segments in the Chicago area. This project would add managed lanes from I-355 to the Dan Ryan. Because of the wide inside shoulder with full-depth pavement along part of the route, adding managed lanes can be relatively inexpensive, making it the most cost-effective congestion reduction project evaluated. IDOT currently anticipates adding two new lanes to assure travel time reliability. Given the success of the I-55 bus on shoulder service, IDOT should specifically incorporate bus priority features into the roadway design and plan for increased service as an improvement over the current bus on shoulder service. Riders on this service would benefit as free users of the managed lane.

IDOT is seeking to build the project through a public-private partnership. It will be critical for the Department to protect the public interest by using a PPP structure that transfers some of the risk to the private partner. It is not assumed that all existing lanes will be tolled as part of this project, but over the longer term, when the adjacent general purpose lanes are reconstructed as part of I-55 Stevenson Expressway Reconstruction (RSP 137), tolling should be implemented on all lanes. This project is also supported in the Will County Community Friendly Freight Mobility Plan.

**I-55 Barack Obama Presidential Expressway Add-Lanes and Reconstruction, RSP 34**

This section of I-55 from I-80 to Coal City Road, contains a 1,400-foot bridge over the Des Plaines River that was built in 1957 and requires frequent rehabilitation. Also importantly, this southern segment of I-55 in Will County serves three large logistics parks and two intermodal rail terminals. The road is typically two lanes in each direction, an operational challenge because of the large numbers of trucks entering, exiting, and traveling on the road. This project would make near-term interchange and spot capacity improvements and ultimately add an additional lane.

**I-80 Managed Lanes (US 30 to I-294), RSP 37**

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show improvements to I-80.]

Due to the high volume of trucks on I-80, local safety concerns, and other travel needs, I-80 east of US 30 should also be expanded to include managed lanes, with a full examination of options that improve operations across the whole I-80 corridor (in conjunction with RSP 36) and
includes consideration of truck-only lanes, full facility tolling, and managed lanes. This project is also supported in the Will County Community Friendly Freight Mobility Plan.

**Western I-80 Reconstruction and Mobility Improvements (Ridge Road to US 30), RSP 36**

The western segment of I-80 from Ridge Road to US 30 in Will County is in critical need of improvement, with failing pavement conditions and the bridge over the Des Plaines River requiring replacement. While this segment has immediate needs, and IDOT will soon be seeking design approval, a full examination of the I-80 corridor to include prospects for developing managed lanes, including truck-only lanes and full facility tolling, is recommended. This project is also supported in the Will County Community Friendly Freight Mobility Plan.

**I-190 Access Improvements, RSP 32**

O’Hare International Airport and its surrounding freight and manufacturing development are an economic engine for the region, but the area experiences significant congestion and unreliable travel times. The I-190 Access Improvements project consists of reconfiguring arterial access to I-190 and O’Hare International Airport to improve mobility as well as ultimately reconstructing and adding capacity to mainline I-190. Elements of this project are under construction or have been completed. There is a need to evaluate a long-term funding strategy for this project, which could include tolling.

**I-290 Eisenhower Reconstruction and Managed Lanes, RSP 30**

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show improvements to I-290 and CTA Blue Line reconstruction.]

This project would reconstruct the second oldest pavement on the expressway system and address many bridges that are in poor condition. The Eisenhower consistently ranks as one of the five most congested segments in the region, partly because of the bottleneck created where it drops from four lanes to three west of Central Avenue. It suffers significant safety problems because of several left-hand ramps. I-290 is a multimodal corridor, and this project is closely linked to CTA Blue Line Forest Park Reconstruction (RSP 93), as well as a high-performing potential segment of Pace’s proposed express bus network. This project will include improving bicycle and pedestrian facilities near CTA stations and interchanges in the project corridor, construction of a multi-use trail connecting the Prairie Path and Columbus Park, and configurations that can accommodate express bus service or other future transit investments. The project received a record of decision from FHWA in 2017 to rebuild the expressway and add a high-occupancy toll lane. Given the cost of the project and the lack of alternative fund sources, IDOT should strongly consider tolling the entire facility to offset its construction cost as well as potentially implementing a dual managed lane to improve reliability.

