




Grayslake

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

August 2024 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the [American Community Survey \(ACS\) five-year estimates](#). All data sources are noted beneath each table.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually, usually in July. The latest version can always be found on the CMAP website at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. Current and historical snapshot data is available in table format at the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any questions to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, and Water Supply tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Grayslake, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Comparing ACS Data Across Past Community Data Snapshots

When using multiple releases of the CDS, please take care not to compare overlapping ACS 5-year estimates. The Census Bureau provides [specific guidance](#) for when it is appropriate to compare ACS data across time. Please contact CMAP staff at info@cmap.illinois.gov if you have additional questions.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Grayslake.

General Population Characteristics, 2022

	Grayslake	Lake County	CMAP Region
Total Population	20,801	713,159	8,527,041
Total Households	8,066	254,794	3,250,640
Average Household Size	2.6	2.7	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2000-10	13.2	9.2	3.5
Percent Population Change, 2010-22	-0.7	1.4	1.1
Percent Population Change, 2000-22	12.4	10.7	4.7

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Race and Ethnicity, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	14,398	69.2	420,382	58.9	4,211,527	49.4
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	2,201	10.6	162,456	22.8	2,023,572	23.7
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1,881	9.0	46,314	6.5	1,380,647	16.2
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	975	4.7	59,847	8.4	648,059	7.6
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1,346	6.5	24,160	3.4	263,236	3.1

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	1,447	7.0	38,677	5.4	484,947	5.7
5 to 19	3,827	18.4	152,634	21.4	1,634,226	19.2
20 to 34	4,322	20.8	131,134	18.4	1,773,356	20.8
35 to 49	4,120	19.8	137,507	19.3	1,709,186	20.0
50 to 64	4,461	21.4	146,417	20.5	1,638,073	19.2
65 to 74	1,640	7.9	64,086	9.0	760,750	8.9
75 to 84	648	3.1	29,625	4.2	367,111	4.3
85 and Over	336	1.6	13,079	1.8	159,392	1.9
Median Age	37.6		38.7		38.1	

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	383	2.7	43,269	9.2	618,095	10.6
High School Diploma or Equivalent	1,566	11.1	93,285	19.8	1,295,874	22.1
Some College, No Degree	2,766	19.6	82,636	17.6	1,073,120	18.3
Associate's Degree	1,044	7.4	30,259	6.4	420,974	7.2
Bachelor's Degree	5,006	35.6	128,444	27.3	1,451,809	24.8
Graduate or Professional Degree	3,315	23.5	92,310	19.6	997,294	17.0

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	18,635	89.6	578,336	81.1	6,893,195	80.8
Foreign Born	2,166	10.4	134,823	18.9	1,633,846	19.2

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	16,034	82.8	472,700	70.1	5,497,147	68.4
Spanish	1,310	6.8	120,854	17.9	1,487,384	18.5
Slavic Languages	334	1.7	19,829	2.9	286,442	3.6
Chinese	195	1.0	7,868	1.2	91,920	1.1
Tagalog	159	0.8	6,483	1.0	75,495	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	1,307	0.2	61,840	0.8
Korean	23	0.1	4,850	0.7	36,659	0.5
Other Asian Languages	481	2.5	12,671	1.9	115,578	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	746	3.9	25,373	3.8	332,322	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	72	0.4	2,547	0.4	57,307	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	3,320	17.2	201,782	29.9	2,544,947	31.6
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	744	3.8	67,009	9.9	943,698	11.7

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Population with a Disability, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Number of People with a Disability	2,034	9.8	67,250	9.4	854,027	10.0
One Type of Disability Only	1,087	5.2	37,958	5.3	455,218	5.3
Two or More Types of Disability	947	4.6	29,292	4.1	398,809	4.7

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Disability by Type, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Hearing Difficulty	737	3.5	18,983	2.7	206,018	2.4
Vision Difficulty	226	1.1	12,286	1.7	162,914	1.9
Cognitive Difficulty	757	3.6	24,963	3.5	309,494	3.6
Ambulatory Difficulty	883	4.2	29,626	4.2	430,263	5.0
Self-Care Difficulty	344	1.7	12,319	1.7	170,477	2.0
Independent Living Difficulty[†]*	763	4.9	22,675	4.3	323,527	4.9

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

[Click here](#) to learn more about how disability is defined for this dataset.

*Universe: Total population over 18

[†]Does not include residents of nursing homes or skilled nursing facilities that provide long-term, nonacute care.