**I-290/IL 53/I-90 Interchange Improvement, RSP 21**

This project would improve a cloverleaf interchange that is integrated with ramps to and from the Woodfield Mall in Schaumburg, causing weaving, congestion, and crashes. Some of the loop
ramps would be replaced with higher-capacity directional ramps to reduce crashes and improve flow. This project has been studied but requires additional comprehensive analysis, including studying opportunities to support future transit service.

**I-294/I-290 Interchange Improvement, RSP 24**
The I-290 Eisenhower/I-294 Tri-State interchange has insufficient capacity on ramps and heavy truck volumes. Loop ramps and weaving movements cause congestion and high crash rates. Congestion on southbound I-290 can extend to 14 hours of the day. This project will reconstruct the interchange to reduce weaving movements, replace loop ramps with higher-capacity directional ramps, and reduce crashes. A key benefit will be to improve capacity from the south leg (I-294) to and from the northwest (I-290), which is a regional bottleneck. The Tollway is leading the study of these improvements as part of I-294 Central Tri-State Reconstruction and Mobility Improvements (RSP 23).

**I-294 Central Tri-State Reconstruction and Mobility Improvements, RSP 23**
The central portion of the I-294 Tristate (95th Street to Balmoral Avenue) has the oldest pavement on the expressway system, yet it is also the most-heavily used portion of the Tollway system. The Tollway proposes to rebuild the expressway and add a flex lane along portions of the route. This presents opportunities to integrate express bus service, and the design of the project should specifically include express bus facilities.

**I-294/I-57 Interchange Addition, RSP 22**
The crossing of I-294 and I-57 is the only place in the region, and one of very few locations in the country, where two interstates cross but do not have an interchange. The I-294/I-57 interchange project will connect these two interstates for improved accessibility to and from the south suburbs and for improved north-south regional travel. Construction of Phase 1 was completed in 2014 and provided new ramps to connect northbound I-57 to northbound I-294 and southbound I-294 to southbound I-57, as well as an entrance and exit ramp from I-294 to 147th Street. The final phase is planned for completion in 2024.

**Expressway projects with constrained longer-term reconstruction needs only**
ON TO 2050 covers a planning period of 32 years, during which many expressway segments will come to the end of their useful lives. Many of these segments were submitted as regionally significant projects with both reconstruction and additional capacity components. In future project studies on these segments, adding capacity should be considered, and CMAP’s evaluation suggests that in many cases this capacity would be beneficial. However, in ON TO 2050, only the reconstruction elements of the following projects are constrained:

- I-57 Reconstruction (I-94 to I-80, I-80 to Will/Kankakee border), RSP 35
- I-94 Bishop Ford Expressway Reconstruction, RSP 135
- I-90/I-94 Kennedy and Dan Ryan Expressway Reconstruction (Hubbard to 31st Street), RSP 136
- I-55 Stevenson/Barack Obama Presidential Expressway Reconstruction, RSP 137
- I-90 Kennedy Expressway Reconstruction (East River Road to Edens Junction), RSP 138
- I-94 Edens Expressway Reconstruction, RSP 139
- I-90/I-94 Kennedy Expressway Reconstruction (Edens Junction to Hubbard Street), RSP 140
- I-290/IL-53 Reconstruction, RSP 141

**Transit projects**

Like the expressway system, much of the rail network will need to be rebuilt during the planning period. Given significant financial constraints and the needs of the existing system, ON TO 2050 limits expansion of the system, instead emphasizing improvements that enable the current system to carry more passengers more quickly and reliably, particularly on lines that have capacity constraints. In some cases, this entails also expanding overall capital by purchasing more rolling stock (trains and buses) to allow for increased service. Faster, more comfortable, higher frequency, and more reliable transit service is a key to increasing transit ridership. The *Make transit more competitive* recommendation outlines the many other policy and land use planning actions that need to be taken to make these investments successful and lay the groundwork for additional future transit enhancements. Both rail and bus improvements are recommended in ON TO 2050.

*The following are the constrained regionally significant transit projects*

[GRAPHIC TO COME: Each constrained transit project will have a graphic representation of a selection of the measures from the RSP evaluation process. A full list of project evaluation results can be found in the RSP project benefits appendix.]

**CTA Blue Line Forest Park Reconstruction, RSP 93**

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show improvements to I-290 and CTA Blue Line reconstruction.]

This project would reconstruct the Forest Park Branch of the Blue Line, which is in a deteriorated condition. It includes full modernization of existing infrastructure and upgrades for future capacity increases. The project will reconstruct and reconfigure the Forest Park Terminal and Yard. The CTA’s Blue Line Vision study was conducted in coordination with IDOT’s planning process for the Eisenhower reconstruction (RSP 30), and CTA and IDOT should continue to coordinate these closely related projects throughout their reconstruction. This project would have a high economic impact for the investment required as well as benefits to EDAs.
CTA Blue Line Capacity Project, RSP 147
This project would provide for capacity increases on the Blue Line, based on significant projected ridership growth on the O’Hare branch. This project would include improvements to the traction power system such as wayside energy storage systems, third rail replacement, and/or new infill substations or auxiliary negative rail. It may also include a turn-back track, yard and station improvements, and station expansion. A load flow study is underway to better understand needs. This project supports the O’Hare International Airport expansion and access for tourists and other visitors to the region. There may be some overlap in geographic area between this project and the CTA Blue Line Forest Park Reconstruction (RSP 93) but for the purposes of this plan, project elements have not been double counted.

CTA North Red/Purple Line Modernization Phase One, RSP 58A
The Red/Purple Modernization project envisions a modernization of the 100-year old “L” lines serving the North Side of Chicago and is a significant reinvestment in existing communities. As CTA’s most capacity-constrained line, the project would include a bypass separating the Red Line and Purple Line tracks from the Brown Line north of the Belmont Station to allow higher passenger capacity. The project also reconstructs deteriorated rail infrastructure and stations between the Lawrence and Bryn Mawr stations and replaces the signal system along the corridor. It has committed funding under the federal New Starts program as well as under TFIA, and is currently beginning pre-construction work.

CTA North Red/Purple Line Modernization Future Phases, RSP 58B
[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show improvements to CTA North Red/Purple line.]

Future phases of the Red/Purple Modernization project will continue to address deteriorated structure, track, and station conditions from the Belmont to Linden stops as well as allow for additional service. Modeling suggests very high benefits to additional service on the line made possible by investments in capacity, with the largest expected economic impacts of any of the projects evaluated. Reconstruction of viaducts also offers the potential to open neighborhood thoroughfares. Because of the need to reconstruct so much of the existing facility, the project is costly. It is expected that value capture through TFIA would also be able to provide a contribution to the overall cost.

CTA Red Line South Extension, RSP 57
Residents of the South Side of Chicago and the near south suburbs suffer long transit commute times. By extending the Red Line south to 130th Street from its current terminus at 95th Street, the area it serves would see improved access to jobs, particularly by easy transfers to CTA rail downtown, and reduced travel times. The project is relatively cost-effective at increasing ridership and has a high benefit to EDAs. Because it will also allow for a larger yard, it will help address capacity constraints on the whole line. The large park-and-ride lot to be constructed at
the 130th Street station will provide new commute options for southern Cook County as well. Value capture through the TFIA legislation should be used to help fund the project.

**City of Chicago BRT group**
This group of projects includes a significant investment in speeding bus travel within the city of Chicago. Although CDOT and CTA have both investigated numerous routes, a final set of projects has not been identified. More planning must occur to identify the highest ridership routes on which speed and reliability improvements would be most beneficial. The program includes Ashland Avenue BRT (RSP 106), a project with strong performance but on which progress has stalled. It is the most cost-effective project modeled for ON TO 2050. The program also includes the South Halsted BRT route (RSP 108), a collaboration between CTA and Pace, which would have significant benefits to EDAs. Finally, the currently identified list includes the South Lakefront-Museum Campus Access Improvement (RSP 104), which would address the difficulty of reaching the museum campus by transit and help promote tourism.

**Metra A-2 Crossing, RSP 98**
This project would reconstruct the A-2 Crossing (Western Avenue and Kinzie Street) between Union Pacific and Milwaukee District tracks. The rebuild will help reduce conflicts between Milwaukee District North, Milwaukee District West, North Central Service, and Union Pacific West trains and improve reliability for passengers. The project would have a high economic impact for the investment. Among the alternatives under evaluation are moving the crossing to a new location one mile east and constructing a flyover near the current crossing.