Disability by Age Cohorts, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0-17 with a Disability	87	0.4	5,684	0.8	62,109	0.7
18-64 with a Disability	1,085	5.2	33,056	4.6	413,884	4.9
65-74 with a Disability	420	2.0	11,574	1.6	157,796	1.9
75 and Over with a Disability	442	2.1	16,936	2.4	220,238	2.6

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Household Size, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	2,097	26.0	58,916	23.1	966,470	29.7
2-Person Household	2,600	32.2	84,006	33.0	1,001,567	30.8
3-Person Household	1,562	19.4	42,457	16.7	504,680	15.5
4-or-More-Person Household	1,807	22.4	69,415	27.2	777,923	23.9

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	5,483	68.0	183,605	72.1	2,069,033	63.7
Single Parent with Child	399	4.9	18,432	7.2	257,105	7.9
Non-Family	2,583	32.0	71,189	27.9	1,181,607	36.3

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	709	8.8	26,262	10.3	453,945	14.0
\$25,000 to \$49,999	883	10.9	33,218	13.0	496,759	15.3
\$50,000 to \$74,999	970	12.0	31,861	12.5	466,365	14.3
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,007	12.5	31,117	12.2	407,838	12.5
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,719	21.3	47,600	18.7	591,558	18.2
\$150,000 and Over	2,778	34.4	84,736	33.3	834,175	25.7
Median Income	\$110,662		\$104,553		\$87,766	
Per Capita Income*	\$53,486		\$53,677		\$47,125	

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	7,861	97.5	245,090	96.2	3,073,119	94.5
Smartphone(s) Only	217	2.7	14,990	5.9	250,637	7.7
No Computing Devices	205	2.5	9,704	3.8	177,521	5.5
Internet Access	7,685	95.3	241,249	94.7	2,998,571	92.2
Broadband Subscription	7,553	93.6	236,486	92.8	2,915,974	89.7
No Internet Access	381	4.7	13,545	5.3	252,069	7.8

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	8,066	96.7	254,794	94.6	3,250,640	92.8
Owner-Occupied*	6,011	74.5	188,048	73.8	2,097,657	64.5
Renter-Occupied*	2,055	25.5	66,746	26.2	1,152,983	35.5
Vacant Housing Units	274	3.3	14,622	5.4	250,388	7.2

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	494	6.2	16,148	6.5	291,062	9.2
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	491	0.2	6,388	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	73	0.9	1,048	0.4	18,975	0.6
30 Percent or More	421	5.3	14,609	5.8	265,699	8.4
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,074	13.4	39,431	15.8	589,442	18.6
Less than 20 Percent	69	0.9	4,119	1.6	58,595	1.9
20 to 29 Percent	159	2.0	6,173	2.5	101,424	3.2
30 Percent or More	846	10.6	29,139	11.7	429,423	13.6
\$50,000 to \$74,999	970	12.1	31,505	12.6	460,764	14.6
Less than 20 Percent	238	3.0	8,060	3.2	119,205	3.8
20 to 29 Percent	257	3.2	10,843	4.3	156,453	4.9
30 Percent or More	475	5.9	12,602	5.0	185,106	5.8
\$75,000 or More	5,458	68.3	162,665	65.1	1,823,474	57.6
Less than 20 Percent	3,672	45.9	108,878	43.6	1,193,060	37.7
20 to 29 Percent	1,433	17.9	37,813	15.1	458,009	14.5
30 Percent or More	353	4.4	15,974	6.4	172,405	5.4

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2015-2019

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	30	38
Transportation Costs	21	25
TOTAL H+T COSTS	52	63

Source: Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT), [H+T Affordability Index](#) (2015-2019).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Grayslake.

Housing Type, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	4,834	58.0	178,729	66.3	1,743,736	49.8
Single Family, Attached	1,747	20.9	27,648	10.3	264,078	7.5
2 Units	83	1.0	6,964	2.6	235,558	6.7
3 or 4 Units	119	1.4	8,442	3.1	273,909	7.8
5 to 9 Units	498	6.0	9,813	3.6	271,861	7.8
10 to 19 Units	550	6.6	12,032	4.5	156,360	4.5
20 or More Units	509	6.1	21,022	7.8	525,369	15.0
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	4,766	1.8	30,157	0.9

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	941	11.3	24,720	9.2	577,425	16.5
2 Bedrooms	1,878	22.5	63,195	23.5	971,590	27.8
3 Bedrooms	2,730	32.7	91,662	34.0	1,154,954	33.0
4 Bedrooms	2,500	30.0	70,176	26.0	614,790	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	291	3.5	19,663	7.3	182,269	5.2
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4		6.3		6.0	