**Metra BNSF Improvements, RSP 72**
The BNSF Improvements project benefits new and existing riders on Metra’s highest ridership line and is the second most cost-effective of all the projects studied. This project would make track, signal, and other improvements to the BNSF Line to support growth in ridership and upgrades to the capacity of the line. Improvements would allow for additional express service to the highest ridership stations on the line alleviating crowding. A new station at Eola Road in Naperville could provide additional commuter options and relief for congested stations.

**Metra Milwaukee District West Improvements, RSP 79**
This project would make track, signal, and other improvements to the Milwaukee District West Line to support increased capacity. A storage yard and maintenance facility expansion will enable additional peak period express and reverse commute service. Adding a fourth track from the A-5 junction to Randolph Street in Chicago will also benefit MD-N and NCS. The replacement of the Fox River Bridge (Z-100) is currently underway, funded in part by a TIGER grant. A second track across the river will remove a bottleneck that has restricted capacity.

**Metra UP North Improvements, RSP 68**
The UP North has the highest percentage of trains over capacity on the Metra system and has major state-of-good-repair problems. The UP North Improvements will improve the capacity and reliability of the line through installation of crossovers and track improvements, and a new
outlying coach yard will allow for more efficient servicing of equipment and accommodate expansion of service. Reconstruction of the bridges along the line is a major cost item in the project and will provide significant state-of-good-repair improvements. In addition to planned upgrades to existing stations, a new station at Peterson and Ridge avenues is funded.

**Metra UP Northwest Improvements and Extension, RSP 66**

The UP Northwest is one of Metra’s most capacity-constrained lines, with inadequate yard space that forces ad hoc storage of trains on sidings along the route. A 1.6-mile extension to Johnsburg from McHenry will also allow space for new yards. Other infrastructure upgrades include improvements to the signal system, crossovers, and track improvements to increase capacity and reliability. Two additional stations will be added to the line at Prairie Grove and Ridgefield. These combined improvements are estimated to increase ridership considerably on the line. Planning for transit-supportive development at new stations and for feeder bus service will increase access along the line.

**Metra UP West Improvements, RSP 69**

The UP West Improvements will provide track, signal, safety, and infrastructure improvements to increase passenger service and coordinate with freight traffic. Specifically, a third track will be added to an existing double-track portion of the line east of Elmhurst. These improvements will enable the UP West to better serve as an alternative to the BNSF line and also to operate more effectively in coordination with freight rail movements. Part of the project involves upgrades to signal systems, crossovers, pedestrian safety improvements, and new triple track.

**Metra Rock Island Improvements, RSP 70**

Metra’s improvements to the Rock Island District (RID) Line will enhance coordination between freight and Metra trains as well as allow for eventual connection of the SouthWest Service (SWS) with LaSalle Street Station. This project will improve rail freight movement through the region, provide capacity for additional express service, reduce congestion, and improve access at Union Station. Improvements include adding a third track between Gresham Junction and a point north of 16th Street Junction, new signals, and an expanded and modernized 47th Street Yard, which will have major efficiency benefits to Metra operations. CREATE Project P1, a rail flyover at the Englewood interlocking, is also part of this project and is complete.

**75th St. Corridor Investment Program / Metra SouthWest Service Enhancements, RSP 67**

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show improvements to 75th St. corridor.]

This is one of the last major CREATE projects. Six major railroads -- two passenger and four freight -- pass through the 75th Street corridor on Chicago’s South Side, crossing each other and local roads and creating intense train and road traffic back-ups. In addition, the current track layout routes Metra’s Southwest Service to the congested Union Station. The proposed improvements include two rail-to-rail grade separations to untangle the railroad tracks, including a flyover to reroute the Metra Southwest Service to the less congested La Salle Street.
Station. This, combined with additional Southwest Service track and less freight interference, will facilitate additional trains and other service improvements for the Southwest Service. The engineering for this project is advanced; final design is required. It has strong potential as a public-private project among the State of Illinois, City of Chicago, Cook County, Metra, and private railroads.

Pace Pulse Expansion, RSP 102A

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show Pulse improvements.]

The Pace Pulse program of projects would speed bus service on Pace’s most heavily used routes by implementing TSP, stations with enhanced amenities, and other improvements. Modeling suggests that the project would be very cost-effective and would have significant average commute time savings for a bus project. Only the near-term projects in the full 24-route program are considered constrained in ON TO 2050.

West Loop Transportation Center Phase I (Union Station) Improvements, RSP 85

The West Loop Transportation Center is envisioned as a new transportation hub that would reconfigure Chicago Union Station and ultimately lead to greatly improved connections between rapid transit, bus, commuter rail, and intercity rail services. Amtrak is the owner and operator of Union Station, and this project will also promote access for tourism, as well as intercity bus and rail connections. Only Phase 1 is on the fiscally constrained project list; it will increase capacity within the existing footprint of Union Station by creating new platforms and tracks and by repurposing currently inactive tracks and platforms formerly used for mail handling. It will also expand platforms used by Metra commuters, reconfigure the station’s internal spaces to increase passenger capacity, and provide a weather-protected pedestrian connection to the Blue Line. Continued attention to intercity bus accommodations is needed in Phase 1. It is expected that value capture through TFIA would also be able to provide a contribution to the overall cost. Phase 2 is envisioned as creating a new subway along Clinton to connect from Union Station to the Blue Line; this element is unconstrained.

Arterial projects

The arterial projects considered in ON TO 2050 are confined to larger improvements to the non-interstate portion of the NHS, that is, the major roadways that carry a quarter of the traffic in the region. There are many needs for traffic flow, safety, and pavement and bridge condition improvement on this roadway system alone. Most of the projects submitted are relatively short-term priorities for implementers, with construction expected in four to seven years, and with design approval already in place or anticipated to be sought before the ON TO 2050 update.

North Lake Shore Drive Improvements (RSP 89)

[GRAPHIC TO COME: An illustrated graphic will show North Lake Shore Drive improvements. This project will also have a graphic representation of a selection of the
measures from the RSP evaluation process. A full list of project evaluation results can be found in the RSP project benefits appendix.]

The North Lake Shore Drive Improvements project is a unique, complex, and multi-faceted project that would reconstruct numerous failing bridges, correct major safety deficiencies, improve severe travel time unreliability, and protect the drive from worsening storm damage. Lake Shore Drive is a very high ridership transit corridor; during the peak, buses carry 30 percent of travelers between Fullerton Avenue and Oak Street in 1 percent of the vehicles, and over the course of a full day carry 34,000 riders, more than many CTA rail branches. Treatments that provide transit priority to speed bus travel and allow for more service should be key elements of the project. The Redefine the Drive study is currently evaluating alternatives to identify the best way to improve the drive for all users, including drivers, transit riders, and lakefront park users. It is critical for the project to include managed lane strategies to help guarantee more predictable travel, with a strong emphasis on pricing strategies.

The following are the other constrained regionally significant arterial projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>RSP ID</th>
<th>Total cost (VOE $b)</th>
<th>North/West Limits</th>
<th>South/East Limits</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Av</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>BRC Railroad</td>
<td>54th St</td>
<td>Addresses grade crossing that is part of CREATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-31 Front St</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>IL-120</td>
<td>IL-176</td>
<td>Addresses heavy congestion in eastern McHenry County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-43/Harlem Ave</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>$0.2</td>
<td>BRC Railroad</td>
<td>65th St</td>
<td>Addresses grade crossing that is part of CREATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-47</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>$0.3</td>
<td>Charles Rd</td>
<td>Reed Rd</td>
<td>Improves deficient bridges and improves operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>IL-176/Maple Ave</td>
<td>CN RR</td>
<td>Addresses heavy congestion in Lake County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-62/Algonquin Rd</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>IL-25</td>
<td>IL-68</td>
<td>Addresses safety, condition, and congestion issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-83/Barron Blvd</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>Petite Lake Rd</td>
<td>IL-120/Belvidere Rd</td>
<td>Provides better reliability and freight mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-83/Kingery Hwy</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>31st St</td>
<td>Central Ave</td>
<td>Estimated to provide significant economic benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-131/Greenbay Rd</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$0.2</td>
<td>Russell Rd</td>
<td>Sunset Ave</td>
<td>Provides better reliability and has equity benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-173/Rosecrans Rd</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>IL-59</td>
<td>US-41/Skokie Hwy</td>
<td>Addresses heavy congestion in Lake County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laraway Rd</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$0.3</td>
<td>US-52</td>
<td>Harlem Ave</td>
<td>Provides upgrade roadway to accommodate growth in corridor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Comprehensive Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>RSP ID</th>
<th>Total cost (YOE $b)</th>
<th>North/West Limits</th>
<th>South/East Limits</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randall Rd</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>$0.5</td>
<td>Corporate Boulevard</td>
<td>North of Oak St</td>
<td>Provides significant mobility and economic benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-12/95th St</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>At Stony Island Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td>Addresses significant intersection operational issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-20/Lake St</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>Randall Rd</td>
<td>Shales Pkwy</td>
<td>Replaces deficient bridges and makes key safety improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-45/Olde Half Day Rd</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>IL-60/ Townline Rd</td>
<td>IL-22/Half Day Rd</td>
<td>Addresses major mobility/reliability need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vollmer Rd</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>$0.1</td>
<td>Kedzie Ave</td>
<td>Western Ave</td>
<td>Benefits EDAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington-Peotone Rd</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$0.3</td>
<td>IL 53</td>
<td>Drecksler Rd</td>
<td>Improves freight movement and provides economic benefits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unconstrained projects