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2010 or Later	316	3.8	11,980	4.4	145,701	4.2
Built 1990 to 2009	5,152	61.8	90,698	33.7	767,059	21.9
Built 1970 to 1989	1,382	16.6	81,935	30.4	814,595	23.3
Built 1940 to 1969	1,233	14.8	61,310	22.8	1,039,675	29.7
Built Before 1940	257	3.1	23,493	8.7	733,998	21.0
Median Year Built	1994		1982		1969	

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Grayslake.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	243	3.0	12,943	5.1	415,672	12.8
1 Vehicle Available	2,524	31.3	70,996	27.9	1,172,596	36.1
2 Vehicles Available	3,894	48.3	111,642	43.8	1,118,798	34.4
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,405	17.4	59,213	23.2	543,574	16.7

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	1,731	15.3	53,079	14.7	598,134	14.1
Drive Alone	8,091	71.4	253,155	70.3	2,684,337	63.4
Carpool	1,030	9.1	29,827	8.3	324,856	7.7
Transit	325	2.9	11,831	3.3	417,505	9.9
Walk or Bike	89	0.8	7,907	2.2	146,673	3.5
Other	68	0.6	4,456	1.2	63,354	1.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	9,603	84.7	307,176	85.3	3,636,725	85.9
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	28.7		29.4		31.4	

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2021

	Grayslake	Lake County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	19,448	19,459	15,653

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2021 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Grayslake.

Employment Status, 2018-2022

	Grayslake		Lake County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	12,158	73.3	386,975	68.3	4,610,142	67.3
Employed ^{†*}	11,177	91.9	357,516	92.4	4,310,832	93.5
Unemployed*	738	6.1	18,773	4.9	286,166	6.2
Not in Labor Force	4,430	26.7	179,993	31.7	2,243,854	32.7

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2023

	Grayslake		Lake County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	5,989	N/A	281,439	N/A	3,559,560	N/A
Job Change, 2013-23	710	13.4	6,333	2.3	245,298	7.4
Job Change, 2003-23	614	11.4	8,329	3.0	247,631	7.5
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	0.74		1.10		1.10	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2023).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Grayslake Residents*, 2021

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	1,406	14.5
2. Education	1,199	12.4
3. Retail Trade	1,053	10.8
4. Health Care	1,005	10.4
5. Professional	797	8.2
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Chicago	882	9.1
2. Grayslake	696	7.2
3. Libertyville	452	4.7
4. Waukegan	406	4.2
5. Gurnee	388	4.0

Employment in Grayslake*, 2021

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Education	2,353	30.6
2. Transportation	1,264	16.5
3. Manufacturing	583	7.6
4. Health Care	569	7.4
5. Accommodation and Food Service	520	6.8
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Grayslake	696	9.1
2. Round Lake Beach	346	4.5
3. Waukegan	345	4.5
4. Chicago	292	3.8
5. Gurnee	219	2.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2021).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition for Grayslake.

General Land Use, 2020

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,873.3	26.3
Multi-Family Residential	78.3	1.1
Commercial	453.2	6.4
Industrial	198.0	2.8
Institutional	565.3	7.9
Mixed Use	3.7	0.1
Transportation and Other	1,271.6	17.9
Agricultural	1,382.9	19.4
Open Space	460.9	6.5
Vacant	832.4	11.7
TOTAL	7,119.6	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2020 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Tax Base

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Grayslake.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2023

	Grayslake	Lake County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$239,746,031	\$13,977,133,884	\$141,284,781,583
Total Retail Sales	\$311,441,898	\$16,598,431,871	\$174,866,101,053
Total Sales per Capita*	\$14,972	\$23,275	\$20,507

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2023.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2022

Residential	\$523,458,922
Commercial	\$111,766,354
Industrial	\$48,146,319
Railroad	\$440,475
Farm	\$2,537,338
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$686,349,408

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2022.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2018-2022 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2008-2012 ACS. Historic data may not be available for municipalities that were incorporated after 2000.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	88.0	79.7	69.2
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	5.0	7.2	10.6
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1.6	3.4	9.0
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	4.2	6.7	4.7
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.2	3.0	6.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
19 and Under	34.9	32.2	25.4
20 to 34	21.5	16.1	20.8
35 to 49	29.7	28.0	19.8
50 to 64	9.3	16.2	21.4
65 and Over	4.5	7.5	12.6
Median Age	32.2	36.0	37.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	5.4	5.1	2.7
High School Diploma or Equivalent	15.4	14.8	11.1
Some College, No Degree	22.0	19.1	19.6
Associate's Degree	6.5	7.6	7.4
Bachelor's Degree	33.3	33.9	35.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	17.5	19.5	23.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
Native	88.8	89.6
Foreign Born	11.2	10.4