Numerous projects could not be included within the fiscally constrained portion of ON TO 2050, either because they require more study or because they cannot be completed within the limits of the region’s forecasted revenues. Projects that meet the RSP definition cannot receive environmental clearance from FHWA or FTA under the National Environmental Policy Act, or access certain federal funding and financing programs, without being in the fiscally constrained portion of the plan. However, projects on the unconstrained list can continue to be studied. The required four-year update of ON TO 2050 in 2022 will provide an opportunity to review the list of RSPs. In addition, plan amendments can be offered outside of the update cycle according to an amendment process that will be tailored to reflect ON TO 2050’s planning priorities.
The following are the unconstrained regionally significant projects

Caton Farm Road – Bruce Road Corridor
This project would provide a new bridge over the Des Plaines River and I & M Canal as well as approach roadway to join Caton Farm Road and Bruce Road in Will County. Various alignments are presently being studied. Further work is needed to select a final alignment and develop a financing plan for the project before consideration for the fiscally constrained portion of the plan.

Chicago Streetcar Light Rail Lines
Numerous routes for light rail lines in the city of Chicago were submitted by the public, in some cases replacing existing CTA bus service. Limited planning has been conducted with only high-level cost estimation. Modeling for some routes suggests they could generate appreciable ridership, and have positive economic impacts and other benefits. However, they would represent a relatively high capital investment while not addressing existing system state-of-good-repair needs.

Circle Line
The Circle Line is a proposed circumferential rail service that would connect several existing CTA rail lines. It would be built in two phases, with the north section traveling largely along Ashland Avenue from the Green/Pink Lines to North/Clybourn on the Red Line. The southern portion of the Circle Line would run from the Ashland Station on the Green/Pink Lines to the Orange Line and use that right of way to enter the Loop. This project is costly for the level of benefits it would provide. Ashland BRT also would serve the corridor more cost-effectively.

CrossRail Chicago
This far-reaching and ambitious project involves electrifying and making operational changes to the Metra North Central Service as well as linking that service to the Metra Electric via the St. Charles Air Line south of Union Station. Some improvements considered part of CrossRail are constrained in ON TO 2050, such as the A-2 Crossing. The project shares some elements with the O'Hare Express and may depend on that project’s outcome.

Cross-Town Tollway and CTA Route
The Cross-Town Expressway would be a new expressway along Cicero Avenue in Chicago. As submitted by the public, it also includes a rail line similar to the Mid-City Transitway. While it

CMAP, IDOT, and the Tollway are partnering to develop a multijurisdictional, multimodal vision for the region’s expressway system that will build on the constrained project list, identifying additional potential investments in the existing system that can improve operations, support transit, and benefit the environment, as well as the additional financial commitments needed to implement this vision. These investments and revenues are outside the scope of ON TO 2050.
CTA Blue Line West Extension
This project would extend the CTA Blue Line Forest Park Branch to the west along the I-290 and I-88 corridors, with an interim terminus at Mannheim Rd. and an ultimate terminus as far west as Lombard. However, there are major state-of-good-repair needs on the existing line, and improving existing service through the constrained Forest Park Branch Reconstruction project would have a much larger positive impact on riders than extending it westward.