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
English Only	85.5	82.8
Spanish	6.6	6.8
Slavic Languages	1.4	1.7
Chinese	0.3	1.0
Tagalog	1.1	0.8
Arabic	0.1	0.0
Korean	0.4	0.1
Other Asian Languages	2.1	2.5
Other Indo-European Languages	2.5	3.9
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.1	0.4
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	14.5	17.2
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4.8	3.8

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
1-Person Household	22.9	26.0
2-Person Household	27.5	32.2
3-Person Household	16.4	19.4
4-or-More-Person Household	33.2	22.4

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
Family	72.6	68.0
Single Parent with Child	7.8	4.9
Non-Family	27.4	32.0

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2008-2012 (2022 Dollars)	2018-2022 (2022 Dollars)
Median Income	\$121,806	\$110,662

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	97.9	96.1	96.7
Owner-Occupied*	78.5	78.4	74.5
Renter-Occupied*	21.5	21.6	25.5
Vacant Housing Units	2.1	3.9	3.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2008-2012	2018-2022
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	7.3	6.2
Less than 20 Percent	0.2	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	1.6	0.9
30 Percent or More	5.6	5.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	15.5	13.4
Less than 20 Percent	0.4	0.9
20 to 29 Percent	4.1	2.0
30 Percent or More	10.9	10.6
\$50,000 to \$74,999	15.9	12.1
Less than 20 Percent	3.0	3.0
20 to 29 Percent	4.8	3.2
30 Percent or More	8.2	5.9
\$75,000 or More	60.8	68.3
Less than 20 Percent	30.3	45.9
20 to 29 Percent	22.9	17.9
30 Percent or More	7.6	4.4

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
Single Family, Detached	58.0	58.0
Single Family, Attached	22.0	20.9
2 Units	0.8	1.0
3 or 4 Units	3.0	1.4
5 or More Units	16.1	18.7
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.0

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	9.2	11.3
2 Bedrooms	26.9	22.5
3 Bedrooms	36.2	32.7
4 Bedrooms	26.2	30.0
5 or More Bedrooms	1.5	3.5
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3	6.4

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
No Vehicle Available	3.2	3.0
1 Vehicle Available	29.9	31.3
2 Vehicles Available	46.8	48.3
3 or More Vehicles Available	20.1	17.4

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
Work at Home*	5.3	15.3
Drive Alone	80.3	71.4
Carpool	8.0	9.1
Transit	4.7	2.9
Walk or Bike	1.2	0.8
Other	0.5	0.6
TOTAL COMMUTERS	94.7	84.7
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	33.8	28.7

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2008-2012 Percent	2018-2022 Percent
In Labor Force	77.9	73.3
Employed ^{†*}	95.0	91.9
Unemployed*	4.5	6.1
Not in Labor Force	22.1	26.7

Source: 2008-2012 and 2018-2022 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAP’s ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Grayslake*

Water Source(s): Lake Michigan (Deep Groundwater)**

	2008 MGD***	2018 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals	1.47	1.31	-10.2

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2008-2018), Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2018.

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community. Reported water withdrawals do not include private, industrial, commercial, or residential wells/intakes.

**For communities with multiple sources, the sources are listed by withdrawal volume from largest to smallest. If a community is a Lake Michigan permittee, backup source(s) are listed in parentheses.

***Millions of gallons per day.

Daily Water Demand per Capita

	Grayslake			CMAP Region		
	2008	2018	Percent Change	2008	2018	Percent Change
Gallons per Capita per Day*	78.6	68.5	-12.9	142.8	116.8	-18.2

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2008-2018), Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2018.

*Gallons of water per capita per day (GPCD) is calculated by dividing the water usage by the population (and then again by 365). Population values come from the U.S. Census. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2016 (2023 Dollars)	2022 (2023 Dollars)	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$42.21	\$39.93	-5.4	-0.9
Sewer	\$40.37	\$51.49	27.5	4.1
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

**Monthly water bills are calculated as: *monthly base charge + ((5,000 gallons – water provision included in base charge) × \$/1,000 gallons)*. Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: Grayslake

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	0.12
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	\$542,991
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	9.3

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is no more than 10%. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.