CTA Brown Line Core Capacity
Following station reconstructions and platform extensions to serve eight-car trains in the mid-2000s, this project would further increase capacity on the Brown Line. A program of potential improvements, including reconfiguring the Kimball Yard, upgrading signals, and adding a turnback to short-turn trains, should receive additional study.

CTA Brown Line Extension
This project would extend the CTA Brown Line along Lawrence Avenue from Kimball to the Jefferson Park Transit Center. Rail alternatives are costly relative to the benefits they would provide.

CTA Green Line Extension
This project would extend the Green Line to its historical terminus at Stony Island Avenue. It has a high cost relative to its expected benefits.

CTA Orange Line Extension
This project would extend the CTA Orange Line from its current terminus at Midway Airport to the Ford City shopping center. It would have relatively low benefits for its cost.

CTA Yellow Line Enhancements and Extension
This project would extend the Yellow Line from its current terminus at Dempster Street Station to Old Orchard Mall. It would have relatively low benefits for its cost.

I-80 to I-55 Connector
This project would connect the Illiana Expressway and the Prairie Parkway; its utility depends on their construction.

Illiana Expressway
The Illiana Expressway, a new limited-access facility running east-west through southern Will County, would help alleviate truck traffic on rural roads that is associated with intermodal facilities. However, the improvements to I-80 and I-55 recommended in ON TO 2050 will help address these needs while also fixing infrastructure in a state of disrepair. These efforts can also support the existing communities, residents, and jobs in the subregion. The NEPA
documentation under which the project could advance to construction was invalidated by federal court rulings in 2015 and 2016.

**McHenry-Lake Corridor**
The utility of this project, which would build a limited access facility through northeastern McHenry County, depends on the construction of the Tri-County Access.

**Metra Electric Improvements / Modern Metra Electric**
Several proposals to improve the Metra Electric (ME) were considered in ON TO 2050; they have near term promise but need more study. The line has state-of-good-repair challenges that need to be addressed, particularly station condition, and it would have strong benefits for EDAs. Work on the ME should attend closely to the service plan, as initial modeling suggests that eliminating express trains (the Modern Metra Electric proposal) has negative effects on job access. The future of the ME could also benefit from better alignment and integration with CTA service and fare structure and, more broadly, a partnership among agencies in the region committed to implementation.

**Metra extensions**
A number of extensions to Metra lines were examined for ON TO 2050 and are unconstrained (as distinct from improvements to existing lines, several of which are constrained). For the most part, they would generate limited ridership and make limited improvements to job access, although in some cases they help improve existing operations by allowing for more outlying yard space. The most cost-effective of these projects would extend the BNSF to Oswego/Plano. Preliminary engineering on this project has begun. Supportive land use planning should accompany project development, and either Kendall County or areas within the county should consider joining the RTA service area to further the project. Additional extensions considered included extending the Metra Electric line to a future South Suburban Airport, extending the Milwaukee District North line to Wadsworth or Richmond, extending the Milwaukee District West line to Marengo or Hampshire, extending the Rock Island line to Minooka, extending the BNSF line to Sugar Grove, and extending the Heritage Corridor line Wilmington.

**Metra Heritage Corridor Improvements**
This project would reduce freight conflicts, upgrade infrastructure, increase service levels, and add stations. Some elements of this project are associated with CREATE. This project is in an early stage of planning.

**Metra Milwaukee District North Improvements**
This project would enhance the Metra Milwaukee District North line between Fox Lake and the Rondout junction in Lake County by making track, signal, and other improvements. This project is in an early stage of planning.
**Metra North Central Service Improvements**
This project would upgrade Metra North Central Service to allow for full service levels. This project is currently in an early stage of planning.

**Metra Rock Island, UP North, and UP Northwest RER projects**
Submitted by the public, these projects would convert the Metra Rock Island, UP North, and UP Northwest lines from diesel to electric operations and would provide higher-frequency, headway-based rapid transit service. Limited planning has been conducted with only high-level cost estimation. Metra is encouraged to study the system benefits and costs of electrification.

**Metra SouthEast Service**
This project would provide Metra service to communities in southern Cook and northern Will counties. The project is undergoing study currently. A key element of this work should be demonstrating the ability to cover capital and operating costs and showing local financial commitment to provide matching funds for a future New Starts application. Some of the market for the project may be served by the NICTD West Lake corridor, a proposed commuter train service to Dyer, Indiana, that is currently advancing.

**North Algonquin Fox River Crossing**
This project would provide a new bridge over the Fox River in the gap between the IL 62 and US 14 bridges. It is in an early stage of planning.

**North Branch Transitway**
This project, in the early stages of planning, would build a new rapid transit line to serve new development associated with the North Branch Framework Plan in Lincoln Park along the Chicago River. The mode has not been determined.

**O’Hare Airport Express Train**
The City of Chicago is currently studying a train service that would provide a 20-minute or less travel time from O’Hare to downtown and allow baggage check-in. It would be procured as a public-private partnership. Currently at least three service concepts exist with different routes and downtown terminals. Additional study and financial information is needed before consideration for fiscal constraint.

**Pace Express Bus Expansion**
Pace’s collaboration with IDOT and the Tollway to offer faster service by running in the shoulder of I-55 and now I-94 as well as in the flex lane on the Jane Addams has seen early success. Short-term enhancements to Pace’s express bus service are considered constrained, but a longer-term look at express bus expansion opportunities will be part of the Vision for the Northeastern Illinois Expressway System project.
Pace Pulse ART Expansion Mid- and Far-Term
While the agency’s focus is the constrained short-term routes, Pace’s Pulse program includes a number of future routes serving developing markets. These routes would be most effective with supportive land use change over time, and municipalities should specifically seek higher densities and improvements in infrastructure connectivity in those corridors as part of local planning and zoning.

River North-Streeterville Transit Improvements
This project aims to speed bus service on North Michigan Avenue and elsewhere in River North. A project study is ongoing and has not reached a preferred set of improvements; more study is needed before inclusion in the plan.

S.M.A.R.T. (Suburban Metropolitan Area Rapid Transit)
Submitted by the public, this project would build a circumferential monorail. While its modeled improvement to travel times and job access are high, because of its high capital requirements, it is not cost-effective and does not address existing system needs.

STAR Line (Initial, Eastern, and Northern Segments)
This project would create a new rail service from Joliet to Hoffman Estates through western Will, DuPage, and Cook counties, and also connect Hoffman Estates to O’Hare airport along I-90. In addition to the Initial Segment from Joliet to O’Hare, further extensions to Waukegan and Lynwood were also evaluated. Modeling suggests the project would have limited cost-effectiveness. Further, the transit market along I-90 is now served by Pace’s express bus service in the Jane Addams flex lane, and future express bus and Pulse service could provide similar north-south connectivity.

Tri-County Access
A northern extension of IL-53 and expansion of IL-120 in Lake County could have substantial mobility benefits for the region, however a new consensus regarding this project’s scope, design, and financing is needed. Prior planning efforts provide solid foundations to identify a solution, however these studies either need updating or did not complete the federally required analyses needed to support a decision. In 2017, the Tollway, in collaboration with FHWA and IDOT, initiated the Tri-County Access Project EIS to address transportation needs in eastern McHenry, northern Cook, and Lake counties. The Tri-County Access project EIS will build on prior studies to inform the identification of a preferred alternative for transportation improvements in the project area. As it progresses, the Tri-County Access Project should identify fundable solutions that improve mobility, preserve community character, and preserve environmental quality identified in the most recent previous planning effort, the Blue Ribbon Advisory Council report from 2012.296

West Loop Transportation Center Phase II
This project would expand on Phase I improvements to Union Station by building north-south and east-west subway tunnels to connect CTA and Metra service. It is in an early stage of planning.
The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) is our region’s comprehensive planning organization. The agency and its partners are developing ON TO 2050, a new comprehensive regional plan to help the seven counties and 284 communities of northeastern Illinois implement strategies that address transportation, housing, economic development, open space, the environment, and other quality-of-life issues.

ON TO 2050 is scheduled for adoption in October 2018.